HOCOCK	_{*****} क्ष्रक्ष्यः वीर सेवा मन्दिर	xxxxx
XXXX	दिल्ली	XXXX
XXX		XXXX
XXXX	3631	**************
XXXX	क्रम संस्था	ž
ž	काल न०	ŝ
000	स्वर	XXX
ŝ	araranganganga angaga	åž Ž

MENTAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE

EMENT FOR 1945-1948

President

olville, G C. L. E., T. D., Governor of Bombay Vice-Presidents

t Pratmidh, BA, CBE., Rajasaheb of Aunda. rao alias Appasabeb Patwardhan,

Ramanabab of Sangli Mudhourso alias Nanasaheb Naik Nimbalkar, Raja Bahadur of Phaltan.

rao Shankarrao altas Babasaheb Pandit Pant Sachiv, Rajasaheb of Bhor

K. S. Jatar, Esq., C.I E. Str G. D. Madgaonkar, LCS, (Retd.) Dr. Ser R P Paranipre, M A., D.Sc. N C Kelkar, Esq., B.A., LL B.

REGULATING COUNCIL, for 1945-1948

Chairman *

Shrimant Balasaheb Paut Pratinidhi, B. A., C. B. E., Rajasaheb of Aundh Vice-Chairman *

Rao Babador K. N. Dikshit, M A., F R A S R.

Prof K V Abhyankar, M A. Dr. A S Altekar, M A , LL, B , D Ltt. Sardar G N Mujumdar, CIE. Dr V. M. Ante, M A . Ph D. Dr. P. V Bapat, M A , Ph D. +Dr. V G Bhat, M A. Ph D Prof N. G Damle, M.A. Prin S V. Dandekar, M A. Dr. S K Da M A . D Litt Prin. D. R. Gadgil, M A., M Litt. Mm Prof P V Kane, M A., LL M Prof R D Karmarkar, M.A. Dr Mrs Irawati Karve, M A., Ph D. Mr. N. C. Kelkar, B A . LL B Prof R. D Laddu, M A. Hon'ble Mr Justice N. S. Lokur, B A., LL B. Mm Prin. V. V. Mirashi, M. A. LL.B. Dr. V. G. Paranne, M A. LL.B. D.Litt.

Prof. D. V. Petdar, B A. Prof. R D. Vadekar, M.A. Prof H D, Velankar, M. A.

"To be slected annually

Joint Trustees Diwan Babadur K M Jhaveri,

M A., LT, B. EXECUTIVE BOARD for 1945-48 Prin J. R. Gharpure, B A . LL B.

Dr B. N. Dandekar, M A , Ph D. (Secretary) Prof. B. G. Bhadkamkar, M. A. (Treasurer)

(Chairman)

M. B. E.

Rao Bahadur Dr S. K. Belvalkar, M.A., Ph.D. Rao Babadur K. N. Dikshit, M.A. Prof. A. B. Gajendragadkar, M.A.,

+Dr. S. M. Katre, M.A. Ph.D. tDr M. B Behman, M.A., Pb.D. Dr. P. L. Vaidya, M.A., D Litt.

? Nominated by Government,

CONTENTS

VOLUME XXVI, PARTS 1-1

(4-2-1946)

ARTICLES	PAGES
Science in Relation to Society by Prof. S. V.	
Puntambekar, MA (Oxon), Bar-at-Law.	
Reneras Hindu University	
Historical Data in Dendin's Dašakumāracarīta by Mm Prin. V. V. Mirashi, M.A., LLB.	
Mm Prin. V. V. Mirashi, M.A., LL B The Narasimha-Purana by Dr. R. C. Hazra, M.A.	
Ph.D	
Studies in the History of Indian Plants-History of	32-88
Canaka (gram) as food for Horses—between C	
A. D. 800 and 1870 together with some Notes	
on the import of foreign Horses into India	
in ancient and Mediaeval times by P K	
Gode, M.A.	00 115
Some Interesting Problems in Mahābhārata Text-	89-1:)5
Transmission Problem No. 3 by Rao Bahadur	
	100 110
	106-119
Samudra Gupta's Conquest of Kottura by Dr B A Saletore, M A, Ph D.	120-141
	120-141
MISCELLANEA	
Purusaitha, Daiva and Niyati, by P C Divanji,	142-151
MA, LLM	142-111
(1) Some forgotten Sanskrit Poets of Karnātaka	
(11) Pippalikā; (111) Kavindrakalpalatā, a Hindi work by Kavindrācārya Sarasvati.	
(IV) The Sheet Anchor of Indian History, a	
Criticism, by Dr Dasharatha Sharma, MA,	
D Litt,	152-160
REVIEWS	
Maratha History (Re-examined) 1295-1707-by Prof. S. R. Sharma, M.A., reviewed by Prof.	
D. V Potdar, M.A.	101 100
Iconography of Srl Vidyārpavatantra by Prof. S	161-168
Śri Kantha Śāstri, M.A., reviewed by P. K. Gode,	
	169-170
M.A Sangita Ratuškara Translated by Dr. C. Kunban	109-170
	170-171
Raja, reviewed by G. H. Ranade. Bharatiya Mānasašāstra-Paribhāsi: Indian Psycho-	100-071
logical Terminology, by Prof. D. D Vadekar, M.A.	
reviewed by Dr. R. N. Dandekar, M. A., Ph.D	179_172
	174-176
Books Received	114-110

Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute

Vol. XXVI]

JANUARY-APRIL 1945

[PARTS I-II

SCIENCE IN RELATION TO SOCIETY *

В¥

S. V. PUNTAMBEKAR

Introductory

I must thank you sincerely for having invited me to speak here to-day. On this occasion I wish to pay my humble tribute to the memory of the late Sir Ramakrishan Bhandarkar in whose name this Research Institute is founded, to the scholarly work which it is doing, and to the great scholars who are doing it. Their attempt to preserve, interpret, and bring to light our old but ever-new learning owes its inspiration to the great truths and teachings embodied in it.

The early creative mind of India is represented in the Vedas, the Upanisads and the two historical epics, namely, the Ramāyana and the Mahābhārsta, each of them is unique in form and content, not easily paralleled in any other literature. They represent a fathomless sprittual insight at work, a subtle and intuitive vision, and a deep and olear, intellectual and ethical thinking and heroic action which has made the permanent structure of our culture and civil sation possible.

In the long course of our history and culture the literature which has inspired, guided, and controlled our life has been the Vedlo and the Epic. Its moral and intellectual depth, its social and religious contents have been our priceless treasures and our

This paper was read on 6th July 1945, the Foundation Day of the Institute.

eternal sources of national inspiration and action, greatness and glory.

But now we find that there is an apathy towards its study. There is a decided turn towards studying only modern new soiences—physical and technological, biological and sociological. If the old knowledge is studied it is studied only for its comparative or historical value. It is considered to belong to a bygone age and to possess hardly any contemporary value.

There are two questions to be asked here, (1) is the man of to-day superior intellectually and morally, and greater in social stature and economic happiness than the one of the Vedic and Epic or our classical age? and (2) are the sciences of to-day more advanced and determinate in their social direction and values?

A new era of planning or what I call "plansichy" is being ushered in by scientists and technologists politicians and statesman to remove human inserty and social suarchy in the old world of to-day and to build up a new world of to-increw in this, science, pure and applied, is going to plax a decumating part in reorganising our material lite and moral welfare Our politicians are utilising the services, of scientific experts in this matter. The problem before us is therefore can exence alone secure these purposes without the help of ethics and elligion which primarily give us our values of human life Is the position of these planners who are devotees or advocates of science and who adopt an air of ethical superiority correct? Will science by itself give or lead us to ethical values and human welfare? Is it alone concerned with the welfare of humanity?

My argument may be summarised as follows. In the changing world of to-day there is a great moral gulf between the powers which scientific knowledge gives and the values which society pursues or ought to pursue. There is no balance between the power possessed and the good sought. This is what I want to show in my address to-day on "Science in rolation to society."

Our world is a four-fold world-of science, morals, religious and art-which is ever changing and expanding Unless

their interrelation is properly maintained, and a harmony between them is created in the process, our world will not be happy and rise in human stature.

Therefore I intend to examine the influence of science on social living, and also the nature and scope of social and natural sciences.

To-day we are living in a sick world Can science alone help us out of it? Our needs are not only physical and mental, but also moral and spiritual. We have to harmonise them all. No doubt science has affected our outlook and judgment but its scope or province is limited. Its pointer readings are provisional and relative. There are values in life which are independent of science. They are given by other studies and inner experiences. Finally can science as such make a contribution to the good life of man?

Science in relation to Society

To-day under the name of science a number of pseudo social, ciences are developing, and taking possession of society and trying to change or revolutionise it. They try to create a new historical science, a new echical science, a new economic science, a new political science and a new social science based upon certain fundamental assumptions or ideas about matter and mind, body and soul, and the origin and development, the end and purpose, the canceration and course of man and his institutions and beliefs. They increase flexibly the scope of science so as to include other, religion, art in it. They determine nigidly the course of its development so as to exclude other, possibilities inherent or desuable in it. They put their own fixed values above truth or all them the truth.

They call the modern age as the regime or common-wealth of science which they believe is bound to lead us to a golden age in the future. I consider this view point as distorted and the "isms" which promote it and propagate it as perverting the view of science and the scope of knowledge and good given by it Most of them are neither science, nor history nor sociology, and may be regarded as pseudo-scientific rubbish. They use the terminology of science merely to propagate their own dogmas. There seems nothing really scientific about them,

4

Science does not and cannot cover all the creative aspects, experience and aspirations of human life. It possesses no criteria to teach us the values of human life. If science claims that man can know and also direct and control his moral world or world destiny, there is no proof for it in 1945 nor was there before it. Nature is intrinsically and in its elements neither understandable nor subject to law, and science cannot discover any ultimates even in its own realm. The world can only be described as a ceaseless flow and fluctuation of an invisible force or tide. The world is not purely a world of reason To-day social Darwinism is discredited, and pre-determined progressivism is considered wishful thinking. We cannot therefore treat history and sociology as merely biographies of certain ideas and laws, dogmas or beliefs nor as manifestations of certain social patterns and their wish for changes. All the 19th century sociologists and social evolutionists like Comte and Spencer, Marx and Morgan have committed this mistake. Actual historical sequences do not run true to any particular form or law They are not their mevitable consequences Their rigid concepts and dialectial dichotomies of patterns of natural and human life are very unscientific Modern natural and human sciences are against these conceptual rigidities and dichotomies of nature and life.

They reognise that there are past facts and factors which are not as yet properly known, and there are present facts and factors which are new and unknown, therefore future facts and factors cannot be easily, completely or correctly known. Human thought can never exhaust the great reality and totality of time and space philosophical and historical which are themselves expanding.

Moreover, the psychical is not entirely under the heel or control of the physical causality. Psychical life is capable of continually forming new contents or taking leaps out or given elements by its power of intellectual analysis and creative synthesis. Man is not a generalised being. He is always something more, independent, unknown and unique He is not a mere functionary. He stands on a midway between freedom and determinism. There is no such thing as dichotomy or antithesis between the individual and the society and its various changing groups.

We have to admit that a world of transcendental thought actually exists alongside the existence of the physical world, and there is something far more in the shape of values, essences, universals, than can be apprehended through empirical consciousness. We cannot presuppose the identity of the subject and the object which make dogmatic and materialistic philosophies of to-day possible, we do not believe in either the subject devouring the object as the idealist does or the object devouring the subject as the materialist does Science through controlled description. experimentation and inference aims at logical and consistent hypotheses and generalisations or laws of bahaviour. On their basis we are asked to predict and to control our behaviour. This has led to the development of the concept of types and patterns. ignoring the recognition of the unique and specific features of human behaviour. But the process of induction has a limited value. It can only generalise from a limited field of particulars. Therefore its general is also a generalised particular, and cannot be the universal. To-day there is a revolution in science Matter in the sense of spatial substance has ceased to exist. Fundamental ideas of matter and motion have changed. Matter is alive, is energy. Matter-mind is considered as an inspired something in motion and action. Science is found unable to lead us to the knowledge of its substance. It is only a method of knowing its stucture. It creates a conceptual model by which we briefly resume our experience of known facts. It cannot give us judgments of the reality but only of its relativity and relations. It merely gives us a concise statement of how changes are taking place. It cannot explain why they have taken place in particular ways. The aim of science is now ceasing to be the discovery of cause and effect, because the universe is being considered a sum of phenomena, some of which are more, others less, closely contingent on each other. This conception is wider than that of causality. Some assert that no phenomena are causal and all phenomena are contingent. The problem before us is therefore to measure the degree of this contingency which lies between the zero (individuality) of independence and the unity (commonness) of causation.

It is held to-day that beyond and superior to the physical world lies the world of value characterised by a spiritual unity which is absent from purely material phenomena. Therefore life can be understood only from the standpoint of values which are given by great or inspired men. The extrinsic analysis of science fails to differentiate between human values and environmental or structural relations, between thought as a function of society and thought as a function in society.

Science reasons from quantity, experience and commonness, it explains relations and processes and results in relative or rigid abstractions and generalisations. Scientific process as one puts it merely 'unifies', 'mobilises' and 'levels' what is observed and demonstrable. Moral process reasons from quality, experience and uniqueness. It gives concrete values, and by its valuational function 'divides', 'specialises' and 'arranges' in order of merit from what is experienced and unstandable. (Dilthey's elemens and us/stehen).

Shall we start with a proposition like Roussaul's "Man is born like an animal but proposes to live as an angel." What should be his method? We shall have to find it out, with the help of the real knowledge of nature and of the actual life of man in history. Our knowledge is ever increasing and our life is ever expanding There is no settled balance or harmony between the discoveries of one and the deares of the other At present there has arisen a great moral gulf between the powers which knowledge gives and the purposes which un rive powers which knowledge gives and the purposes which our live pursues. To bridge it we cannot accept the easy and cheap unilinear solutions propounded by scientists and socialists as the last world of science or moral living. They prove to be inadequate, as they lighter some of the facts and values of life, distort others and fail to distinguish between the common and the unique

We are today hearing the awan-song of a passure world, an age of definite values and untroubled certitudes. Each age has its dominant note and outlook, and its dominant interests and preoccupation. It has its own mentality and moral climate. But new facts and factors have arisen in our epoch. They are subborn and lying Our new knowledge and experience, material and mental, and our new views and values, moral and social, are all in conflict with the old order of knowledge and life. Our epoch is not homogeneous mentally and morally. There is a new revolution created by scientists and a new revelation propounded by socialists in both these spheres A minority of scientists and socialists have ushered in a new era in technology and ideology, an epoch of new orders to be created in the material, mental and moral life of man Science as a whole with its developments in physical, biological and psychological studies, and philosophy in its total valuations in the fields of anthropology, anthropogeo graphy and sociology have both created a new world of natural powers and social values, which now require to be studied, correlated and harmonised for our good. This is what we mean by "Science in relation to Society."

Our scientific knowledge is new and advanced. Our social life has become close and complex. Therefore we have to adjust them both in the interests of the great society which is taking shape. But we must not start with fixed solutions and determinate laws Whatever does not fit in their scheme we must not ignore, deride or discard Ours is not an age of one-eved reason and monocled vision, ignoring the variety and depth of human life We have to look to the facts of human sufferings and needs. and also to the demands of human nature and values, in order to estimate and to propose the course of life which we should follow. We are living today in a fourfold world of knowledge and action. It is a world of science with the powers and perils it generates, a world of morals with the loves and hatreds it harbours, a world of religion with the confidences and consolations it creates, and a world of arts and crafts with the intuition and cunning it possesses. Every aspect of our thought and life is subject to and surrounded by one or other of these worlds or even by all. The contents and drives of these worlds are ever increasing. Those with limited understanding and rigid out-look consider one or the other as more important, and their one or the other stage as more true, while the problem before humanity is to recognise, understand and experience their disciplines as a whole. It is one of the most difficult tasks which the leaders of human thought and life face today-the task of synthesizing and

harmonising their separate urges. We must postulate that the advance of humanity is not unilinear but multilinear, not through fixed but fluctuating stages, not under one discipline but mapy.

Can we demarcate the various aspects of human life and allot their fields exclusively to particular methods of study and approach, or can we treat the whole human life as one and allocate it either to religion, morals or science only to be studied and valued by it, or shall its various aspects be studied separately by different sciences and philosophies and also jointly by them and aiming at the highest ands of humanity.

Science has today influenced greatly our social thinking and social living. It has also affected our morel and religious attitudes. Pure sciences have changed our processes of our social thinking, and applied sciences our methods of social living. Mathematical, physical and biological sciences have discredited our mythologies of creation and credologies of this worldly and other worldly life. They have led to the growth of new social or human sciences whose methodology is based on those of natural sciences No doubt, if we compare these sciences, the subdivisions of social sciences-sociology, anthropology, economic :, politics, unlike those of natural sciences, seem largely. though not entirely, abstractions from reality, not sections of reality. In physicial and biological sciences, physics, chemistry. botany and zoology, the objects of study such as elements plants. animals seem real and independent objects and groups. They are not aspects of something complex. They are real things. The subjects of social sciences are mostly aspects of and abstrac tions from social reality. Their study depends on the contents of those aspects and their relation to the whole social reality. and not upon merely its subdivisions or factors mapped out for the purposes of study. The problem of natural sciences is the problem of observation and experiment and a search for laws of behaviour or action of the objects of study. The problem of social sciences is the problem of understanding and experiencing the course and relation of social life and a search for its values in time, place and objective

The natural scientist can stand outside his objects of observation. The social scientist must stand inside his subjects of study. Thus it is not possible to apply fully the methods of physical sciences to the study of man and society. But they give upto a certain stage great help in the study and analysis of human social processes and relations, mental attitudes and behaviours. In the natural sciences the aim is to bring certain qualities of as many objects as possible under the fewest possible generalisations In these generalisations the objects are not explained in their individuality but in their resemblances and relations which they bear to one another. In humanistic studies where we deal with aspects of human life - individual and social - we are not dealing with general conceptions alone, but far more with values which are drawn from individual concentions. We deal with what is undividual and unique, with values of the unique, and with the meaning of the unique.

The contribution of science to our civilisation is very great. Its
n'titude and methodology, its outlook and technology have been
great factors in its growth. It is due to it that our social ourent
is moving so rapidly, taking new forms and contents, and growing broader and deeper towards a new humanity.

Our new world is a world of science and invention, technology and engineering. Science belongs to and can be appropriated by the whole world. Its methods and discoveries, products and processes can be understood and applied by all. It gives the same results everywhere objectively. It does not require any God to be pleased or any prophet to be admired. It does not lay down any frontiers, physical, mental, moral or cultural, for deriving its benefits It primarily represents an attitude of mind a mood of approach, an experimental method, by which we discover and verify realities, organise ascertained facts in consistent groups, learn their structural relations, and ascertain their place in the larger scheme of knowledge.

Inspite of great advances in science we are living in a sick world today, a world of political anarchy and economic misery, social maladjustments and communal hatrede. We are all alling and suffering from a number of evils. Our life and living are not happy and contented Can science help us in promoting our 2 [Annals, B. O. R. I.] security and welfare? Can it alone do it? Science can give us scientific means and methodology, scientific spirit and attitude. Its service in the past has been great. We have advanced from a donkey-house civilisation where all was stable and unchanging, neither free nor equal, to a new monkey-house civilisation where everything seems to be unstable, free and in conflict. But atill it is a progress to better forms of life and higher standards of living. But what worries us is the instability and anarchy in our life. If Sc.ence can lead us to a plan-key house civilisation where both order and progress, equality and freedom, on human scale are harmonised, then it will have done its work well. The human Caravan has no doubt passed through primitive stages of innocence and ignorance, and the medieval ages of blind faith and escapism, and has now reached the modern stage of science and its age of hope. Today in all arts of war and peace science reigns supreme. Science is not merely conserving our life and giving us minima of living, but is also beloing us in achieving good life. Our problem is not only of mere physical existence and material welfare but also largely a problem of good life. Today our difficulties are those of choosing our noral aims and forming social relations. Unprecedented knowledge of Science and the power it has given over natural forces and human resources have created unprecedented conditions in human contact and relations. Unless there is a corresponding development in our moral attitude and mental approach, we cannot utilise the knowledge and power of science to cope with those conditions and to promote human security and welfare must not only assure us conditions of physical and material security-food, housing, clothing, health and hygiene, but also insure means for our mental and moral welfare, education and co-operation, peace and progress. The new kingdom or order which Science wants to build up must understand our material needs, take account of our resources and apply them for our physical security as well as social welfare

Our progress towards a higher standard of living depends on (1) our natural and human resources properly developed and applied, (2) our scientific inventions and technological applications, (3) our faith in the brotherhood of humanity as a whole,

in its unity and creativity, (4) our moral code of equality and freedom, and (5) our political system and economic advance. The fundamental facts of our world are its increasing population, its increasing want leading to unemployment and poverty in various areas, its social maladiustments and misappropriations. its increasing contacts, resulting in hatreds and crimes and its increasing fear and frustration, all these leading to an increase of human misunderstanding and batreds, splits and conflicts, Our problems have therefore to be solved on three planes-material. moral and mental. History shows that Science. Ethics and Religion are the disciplines which are expected to suggest correct ways of doing this. No doubt fundamentally all social problems are interrelated, and all disciplines, scientific, moral and religious, are concerned with the choice of correct ways in a number of possibilities which are latent or open, or invented by man's mund. Science will become more important if it helps not only in the choice of means, but also that of ends which involve moral and mental judgments. Science primarily gives the means to control nature and to use it for human welfare. It assures the material foundations of our social well-being. It tries to solve the problem of our misery by providing means to cope with our wants

But can it also provide solutions for the choice or moral ends, the betterment of human relations, and for the development of a will to live or a faith in the future

Will Science satisfactorily answer, the questions of the good, the beautiful, the real as human quests, of human destiny and of man's rebirth, or life after death? These questions have a spiritual value and require experience Can Science also take the place of philosophy, art and religion? Science at present does not acknowledge that the affairs of men are subject to any divine or transcendental power, or to any blind, being or malign fatalism. Science believes in finding out empirically correct knowledge of natural and human behaviour and adopting it for controlling nature and guiding man. Science is confident that it can solve welfare questions and remove social maladjustments, if men accept the social habits of altruism and work, and the moral attitude of equality and brotherhood, and give up proper-

ttering and profiteering ways and aims. But these social and moral qualities are indicated and affirmed by ethics and relation. Science cannot analyse or prove them by its own methods of study. Thus our attitude in relation to social problems must be an ethico-religio-scientific attitude. But science even then will give its methodology to other disciplines, its spirit of unbiassed examination of facts of human experience and behaviour, and its critical nudement

But all these disciplines with their different aims and approaches, fields and outlook should be harmonised and properly interrelated. If they claim to be true by themselves and are rigid in their attitudes, then human problems will become difficult to be solved. 'Man acquires knowledge not only through reason. experiment and nature, but also through intuition, experience and history. His problem is not merely of action but also of valuation and will-power Science studies nature and tells us how to live with it. Morals study man and society and tells us how to live in it. Religion studies the creation of nature and the course of man, and gives us a will to live Each of them influences man's life as a whole But if each of them pronounds rigid ways not in harmony with one another, then a fundamental conflict within social life will be created and none of them will solve satisfactorily human moblems. Therefore our problem is one of synthesis and harmony We have to render to each of them their due, but not to surrender to one at the cost of the other or of the whole. Science and technology give physical welfare Ethical valuation gives moral stability. Religious intuition gives faith in life and will to live Our postulate is that every detail of human life is extremely complicated. It is connected with every other detail by innumerable threads of habit and custom and ideas of good and evil, and by economic interest and emotional feeling. Hence all the disciplines, which can guide and control them are necessity. Science gives utilities for human welfare - such as health and hygiene, longevity and livelihood, leisure and recreation Morals promote qualities of human happiness such as liberty, equality, and fraternity; justice and toleration, truth and non-violence, chastity and greedlessness Religion creates a faith and will to live by expounding the reality in and behind life and universe

Though science does not contribute directly to the determination of values of life, it does great service by providing the conditions and laying down the foundation of a better world order and higher human values. In creating a better economic life and in assuring higher standards of living and leisure it makes the realisation of moral and religious values on a large scale possible

Thus we are living today in the republic of Science and technology which makes human cultural contacts more intimate, easy and expansive. It gives better environment, better means of livelihood, housing and clothing, better protection against natural calamities and diseases, better health and hygiene, better means of conveyance and communication. It widens the means of self-expression and education, and opportunities for service and vocation. It increases our understanding of the world, tells us of different types of human societies and of the unity of mankind and of its continuity, diffusion and fusion in space, time and oulture. It transcends the barriers of race, language, region and religion in its sweep in a search for knowledge. It gives a methodology of open approach to knowledge and throws open its doors to all those who seek its shelter and admission It does not build a mystic house or prison round its knowledge and ways of life. Thus the republic of science is the most advanced commonwealth of mind without any particular territory, without standing armies and without secret diplomacy. Yet it possesses the most powerful government in the world. Without science and scientists the modern civilization will collapse

It has unbered in new ways of life and new means of living. It has increased production, developed communication, adjusted distribution and provided for consumption by its new mechanical inventions, technical appliances and engineering skill. It has affected our mental, moral and cultured outlook and trained our judgment for choice of right relations of life and correct ways of behaviour. It has given a new forward look and useful knowledge about many questions of our life. It has done away with the age of superskition. It has removed the peril of being subjugated by less civilized neighbours of being overwhelmed by

hordes of barbarian invaders. It has introduced secularisation and rationalisation. It has improved the life of common man. It has fread, educated and inspired mankind. It has expanded the individual and his field of activity and contact, his understanding and knowledge by its inventions, new tools and mechanical processes.

In spite of the value of Science as the main foundation of modern civilisation, its scope is limited. It can analyse relations not reality, structure and not substance of life it can give pointer-readings but not life values. It can show natural sequences but cannot estimate social consequences Further the 20th contury developments of Science have shaken its principle of causality and predictability. They do not claim any certainty, determinism or infallibility for their statements and conclusions. All aspects of man's life and of natural phenomena are not as yet understandable, predictable and controllable. They cannot be studied and understood merely as isolates and their totality is too complex to be clearly envisaged and fully analysed. Consequently the truth and laws of science are also becoming provisional and relative.

As scientific methods cannot comprehend and explain values. such as goodness, freedom, and love, some scientists say there are no values, no goodness, no freedom, no love and so on. To them these things do not exist With them the object has devour ed the subject. The man, the mind, the spirit, the morals have all withered away along with any transcendent God Therefore the conception and content of science, its explanations and conclusions should not be considered as rigid and final, but only relative and provisional Its mechanical aspect, its cause and effect relations should not be overemphasized. It must take account of moral and religious limitations of the people in using its power and making its application to promote their ends Science must become and remain an ally or handmaid in the tank of achieving highest human values and not prostitute its power and skill for narrow and selfish group interests. It must promote political integration, social welfare and economic opportunity and cultural progress

We must however, avoid the danger of the power of science falling into the hands of monsters of men and nations who are not ministers of peoples, security and welfare. Otherwise a new barbarism more dangerous and disastrous to humanity than the old will develop and devour men and destroy the very founds. tions of human civiliaation. Scientists must be able to control the forces they have let loose. Otherwise the new Bhasmasura of science will destory the scientist and his creation, the new civilisation. Science has out-stripped the advance of human morals The growth of its power is not accompanied by a corresponding growth in morals and culture of humanity. There is a disharmony and want of balance between them. Our moral and cultural lag has allowed the power of Science being used by imperialists and capitalists for aggrandisement and exploitation of the disinherited peoples and workers of the world. Science must be accompanied by a high moral sense of duty and cultural discipline and responsibility. Uncontrolled science would be a great danger to humanity and its civilisation.

The social results of scientific development are very great. It has given us a conception of one world, one humanity, and a universal society, by developing rapid means of communication, transport and close contact, and by opening the doors of all countries and regions to all peoples. It has developed a law of evolution and convergence of cultures. It has perfected a method of observation, experiment and study and has given a breadth of outlook, a tolerance of opinion, a sense of cooperation and a measure of detached judgment and impersonalness which are very valuable not only for acquiring knowledge but also for our moral living and for our will-to-live. It has enormously increased our control and use of natural forces and satisfied our increasing material needs. It has set us on the nath of assured material progress and mental achievement. It has minimised the sacredness of particular persons and the despotism of authority. It has changed values of life, if it has not been able to estimate values of life. It does not prepare any Procrustean bed of determinism for man's guidance like any religion or "ism" It does not make facts fit any preconceived theory of its own, because it has no preconceptions. Huxley said "Science commits suicide when it adopts a creed."

The results of scientific research are now being applied to practical affairs and are profoundly changing our civilisation and affecting human happiness.

Science makes possible the material welfare of man. Can it also make a contribution to the good life of man? Science tries primarily to find out the nature, the character and the direction of the evolutionary process in the world as a whole, and also to elucidate the causes of human actions and their consequences in relation to that direction. But there is nothing in the results of science today for us to believe that it can guarantee to us the absolute stability of even the most general laws that it has stated. The central problem of good life seems to be difficult to be solved only by methods and direction given by natural and social sciences. They can throw light on the way in which the mind of man can apprehend values, but they cannot determine whether they are truly values and what the scale of moral values is If the developent of moral values was to be determined wholly by exter nal conditions, and they did not depend for their appreciation and choice on internal factors, then any true law of sciencerational or dialectical - could give us a scheme and scale of good values of life. But as the environment influences life so also the inner ideas, feelings and attitudes greatly mould our life. If it is true to say that societies create ideas, it is even more true to say that ideas change societies. The theory of evolution seems to suggest that its course is towards some complex forms of life and that all moral ideas are primarily relative. But in that process who chooses some of these and rejects others unless it is the inner moral consciousness of man. Can the scientific study of the world process teach us what is good and what we ought to do. Science cannot say that it is moral or immoral. Its characlerisation can only be a-moral. It cannot even predict and say it 'will' or 'must' happen. It can tell us only what has been. what is, and what will probably and not certainly be. It also cannot tell us that what has been, is, and will be right and good. We have to recognise the presence and force of inner factors which are inspiring and determining in the choice of values and ways of life. Our life can be really understood in terms of values, not merely in terms of its various stages and factors. These values constitute various types - such as the scientific, the moral, the religious, the aesthetic. Each one

of these types shows a particular structure of the human mind or quest. The man dedicates himself to one or more of these types. Therefore a time has now arrived for creating a closer union of the achievements of knowledge and the values of life. Science, morals, religion and art must give more belo in the development of the deep and universal elements of our personality. All these branches of knowledge have at present developed a one-sided approach and atmosphere and hardly any attempt has been made to connect the whole knowledge and experience with actual values and intuitions of human life. The most disturbing fact of our time is that our knowledge of Science and especially its practical applications have far outrun our conceptions and practices of good life Science has now placed great means and instruments of world-shaking, worldshocking and world-shaping power in the hands of our political rulers and economic power-holders who abuse them for their own unrighteous purposes of subjection and exploitation. These means and tools are in themselves non-moral. We need not blame the science, but the man who uses them or the scientist who prostitutes them Thus morality or the will to good lies in the man himself. It is due to his choice of wrong ends and misuse of good means that the will to evil or immorality arises. It is not the province of science to tell us whether the means and ends adopted are good or bad morally.

Then, who are the real authors of any ethical change or choice? Certainly they are not found in the environmental change or mutation, but in the ethical choice and rise of men, It is a Buddha or a Christ, a Krishna or a Muhammad, a Toistoy or a Gandhi who points the way to new levels of conduct and new standards of value, and it is by a conscious choice and struggle under his leadership that humanity accepts them. It cannot be admitted that the course or direction of evolution is inevitably good or right. We do not and cannot know that its goal is also good. One cannot trace in it any particular purpose which is continuously and necessarily good. We cannot postulate any materialistic inexorable or moral determinism in it. We cannot consider human mind as merely a mechanism of stimulus and response. It also holds within itself a set of urges or drives. Any one of them may be set in motion and come forward to change 3 [Annals, B. O. R. I.]

the ethical or social current. Therefore the theory of evolution and its moving towards a right direction achieving good all along becomes a myth from the social point of view The theory of social Darwinism is now completely discredited and discarded. Science may tell us what to do to reach a desired end or to achieve a desirable purpose. But it cannot point out to us what and to desire. It cannot suide us in the choice of our purpose. Science is the expression of our will to know our environment (of the past) - its causation, course, connection and consequence It invents the means to know it and tools to use it for our privated existence and material welfare. Morals are the expression of will to good life (in the present) - its behaviour and relations. It lays down the principles of good conduct Religion is the expression of our will to live creative life (in the future) - its consciousness and continuity. It lays down its affirmations and prohibitions of spiritual life. Art is the extression of our will to feel the eternal - its beauty and emoyment It gives us its impressions and creations of the reality

But if we confuse the separate sphere, and functions of these and surrender to any one discipline the whole province of human life and its aspirations, then we create a conflict of means and values in our study of man and environment. We must study and express these aspects,—will-to-know, will-to-good, will-tolive, and will-to-feel,—separately and then correlate and synthesize their directions for the existence and welfare of man

In early times religiou absorbed or dominated all aspects, and laid down rules for buman behaviour from the point of view of its own approach. It became science, morels, art and religion, all in one. Then these aspects were separated But still each one of them claimed dominance for its own approach and methods of life Science now wants to reject all other approaches in order to absorb them in its universal approach and to lay down its own rules for the discipline and welfare of life. It wants to drive all others out of the field. In this unity of approach, either the subject devours the object, or the object devours the subject Science now wants to tell us not only about the means i.e.—how values or ends can be achieved, but also about the ends i.e.—how values

choose our values, and what values are valid. No doubt knowledge of ourselves and of our environment has in itself both a moral significance and a social consequence. It will however only help us in our choice of values and not in their final determination. Science gives survival means in a natural environment; ethics, moral values in a social environment, and religion, a spiritual faith in physical and social environment (man and nature). If their discoveries and disciplines are properly correlated and harmonised, we shall understand the field and position of each, and the part they play in the stability, security and well being of human society has a whole.

Thank you

HISTORICAL DATA IN DANDIN'S

DAŚAKUMĀRACARITA

Βv

V. V MTRASTIT

Nearly forty years ago Mark Collins drew attention to the narrative in the eighth *Ucchwüss* of the *Daskumüracarda** which pointed to the existence of a large southern power ruling over Yudarbha, with no fewer than six feudatory kingdoms owing allegiance to it ¹ Collins saw in this a reflex of the actual conditions existing in the days of Dandin himself and therefore investigated the matter for fixing the date of that Sanskrit author Since then there has been considerable progress in our knowledge of the ancient history of India in general and of the Deccan in particular, which makes it necessary that the problem should be rediscussed in the light of recent researches.

As is well known, the Dasikuminacaria describes the adventures of ten Kumāras who were followers of Rājavāhana, the son of the detironed king Rājahamsa of Magadha. One of them was Višruta whose adventures are narrated in the eighth and last Ucchwāsa of the original work of Dandin The story of that obspire may be summarised as follows—

'In the country of Vidarbha there ruled a king named Punyavarman who belonged to the ancient Bhoja race. He was a very just and righteous king who protected his subjects, chastised his fose and gave liberal patronage to learned men He was succeeded by his young son Anantavarman This prince, though intelligent and accomplished in all arts, neglected the science of politics. Vasuraksita, the old minister who had been highly respected by his father, counselled him again and again to apply himself to the study of dandania, but Anantavarman, coming under the evil influence of his courtier Vihārabhadra, treated the advice with contempt, gave himself up to the enjoyment of pleasures and

Mark Collins-Geographical Pata of the Raghuvamia and Daiakumāracarita (1907), p. 21.

indulged in all kinds of vices, neglecting the affairs of State. His subjects imitated him and led a vicious and dissolute life As a consequence, disorder and lawlessness became rampant in the kingdom. Finding this a suitable opportunity, Vasantabhanu, the king of the neighbouring Asmaka country, sent his minister's son to the court of Viderbha. The latter ingratisted himself with the king and egged him on in his dissolute life Ultimately, when the country was thoroughly disorganised. Vasantabhanu instigated the king of Vanavasi to invade the kingdom of Vidarbha. Anantavarman then mobilised his forces and called his feudatories to his help. Among those who rallied under his hanner were, besides Vasantabhanu of Asmaka, Avantideva of Kuntala, Virasena of Murala, Ekavira of Rsika, Kumaragupta of Konkana and Nagapala of Nasikya Followed by these feudatories. Apantavarman marched against the king of Vanavasl and encamped on the bank of the Varada. Vasantabhanu, however, secretly conspired with the king of Kuntala and caused dusaffection among other feudatories also. They treacherously attacked Anantavarman in the rear while he was fighting with the invading forces of the king of Vanavasi. The king of Vidarbha was killed in the fight. Vasantabhanu then contrived to cause dissension among the feudatories also. They fought among themselves for the spoils of the war and destroyed one another. He then appropriated the whole booty and giving some part of it to the king of Vanavāsi, induced him to return to his country and himself annexed the whole kingdom of Vidarbha.

In the meanwhile the old minister Vasuraksita, who was true to his salt, safely escorted Vasundharë, the queen of Ananta

¹ The Bombay Sanakrit Series edition of the Dańskimäracarsta (p 138) states that the army was enomped on the Narmadá which is evidently incorrect. As shown below, Vanavääl, the well-known capital of the Kadambas, was situated in the North Kanara Distract of the Bombay Presidency. The ruler of Vanavääl could not have penetrated to the Narmadá, without overrunning the entire Vidabba which he had not avidently done. I therefore accept the reading Vidada-rodhan (in place of Narmadā-rodhans) giren by an old Mas, which is evidently a mustake for Varadā-rodhans on the bank of the Wardhä' (see Agasha's ed. p 138, n). Since this was written, my friend Mr. P K. Gode informs me that the reading Varadā-rodhans is actually giren by an old Ms, of the work, dated V. S. 1816, now deposited in the Bhandarkar Institute.

varman and her two children Bhāskaravarman and Mañjuvādinī to the court of Mitravarman, the half-brother of Anantavarman who was ruling at Mahismati. The latter, finding that his advances were rejected by the queen, suspected that she wanted her son to inherit the kingdom of Mahismati. He therefore desirad to kill the young prince. The latter, however, escaped with the help of a trusted old servant and while roaming through a Vindhya forest chanced to meet Visruta who turned out to be his re ative Visruta then yowed that he would exterminate the king of Asmaka and place Bhaskarayarman on his ancestral throne. He then disguised himself and Bhaskaravarman as Kāpālikas and repaired to Mahismati. There he killed Pracandayarman. the brother of Candavarman, the king of Malaya, who had come there to marry Manjuvadini. Viśruta also contrived to cause Mitravarman's death by means of a poisonous garland. He then married Maniuvadini and looked after the affairs of State in the kingdom of Mahismati on behalf of Bhaskaravarman'.

Dandin's narrative ends here abruptly. So we do not know whother Viëruta succeeded in fulfilling his vow by destroying Vasantabhānu and placing Bhāskarvarman on the throne of Vidarbha

The narrative sketched above points to the existence of a large southern Empire. The Emperor belonged to the ancient lineage of Bhoja. He ruled directly over 'Vidarbha which comprised modern Berar, the Marāthi-speaking districts of the Central Provinces and the portion of the Nizam's State north of the Godavari. The kingdom of Anūpa, whose capital w a Māhismati was incorporated with that of Vidarbha and was ruled by the emperor's half-brother. Vidarbha had a number of feudatory kingdoms viz., Kuntala, Asmaka. R:iks, Murala Nāsikya and Konkapa. Of these Kuntala comprised the upper valley of the Krsaā and included the modern Southern Marat's Country and Kanarese districts of the Boubay and Madras Presidencies. Its northern limit was probably the Goldavari. This seems to have been a powerful kingdom, for Visantschitau wanted

The Uttarapithika which completes the story states that Viśruta succeeded in fulfilling his vow, but this work is very late

A. B. O. R. I., Vol. XXV, p. 40.

to enlist its ruler's suprort to his plot before he approached other feedatories. Aśmaka which figures very prominantly in the story comprised, according to the Sultampžia, the country along the bank of the Godavari. Esika which is mentioned together with Vidarbha, Aśmaka and Anūpa in the Epics and inscriptions, could have been none other than the modern district of Khandesh as shown by me elsswhere. Aśmaka and Reika were thus contiguous countries and were separated from each other by the Ajantá or Saimslis range Murala was perhaps the country watered by the Murala which figures in the Ulturralmarcurula as a tributary of the Godávari. Nssikya was of course the country round Nasik in the Bombay Presidency. Konkana is the well-known name of the strip of land between the western sea and the Sahvádri mountain.

The Empire of Anantavarman therefore extended from the Narmada in the north to the Tungabhadra in the South and from the Arabian sea in the West to the Vainganga in the East. Beyond the frontiers of this kingdom lay the powerful kingdom of Mālava in the North, that of Kosala (modern Chbattisgarh) in the East and of Vanavāsi (modern Banvāsi in North Kānara) in the South. Mālava and Kosala appear to have been friendly to Vídarbha, the latter was even matrimonially allied with it. Vanavāsi, however, seems to have been hostile.

Mark Collins showed that this state of things existed only in the sixth century A. D. under the rule of the Vākātakas When he wrote, the chronology of the Vākātakas was very uncertain. Owing to the mistaken identification of Devagupta mentioned in Vākātaka landergarnts with the homonymous king who belonged to the dynasty of the Later Guptas, "the Vākātakas were believed to have flourished in the eighth century A. D. It redounds to the credit of Collins that he did not subscribe to the prevalent view, but independently evaluated the evidence and showed that the Vākātakas flourished in the Gupta age -a conclusion which has since been incontrovertibly proved by the discovery of the Poopa since been incontrovertibly proved by the discovery of the Poopa

¹ Ibid , Vol XXV, p 168.

² This identification was first proposed by Dr Fleet and was accepted by Dr Kielhorn Dr. Bithler, however dissented from it and placed the Vaktatas in the 5th century A. D.

plates of Prabhāvatīguptā; for they show that Devagupta was another name of Candragupta II. In some other respects, however, Collin's conclusions were erroneous, based as they were on insufficient data. 'We shall therefore review the history of the age to see what particular period of the Vākātaka rule is reflected in Dandin's narrative.

We must remember at the outset that we must not expect to find the names of historical personages in this narrative. Like his predecessor Kālidāsa and his successors Rājašekhara and Padmagupta, Dandin has plainly changed the names of the characters who figure in the story. But in other respects his narrative may be expected to contain a reflex of the historical events.

Let us first take a brief surrey of Vakataka history. Towards the close of the third century A. D the Vakatakas had a fairly extensive empire in the Deccan Pravarasena! who was the real founder of Vakataka power, is said to have performed four Asyamedhas besides several other Srauta sacrificos. He had four sons among whom, according to the Purānas, his extensive empire was divided after his death. The eldest branch held northern Vidarbha and ruled first from Nandvardham sear Ramata, (ancient Rāmagiri) in the Naspur District and afterwards from Pravarapura wich has not yet been identified, but which may be Paunar in the Wardhā District. The second branch ruled over southern Vidarbha from Vassagulma, modern Bāsim in the Akola District. The other two branches may have ruled to the south of District.

¹ For instance, Collins thought that the Väktkakas rose to power in circa A D 400 and flourished till A D 600 and that they were connected with the Western Ksatrapas who disappear from history towards the close of the 4th century A. D. He also identified Prilarisens whose feedatory Vyäghraders's inscription was found at Nachus, with the first Väktkaka prince of that name in all these respects his conclusions have been proved to be erroneous.

⁹ I have shown alsewhere that Küldüna's play Malanköganiarira reflects, the maximonia dilance between the Guptias and the Vaktikais. See my Marath book, Küldüna, pp 144f. For the historical data in Rajasakhara's Vaddahallabhanjika and Padangupta's Nousachasaisakacarda, see my artolise in the Annals of the Bhandarkar Institute, Vol. XI, pp 361 ff and Ind. Art, Vol. LXI, pp 1016.

Bee Pargiter, Dynasties of the Kuli Age, p. 50.

the Godavari, but their records have not yet been discovered. They seem to have been crushed out of existence by a Rastrakuta family which rose in Southern Mahārāstra in the last quarter of the 4th century Δ D.

The eldest branch produced several kings the best known of whom is Pravarasena II, the daughter's son of Candragupts II-Vikramsditys and the reputed author of the Prakrit kävya Selubandha During the reign of Prthivisena II this branch extended its sway to the north of the Narmadā as some stone inscriptions of this king's feudatory Vyāghradeva, who is plainly identical with the Ucchakalpa king Vyāghra, have been found in the Jaso and Ajayagadh States in Central Indis. This branch seems to nave come to an end soon after Prthivisena II who is the last king known from inscriptions.

The Vatsagulma branch also produced some great kings whose names are known from an inscription in Cave XVI at Ajanta. The last of them known so far was Harisena (A. D 475-500). He was a very powerful and ambitious ruler. He appears to have annexed Northern Vidarbha after the desth of Prthivisena II and either raded or exacted tribute from the rulers of Kuntala, Avanti, Kalinga, Kosalā. Trikūta, Lāta and Āndhra It was during his reign that three of the most beautiful caves at Ajantā viz caves XVI, XVII and XIX, as well as the Ghatotkaca cave at Gulwādā were excevated and decorated

The description of Harsens's conquests in the Ajanta inscription shows that his empire extended beyond the Narmada in the North and the Kisoa in the South and from the Arabian sea in the West to the Bay of Bengal in the East. The Vākātaka power crumbled soon after Harisena, for no successor of his is known from inscriptions. The circumstances which caused the sudden downfall of such a powerful empire have not been recorded in

See my article 'The Rästrakūtas of Mānapura' in A. B O R I, Vol XXV. pp 36 ff

² Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, p 233, Ep Ind., Vol XVII, pp 12 ff

³ The names of these countries occur in a mutilated verse in 11, 14-15 in the inscription in Cave XVI at Ajants, in connection with the description of the conquests of Harisens.

^{4 [} Annals, B. O R. I.]

history. The eighth chapter of the Daśakumāracarita, if properly interpreted, may throw welcome light on this question.

The Datakumāracarita names Punyayarman and his son Anantavarman as kings of Vidarbha and Mitravarman as the ruler of Mahamati. All these belonged to the ancient Bhois race The ancient history of Vidarbha, known so far, discloses no such dynasty of Varman kings. Toe Vākātakas, who alone could have been meant here, had their names ending in sena. The names of the characters in the Dasakumuracarda are therefore purposely changed. Again, the Vākātakas nowhere in their records connect themselves with the ancient Bhoia race. The Cammaka plates? of the Vākātaka king Pravarasena II no doubt mention Bhojakatarājya as a territorial division of Vidarbha, from which Colling has inferred that the Vakatakas called themselves Bhoras and ruled from Bhorakata, but the interence does not appear to be justifiable. It is not however unlikely that the Vākātakas who ruled over Vidarbha, the land of the Bhojas, may have been popularly supposed to be of the Bhoja race. The commentator of the Setubandha, has recorded the tradition that Prayarasena, the author of that Kavua, was, according to some. called Bhoisdeva 8

The Daśakumāracarda mentions several feudatories of Anantavarman and therefore of his father Punyavarman whom we have identified with Harisena. Let us see if any of them are known from other sources to have acknowledged the supremacy of the king of Vidarbha.

1 Vasan(abhánu of Aémaka - Asmaka, as already stated, was the name of the country between the Sâtmālā range and the Godâvarī river. In the recently discovered Pandarangapallī plates it is mentioned together with Vidarbha as conquered by Mānānka of the Rastrakūta dynasty and may have been in subordinate

Mark Colles thought that the political condition described in the Daddachmiraconte existed before the time of Harpens, (or Danis describes Kinisals as a feudatory kingdom, while in the Ajantia inscriptions it is said to have been conquered by Harsena, which shows that it was independent See his Geographical Dafa etc., by 46 The argument does not appear.

² Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, pp 235 ff

⁸ Of, Pravaraseno Bhoradeva iti kecil in the com on v. 9.

alliance with the former No records of the ruling family have yet been discovered, but that Asimaka was ruled as a separate country in the 6th century A D is shown by an inscription of that age in Cave XXVI at Ajantā which names two ministers of the rulers of Asmaka.

- 2 Avantidevs of Kuntala I have shown, elsewhere that contemporary with the Vākātakas there was a dynasty of the Rāstrakūtas ruling over the country of Kuntala which comprised the upper valley of the Krspā. Their capital was Mānapura, modern Mān on the Mān river in the Sātārā Dīstrict, which was founded by Mānānka, the progenitor of the family. The country of Kuntala was conterminous with Vidarbha and therefore its rulers often came into conflict with the Vākātakas of Vatsagulma. The insertiption in Cave XVI at Apantā records two victories of the Vākātakas over the kings of Kuntala, the first during the reign of Sarvasena and the second during that of Harisena? The king of Kuntala must have been smarting under the defeat inflicted by Harisena and therefore must have welcomed the opportunity to throw off the Vākātaka yoke during the reign of Harisena's week successor
- 3 Ekavira of Reika Leika, as stated above, was the ancient name of the modern Khandesh District. As I have shown elsewhere from some copper-plate grants discovered in Khandesh, there was a feudstory family ruling over this country in the fourth and fifth centuries A. D its capital was Valkha which I have identified with Vaghit near Chalisgaon. A large, but sadly mutilated, inscription in cave XVII at Ajanta mentions a long line of twelve princes which, from their names, appears to have been connected with the family ruling at Vaghit. The last of these princes, being very much grieved by the death of his younger brother, caused the caves XVII and XIIX to be excavated at Ajapta' while Harisena, the moon among princes, was ruling the earth. The mention of the Vaktaka ruler clearly discates that this ruler of Reika was his feudstory.

¹ See my article 'the Rāsţrakūţas of Mānapura,' A. B. O. R. I., Vol. XXV, pp. 36 ff

Mirashi, Väkätaka Inscription in Case XVI at Ajantä (Hyderahad Archaeological Series, No. 14), pp. 4 ff.

² A. B. O R I . Vol. XXV. pp 159f

- 4 Nagapala of Nasikya-This prince probably belonged to the royal family of the Traikūtakas who are known from their inscriptions and coins to have held Northern Maharastra and Southern Gujarat in the fifth century A. D. The Tra-kūtakas at first owned the supremacy of the Abhiras whose era they use in their inscriptions, but later on they became independent, for one of them, Dahrasena, (circa A. D. 450-75) performed an Asvamedha sacrifice. Harisena claums to have defeated the ruler of Trikūta who was probably Vyaghrasena, for a copper-plate grant of the latter is dated K 241 (A. D. 490) Trikuta, from which the royal family took its name, was the range of hills which hordered the Nasik District on the west. The recently discovered Afrianeri plates of Bhogašakti mention Pūrva-Trikūtaresays or Eastern Trikuta District which shows plainly that there was a district named after the mountain which divided it into two parts.
- 5 Kumāragupta of Konkana—The early history of Konkana is still uncertain for want of contemporary inscriptions. The country was occupied by the Sakas and Satavāhanas in the early centuries of the Christian era, but who succeeded them is not vet definitely known. In K. 245 (A. D. 494) Konkana was under the rule of the Traikūtakas, for a copper-plate of that year which was discovered in the Stūpa at Kānheri mentions 'the increasingly victorious rule of the Traikūtakas' Periaps the ruler of Konkana, whoever he was, at first owed allegiance to the Traikūtakas, but submitted to the Vakātakas when they vanquished the Traikūtakas.
- 6 Virasena of Murala—As shown above, the country of Murala may have been situated not far from the bank of the Godávarl, but we have no knowledge of any dynasty ruling there.

We have thus seen that all these feudatories were ruling either to the west or to the south of Vidarbha Harisena claims to have conquered the eastern kingdoms of Kalinga, Kosals and Andra also, but none of these are mentioned in the story probably because they did not join Vasantabhanu's conspiracy. Two of these were probably relatives of the Vaktaka king. The Dakakumärucanta

Ep. Ind , Vol. XXV, p. 232

states that Anantavarman's mother was the daughter of the king of Kosala 1. e., Daksina Kosala or Chhattisgarh. That the ruler of Kosala had submitted to the Vākātakas is known also from the incomplete Bālāghāt plates of Prthivisena II. The contemporary ruler probably belonged to the so-called dynasty of Sarabhapura' The Andhra king who may have belonged to the Vigunkundin family, was also matrimonially connected with the Vākātakas, for Madhavavarman I who laid the foundation of Visnukundin power, in the Andhra country, married a Vākātaka princess who was probably a descendant of Harissan himself.

The Daśakumārnornia mentions, besides these feudatory states, the kingdoms of Mahismati and Malava in the North and that of Vanavāsi in the South. The country of Anūpa of which Mahismati was the capital, comprised the territory corresponding to the Nemād district of the Central provinces. Of this country too we have little information, but from two copper-plate grants discovered in the Barwāni and Gwalior States we learn that a king named Subandhu was ruling at Mahismati in the fifth century A D for one of the grants is dated in the (Kalacuri) year 167 (A D 416-17). He does not appear to have belonged to the Vākātaka (amily Afterwards the country may have been annexed to the Vākātaka dominion and placed under a member of the royal family

Candavarman of Mālava-This country was then ruled by a very powerful ruler who may have been Yašodiarman of Mandasore, the famous vanquisher of the Hūna king Mihirakula. The Mandasore atone pillar inscription of this king states that his empire extended over a very wide country extending from the Himālayas and the Brahmaputrā in the North of the Arabian Sea and the Mahendra mountain in the South.

Bhānuvarman of Vanavāsī - Vanavāsī, also called Vaijayantī, (modern Banavāsī in the North Kanara District), was the capital

I have shown elsewhere that the so called kings of Sarabhapura flours shed in circa 500-530 A D, see Ep Ind. Vol XXVI, p 228.

² Ep Ind., Vol. XIX, pp 261ff and An Rep of the Archaeological Department, Gewine Geate for 1928-19, p 15. The editor of the Barwint plates refers this date to the Gupta era, but the general resemblance of this great to those of the kings of Valkha (A B O. R. I., Vol. XXV pp 1687,) leaves no doubt that like the latter it also is dated in the so-called Kalacori Ced are of A. D. 349-540

of the Kadambas. Kadamba chronology is still uncertain, but that there was a powerful kingdom of the Kadambas in the fifth and sixth centuries A. D. is undeniable. The last king of the main line was Harivarman whose Sāngoli plates seem to have been issued either in A. D. 55 or A. D. 55. His father was Ravivarman who may have been identical with Bhānuvarman' mentioned in the Datakhumāraarta

The description in the Daśakumāracarita is thus corrobotated in all important details by what we know about the history of the Deccan in the beginning of the sixth century A.D. It clearly suggests that the central power in the Vakataka Empire became weak and feudatories began to show signs of revolt during the reign of Harrsena's weak successor who led a dissolute life. There were chaos and confusion everywhere in the Vākātaka kingdom which ultimately led to an invasion by the Kadambas of Vanavasi at the instigation of the ruler of Asmaka Owing to the treacherous defection of some other feudatories the Vakatake king suffered a disastrous defeat and was killed in the fight The Asmaka king then annexed Vidachha to his kingdom. As Dandin's narrative ends abruptly, we do not know whether Bhaskarayarman whom we have identified with Harisena's grand. son, regained the ancestral throne with external help. But even if he did, he could not have kept it long. And this is what actually happened; for within about fifty years of Harisena's death. Vidarbha was occupied by the Kalacuria who had, in the meanwhile, established themselves at Mahismati. Silver coins of Krsnerala (circa A D 550-575), the founder of Kalacuri nower, have been discovered in the Amraoti District of Berar and the Betul District of the Central Provinces From some other indications 2 also we can infer that Vidarbha was occupied by the Kalacuris during the time of Krsnarsia.

¹ There was actually a prince named Bhānuvarman of the Kadamba iamily at this time, but he was not the ruling king as required. He was the brother of the then ruling king Ravivarman. See his Hals: grant dated in the lith year of Ravivarmans reign. Ind. Ant., Vol. VI, p. 28.

As I have shown elsewhere (A B O, R, I, Vol. XXV, pp 43) the react of the Ristraktias who later on established themselves at Acalapura (modern Ellichpur) in Berar, copy certain expressions from the description of Kpynarsys in the grants of the Kulacuris which plainly shows that Krunarish had occurred Vularbha.

The foregoing discussion must have made it plain that Dandin's narrative faithfully reflects the actual political situation in the Deccan in the beginning of the suxth century A. D. Such detailed knowledge of the different kingdoms flourishing in that age clearly indicates that Dandin must have lived at a time when the events described by him happened or were at least well remembered.

The date of Daudin has long been a matter of keen controversy Some have placed him in the sixth century A. D., others in the 9th and some others in the 11th century A. D. As shown above, the political conditions described in the eighth Ucchoïas of the DaudinaTracartia, obtained in Vidarbba only in the sixth century A. D. In later centuries the centre of imperial power in the Deccan shifted successively to Mahismati, Baddmi, Manyakheta, and Kalyāni but it was never in Vidarbba Some of the geographical names also went out of use in later times. One such instance is that of Reska. This country is named in the Mabbhārica Römdynan, Erindatanhiad as well as in the Nāṣik cave inscription of Pulumāvi, but it is unknown to later works and inscriptions. All these indications point to the conclusion that Dandiu must have flourished not long after A. D 550

The recently discovered Sanskrit works Avantsundarikathā and Apuntisundarikathusana contained some interesting information about the ancestry and personal history of Dandin, but both these works are unfortunately fragmentary. The latter work. however, states that Dandin was the great-grandson of the Sanskrit poet Damodara who was patronised by the Ganga king Durvinita and the Pallava ruler Simhavisnu. Dāmodara must therefore have lived in the last quarter of the sixth century A. D. His great-grandson Dandin can consequently be referred to the third quarter of the seventh century A. D. It has been doubted whether the author of the Avantsundarilathā was identical with Dandin who wrote the Dasakumāracanta, but it is conceded even by those who doubt this identity that the biographical details about Dandin given in the aforementioned works may be correct? Dandin thus may have flourished nearly a hundred years after the fall of the Vākātakas. It is not therefore unlikely that he had fairly reliable information about the last period of Vakataka rule in Vidarbha.

¹ See Agashe's introduction in his edition of the Daśakumāracarita (Bom. Sansk. Series), p xxxviii. See Ind. Hist Quart. Vol. III, p 403.

THE NARASIMHA-PURANA

Βv

R. C. HAZRA

The present Narasimho-purana, which is also called Nrsimho-(or Nārasımha-) purāna, is one of the oldest and most important of the extant Vaisnava Unapuranas It begins with a salutation to Narasimha and states that once, in the month of Magha, some Veda-knowing sages came with their disciples to Prayaga from different parts of India (viz. Himālava, Naimisāranya, Arbudāranya, Puskarāranya, Mahendra mountain, Vindhya mountain, Dharmaranya, Dandakaranya, Srisaila, Kuruksetra, Kaumāra-parvata, Pampā, etc), bad their bath in the holy Ganges, and saw Bharadvala in his hermitage. When, after mutual greetings, they were engaged in 'talks about Krsna' (krenāśritāh kathāh), there arrived a Sūta named Lomaharsana. who was a disciple of Vyasa and was versed in the Puranas (purānamā) After Lomaharsana had been duly received by the sages. Bharadvais thanked him for having parrated to them the 'Samhita named Vārāha' (i. e the larāha-purāna) during the great sacrifice instituted by Saunaka, and then, wishing to hear from him the 'Paurana-samhita named Narasimha', put to him the following questions for detailed treatment

- (1) Whence did this universe, with its moving and stationary objects, originate? Who preserves it? And where will it go after dissolution?
- (2) What is the extent of the earth?
- (3) What acts please Narasunha?
- (4) How does creation begin, and how does it end?
- (5) What are the four Yugas? How are these to be reckoned, and what are their characteristics?
- (6) What will be the condition of people during the Kali age?

See Appendix I, pp 65-76

- (7) How is Narasimha to be worshipped, and what places, mountains and rivers are sacred to him?
- (8) How were the gods, Manus, Vidvadharas etc. first created?
- (9) Which kings were sacrificers, and who attained the

The Süta consented to narrate the 'Nārassmhaka Purāwa.' ('onsequentiy, he saluted his teacher Vyāsa, through whose tavour he learnt the 'Purānas', and then began to deal with the five Punāna topics (viz., Primary Creation, Secondary Creation, etc.) by way of answering all the questions with the narration of various relevant stories. So, the present Narassmha-p. deals with the following topics—

Glorification of Narasimha (also called Visnu, Hari. Vasudeva, Krsna, etc.) by identifying him with Narayana, the eternal Brahma Description of the origin of the cosmic erg (anda) from Brahma (i e. Nārāvana) according to the Sāmkhya system . Visnu's presence as Brahmā in this egg for creation Division of time into nimesa, kāsthā, kalā, muhūrta, ahorātra (day-and-night), paksa (fortnight), māsa (month), ayana, varsa (vear), vuga and kalpa; and the measurement of those divisions with respect to men, Pitrs, Manus, Brahmā etc, Brahma's origin from the lotus in Visnu's navel, origin of Rudra from Brahma's rage. Brahma's creation of Dakes and his wife, from whom Svavambhuva Manu was born - (chaps 1-3), Origin of the ten sages Marlci, Atri, Angiras etc. from Brahma's mind; Brahmā's creation of Satarūpā who was given in marriage to Manu: creation by the sages except Nārada who was given to Nivrtti-dharma; creation by Rudra, creation by Daksa: the descendants of Daksa's daughters. - (chans 4.5). Description of the Samsala-vrksa (tree of rebirths) which causes delusion of the mind: praise of Jaans, Visnu-worship and meditation on Visnu-Brahma as the means of getting rid of all sufferings brought on by rebirths; method and praise of muttering the eight-syllabled Mantra 'om namo naravanava' for the purpose. - (chaps 16-18). Enumeration of Aditya's 108 names (including Sambhu, Tyastr, Kapila, Mrtvu, Hari, Hamsa, Pratardana, Tarani, Mahendra, Varuna, Visnu, Agni etc.) as mentioned by Viśvakarman (chap. 20). 'Short' genealogical

^{5 [} Annals, B. O. R. I.]

lists of the kings of the solar and the lunar race, the former ending with Budhs, (v. l. Buddha in the Dacca University Mss. Nos. 2713 and 284A), son of Suddhodana, and the latter with Ksemaka, son of Naravahana and grandson of Udavana and Vasavadatta (chans. 22-23) Accounts of the past, present and future Manus and Manuantaras (chap. 24). History of the prominent kings of the solar and the lunar race (vamsanucarita), especially of those who worshipped Narasimha and performed sacrifices (chaps. 25-29) Geography of the earth (chap. 30). Glorification of the performance of duties towards parents and husband (chap. 14). Glorification of Brahmans and of service rendered to them (chap. 28). Results of giving various articles (chap 30). Method of the worship of Gapesa (chap, 26) Glorification of Narasimha-worship as well as of the different kinds of service rendered to Narasumha and his temple (viz. construction and sweeping of the temple and beamearing it with cow-dung; bathing of the image with pure water, milk, card. honey etc or with Mantra, offer of various articles, recitation of hymns of praise; presentation of flags marked with the figure of Garuda, songs, musical concerts, or theatrical performances etc. held for Narasımha's pleasure; removal of flowers etc. with which Narasimha has been worshipped, and so on, - (chaps. 32-34). Sins arising out of crossing the flowers etc with which Visnu has been worshipped (chap. 28). The methods of performing Laksa-homa and Koti-homa for the good of the village or the town or the country in which these are performed (chaps, 34-35). The method of consecration of the images of Visnu (chap. 56). The Vedic and the popular (sarva-hita) method of Narasimha-(or Visnu-) worship (chaps 62-63). Description of the evils of the Kali age (chap 54). Duties of the four castes and orders of life (varpasrama-dharma, - chaps, 57-60). Description and praise of Yogs which is to be practised. by one who belongs to the fourth order of life (chap 61). Enumeration and praise of rivers and holy places sacred to Visnu (chaps. 65-66). Praise of certain qualities of the mind (chap. 67). Mention and praise of a few Vratas (viz., Ekabhakta, Nakta, Saura-nakta, Agastyārgha-dāna, etc. - chap. 67). Praise of the Narasimha-purana (chap. 68).

In connection with these topics the following stories have been introduced in this Purāna, viz

the story of the birth of Vasistha and Agastva from Mitra and Varuna when the latter saw Urvast in a lake called Paundarika in a forest in Kuruksetra (chap 6): the story of Markandeya who, being destined to die at the age of 12, worshipped Visnu, according to Bhrgu's advice, with the twelve-syllabled Mantra (om namo bhagayate Vasudevava) at Bhadravata on the bank of the river Tunga-bhadra, with the result that even Death had no influence on him, and when Death and his assistants went to Yama to report how, in their attempt to bring Markandevs to the abode of Yama, they had been beaten back by the Visnu-dūtas, Yama reproached them for their conduct towards the Visnu-dutas and praised Visnu (also called Vacudeva and Krsna) as the chastiser even of himself (chans 7-12), the story of Yama, who, though repeatedly tempted by his passionate sister Yami to incest, did not agree to her proposal and was thus able to attain divinity (chap. 13); the story of a Brahmacarin named Deva-sarman who turned a wandering mendicant after his father's death, began to live at Nandigrāma in Madhvadeša after visiting numerous holy places, became proud of his occult power by being able to reduce to ashes, by an angry look, a crow and a crane which were carrying away his rag, chanced to meet Savitri, the devoted, and consequently omniscient, wife of a pious and learned Brahman named Kasyapa, and was reproached by her for his pride as well as for his neglect of duties to his mother and forefathers (chap. 14), the story of a learned Brahman, who, after his wife's death, visited numerous holy places, turned a Yati at the advice of Narasimha (who warned him that one, who does not belong to any order of life, is not favoured by him), and attained salvation after death (chap 15) the stories of the birth of the Asvins and the Maruts, said to have been summarised from those told respectively by Vayu and by Sakti-putra 1 (i. e. Parasara)

The printed ed. r.ads 'sakti-putropa' (see Nar. 19, 5), but the Daeca University Mss Nos 2713 (fol. 27s) and 284A (fol. 34b) read 'saktruputropa'. In the Daeca University Ms No 223, the folios (37-40) containing chaps, 19-27 and verses 1-16 of chap. 28 of the printed ed. are lost;

36 in the 'Vaisnavākhva Purāna' (chaps. 19-21), the story of Iksvāku, who, considering renunciation to be the best way of Visnu-worship, went to the hermitage of Galava and others after eulogising and worshipping Ganesa according to Vasistha's instructions, practised severe penance there, and muttered the twelve-syllabled Mantra 'om namo bhagavate väsudeväya ', with the result that Brahmā revealed himself before king Iksvāku, told him how, being directed by a voice from the air, he himself could experience Visnu by worshipping this god as well as by performing his own duties, and gave instructions to Iksvāku, who accordingly returned to his capital, did his duties as a householder, worshipped the images of Ananta and Madhava given to him by Brahma, and attained the region of Visnu (chaps, 25-26), the story of Santanu who, though worshipping Narasimha according to the method learnt from Narada, once passed over Narasimha-nirmālya (1 e the flowers etc with which Narasimha was worshipped) and was thus disabled from mounting his divine chariot but who regained his power by clearing the remnants of tood of Brahmans for twelve years in Kuruksetra (chap 28), the story of Indra's son who used to steal flowers from the garden of a florist named Ravi and was disabled from mounting his chariot in consequence of passing over Visnu-nirmalya, placed by the florist near the garden according to Narasimha's instructions, but who got iid of his disability and went to his heavenly residence after clearing the remnants of food of Brahmans for twelve years in Kuruksetra (chap 25), the story of Dhiuva's attainment of high position among the stars and planets through Narasimla's javour attained by means of Visnu-worship as well as by muttering the twelve-syllabled Mantra 'om namo bhagavate väsudeväya' (chap 31), the story of the demons Sthulasiras and Bahuroman. who disguised themselves as ascetics on the bank of the Reva with a view to carrying away Draupadi, and of whom the former was killed by Bhima and the latter, when chased by Arjuna. took the form of a lour-armed and yellow-robed being with a conch-shell, disc etc. in his hands and narrated to Arjuna how in his previous birth as a Brahman of ill repute he swept the floor of a Vispu-temple and lighted a lamp there for enjoying the wife of a Brahman, how being beaten to death by the cityguards he attained heaven and remained there for a long time. how he was reborn as king Jayadhvaja of the lunar race and rendered service to the Visnu-temple, and how after death he enjoyed various pleasures in the regions of Indra and Rudra and was, on his way to the Brahmaloka, cursed by Nārada to become a demon (chap. 33) the stories of the ten incornations of Visnu (viz., Matsya, Kūrma, Varāha, Narasimha Vāmana, Parasurāma, Rāma, Balabhadra, Krena, and Kalki) including the story of Prahlada (chaps 36-54). the story of Sukra's regaining his eye which was pierced by Vamana (chap 55): the story of Indra, who muttered the eight-syllabled Mantra and got rid of his female form that was brought on him by the curse of the sage Tanabindu for killing an his hermitage the demoness Dirghajanghā who, under the guise of an extremely beautiful woman, acted as a spy to Kuvers whose wife Citrasena was stolen by Indra (chap. 63), the story of a Brahman named Pundarika, who became a wandering mendicant without entering the second stage of life, settled a: Saligrama and became an attendant (pārsada) of Nārāyana by muttering the eight-syllabled Mantra (om namo naravanava) and meditating on the deity according to the instructions of Narada who introduced himself as a servant of Vasudeva (chap 64)

From the above mentioned contents of the present Narranimba p. it is clear that this Purāna is meant exclusively for the glorification of Narasumha who is identified with Nārāyana (or Visnu) as one of the forms (mūrti) of the laiter and is thus called not only Nārāyana but also Visnu, Vāsundeva, Hari, Mādhava, Anania, Krana, Dāmodara etc. So Narasimha, though a form of Nārāvana or Visnu, is himself the principal god also It is this supreme deity called Nārāyana (or Visnu or Narasimha) who takes the form of Brahmā and Rudra for the sake of creation and destruction respectively, and for the work of preservation he takes the forms of (the inferior) Visna, Narasimha etc. In creation he takes to Māvā 'Though in this

Nat 1, 30 . 1, 64-65

Nar 1, 10, 7, 37

Nar. 1, 30 . 2, 1 . 1, 61-62 and 64-65 . 39, 17b-18b.

Nor. 3, 28-māyām adhişthāya spjaty anantah.

Purāna Visnu (or Nārāyana) is described as four-armed, yellow-robed, having a complexion like that of the cloud, and holding a conch-shell, a disc, a mace and a lotus in his hands, he is called nirvikalpa, nisprapanca, advaita, sarvātmaka, ātma-caitanya-rūpa, jyoth-svarūpa, avyakta-svarūpa. ānanda-rūpa, cidātmaka etc. 1 and identified with the Brahma of Vedānta and the Purusa of Sāmkhya. 2

It has already been said that the present Narasanha-p is one of the oldest of the extant Vaisnava Upapuranas. It was translated into Telugu about 1300 A D 3 and is profusely drawn upon by the commentators and Nibandha-writers both early and late For instance, Gadadhara quotes verses from chap 58 in his Kalasara, Gopalabhatta from chaps, 8, 9, 18, 28, 32, 33, 34, 57 (as occurring in the Dacea University Mss.), 58 and 66 in his Haribhakti-ralasa, Anantabhatta from chaps 34 and 35 in his Vidhāna-pārijāla I. Naissimba Vājapeyin from chaps 7, 8, 28, 33, 34, 57 (as occurring in the Dacca University Mss.), 58 and 63 in his Natyacara-pradipa; Raghunandana from chap 62 in his Durgū-pūjū-tattva and from chaps 8, 18, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 57 (as occurring in the Dacca University Mss.), 58, 62, 63, 66 and 67 in his Smrti-tattva, Govindananda from chaps 30, 34, 57 as occurring in the Dacca University Mss.), 58, 62 and 67 in his Varsa-kaumudi, from chap 58 in his Suddha-kaumudi and Sraddha-kanmudi, and from chap 30 in his Dong-kaumudi. Srinathacarya-cudamani from chap 58 in his Kriya-taliparnam . Vidyākara Vajapevin from chaps, 8, 15, 32, 58 and 63 in his Nitracara-paddhati, Sulapani from chap. 58 in his Dipa-kalika, Vacaspati-misra from chap 65 in his Tirtha-centimant: Madhavacarva from chaps, 58 and 60 in his commentary on the Parasarasmrtt. Sridatta Upādhyāya from chaps 28, 32 and 58 in his Krtyācūra Madanapāla from chaps 34, 57 (as occurring in the Dacca University Mss) and 58 in his Madana-pārijāta : Hemādri from chaps, 26, 30, 58 and 67 in his Caturogra-centamans. Vallalasena from chaps, 30, 34 and 58 in his Danasagara.

Nar 17, 17-18, 21-25 and 27, 1, 61b-62a, 1, 31a; 53, 11, and so on.

² Nar 1, 31a and 36-39, 3, 13, 17, 35a

^{*} Farguhar, Outline of the Religious Literature of India, p. 249.

Devana-bhatta from chaps 30, 34, 57 (as occurring in the Dacoa University Mas) and 58 in his Smrtr-candrakā, and Aparārka from chaps 58, 60 and 63 in his commentary on the Yūphavaldpasantt (see Appendix III). In his Smrtr-tatta II, pp. 84 and 284 Raghunandana quotes Nar 66, 45 and Nar 30, 29-30a not direct from the Nauasunharp but from the 'Tirtha-kanda-ladpadra' and 'Dima-ratnākara' respectively. Though Vallalassan is very particular about the authenticity of the Purānas which he draws upon in his Dūnasagara, he utilises the present Narasunharp, without the least shade of doubt as regards its authenticity, antiquity and non-Tantric character. Moreover in 1030 A. D. Alberünl mentions the Narasunharp, in his first list of eighteen

Next Validiasean names a set of Perfans repected by him as spur, our, vir, Tarkeps (1, e. Garwin). Brithma, Agnes, Variance consisting of 2000 610kas, and Lungor of 6000 610kas, and in ocanection with their contents he says that these works dealing with pilitation, consecration, ways of salvation for the Tantriks, testing of gems, floititous genealogies, lexicography, grammar etc. and containing irrelevant and contradictory statements, were used as means of deception by Mina-testans (followers of Minantika ') and others, who were hypocrites, heretics and pseudo-ancetics. By his remark that due to its wide circulation the \$Kanda-pur Ana azisted in more parts than one, and that three of its persit dash; with the accounts (kaths) of Pauqdes, Revit and Avanti, Validiasean seems to include those three parts among the apprious Purdipas. See Dimensigars, 60, 33-4a.

¹ Interesting information about the Puranas is supplied by Vallalasena when, in his Danasagara, he refers to the contents of a few Puranic works by way of explaining why he rejected them partly or wholly. Regarding the Adi-purana he says that though it was well-known for its treatment of gifts divided according to the division of the year, it was slightly touched upon in the Dunasugara, because its contents were already fully utilised in the Acūra-sāgara, the Bhāgavaia, the Brahmānda and the Nāradiya-purāna did not contain any chapter on guts and were consequently set aside the Linga-purana, whose volume was expanded by the chapters on big donations (mahadina) contained in the Matsug-purang and which was thus no better than a digest on gifts, was not drawn upon, because the substance of its contents was already obtained from other Puranas, the Bhavisya-purana was utilised upto the chapters dealing with saptami (-kalpa), while those on the astami- and navami (-kalpa) were rejected on account of their imbibing Tantric influence, the Vignu-rahasya and the Siva-rahasya, which were famous among the people, were mere compilations and were consequently not utilised, and though the Bhavisyottara was famous for its customary laws (or was popularly followed) and did not contradict good customs, it was avoided in the Danasagara for want of sufficient evidence to prove its authenticity.

'Purapas' which was dictated to him. These show that by the end of the tenth century A. D. the Narasumha-p. attained such prominence that its authenticity as an aucient 'Purana' was not at all questioned. Hence this Purana must have been written not later than 800 A. D.

The mention of a 'Varabakhya Samhita' or 'Varaba' in the Naramitha-p must not be taken to point to the extent Varalia-p and thus be used as an evidence for the late date of the present Narasinha. In Nar 1, 14-15 Bharadvais says, "O Suta, the Samhitā named Vārāha has already been heard from you by these (sages) and ourselves during the great sacrifice of Saunaka: at present these sages and myself want to hear from you the Paurana-sambita named Narasimba"; and in Nar 31. 10b-11a Suta says in connexion with geography, "The region of Visnu is situated at a distance double in comparison with that of the region of Brahma; its glories have been described in the Varaha by those who think over the (different) regions " " From these it is clear that the Narasimha-p speaks of a Varāha-p which was narrated by Suta to the sages Bharadyana and others during the great sacrifice of Saunaka and which dealt, among other topics, with the praise of the region of Visnu But in the present Varaha-p there is no mention of Saunaka's great sacrifice as the occasion of the narration of the Purana or of Bharadvana as one of the interlocutors, nor does this Purana deal

Sachau, Albertini's India, Vol I, p. 30.

This list consists of the following 'Purapas' Adi-p, Mateya-p, Karma-p. Varaha-p. Narasimha-p. Vamana-p. Vavu-p., Nanda-p. Skanda-p., Aditya-p., Soma-p., Samba-p., Brahmanda-p., Markrndeya-p. Tarkeya-p., Vienu-p, Brahma-p and Bhavisya-p.

In Nor 31, 11a the printed ed, reads 'varaho', but the Dagga University Mss read 'värähe' in the corresponding line See footnote 4 below. 3 bharadvāja uvāca :

ésunakasya mahāzatre vārāhākhyā tu sambitā : tvattah śruta pura suta etair asmābhir eva ca ii sämpratam närasımhākhyām tvattah paurāna-samhitām ; śrotum techāmy aham sūta śrotukāmā ime athitāh ii Nar. 1, 14-15

brahma-lokād vispu-loko dviguņe ca vyavasthitah i

varābe tasya māhātmyam kathitam loka-cintakash :: Nar. 31, 10b-11a. The Dacca University Mss Nos 2713 (fol 41b), 323 (fol. 47a) and 284A (fol. 54a) read 'varahe' for 'varahe'. The former two Mss read 'vogacintakaih ' for ' loka-cintakaih ',

with the glories of the Visnu-loke. So, the $Varāha-\mu$, mentioned in the $Narasmha-\mu$, must have been different from the extant Varāha, which was thus unknown to the present $Narasmha-\mu$. The original chapters of the extant $Varāha-\mu$ being written about 800 A. D., the date of the present $Narasmha-\mu$ must be placed earlier.

The verses common to the Naraunha-p, and the present Varāha in their chapters on primary and secondary creation need not be taken to prove the indebtedness of one of these two Purānas to the other. These common verses must have been taken by these two Purānas from the earlier Varāha-p, which is now extinct.

In chaps. 26, 33 (verses 1-14), 34, 35, 56, 62 and 63 (verses 1-0 and 199-222) the Narasmha-p., gives the method of Ganeša-worship, the Vedic and popular methods of Visnu-worship, the method of consecrating the images of Visnu, and the procedure to be followed in the performance of Laksa-homa and Koti-homa. But neither in these chapters nor anywhere else in the whole Purāna there is the slightest trace of Tantrio influence This Pusāna does not mention the Tantiks or the Tantras evon for the sake of denouncement So, it is evident that this Purāna was composed at a time when the Tantras did not begin to influence the people very seriously Now, from an examination of the Mahāpurānas we have seen that Tantric influence began to be imbibed by the Purānic works from about 800 A. D.* Hence the Narasmha-p. must be dated not later than 700 A. D.

Though in chap. 36 of the Narasumha-p. Markandeya promises to narrate the stories on the following (eleven) incarnations of Visnu, viz, Matsya, Kūrma, Varāha, Narasimha, Vāmana,

i Varasımha-p	Varāha-p (ASB ed)	Narasımha-p	Varaha-p (ASB ed.)
1, 17, 19-20a and 23a	= 1, 12-15a	3, 10b	= 2, 21a
1, 32-33	~ 2, 3-4	3, 11-28b	= 2, 23-41a
1, 35-36a	≈ 2, 5-6	4, 1-6	= 2,42-47
3, 1-9a	= 2, 13-20	5, 5a and 6b-8a	= 2,49-50

See Hazra, Purānic Records on Hindu Rites and Customs, p 260.

^{6 [}Annals, B. O R. I]

Parasurama, Rama, Balarama, Krsna, Buddha and Kalki, he gives, in chape. 37-54, the stories on all these except the Buddha and adds at the end, "I have spoken on the ten incarnations of (Visnu) the destroyer of (all) earthly sins. The devotee of Nrsimha, who always listens to these, attains Visnu." (Nar. 54, 6). So the only line 'kalau präpte yath's buddho bhaven märäyansh prabhuh.' (Nar. 36, 9a), which mentions the Buddha incarnation, is undoubtedly spurious. This line does not occur in the Dacca University Mas (Nos. 2113, 323 and 284.4) of the Navosunharp Thus the Narosunharp knows the group of the 'ten' incarnations of Visuu but is quite ignorant of the Buddha incarnation An examination of the different lists of incarnations of Visuu shows that the Buddha began to be regarded as an incarnation of Visuu from about 550 A. D'. Hence the date of the Narasunharp.

According to the Narasushary Kisna embodies only a part of Ysnu's Saki' It is for this inferior position of Kran that Yama says in Nar. 9, 3, "I submit to the slayer of (the demon) Madhu, even Kisna is not able to restrain me of his own accord." Hence the Narasushary is to be dated earlier than the present Rhāgarata, in which Kisna is given a higher position and is even called the Bhagarat himself 'A as the present Rhāgarata is to be dated in sixth century A D 3 the Narasushary is to be placed not later than 500 A.D.

¹ In the presented the verse (no ?) on theRāma meanation is placed before the line (no. %a) on Parašurāma, but in the Dacca University Mss (Nos 2713, 323 and 284A) this order has been reversed.

See Hazra, Purënne Records on Hindu Rites and Customs pp 41-42.
 See Nar 53, 30b-31a (avathrya mahitale sita-krone ca

⁻ See Nor 33, our-ola (avairya mahitale : sita-krane ca macchaki kathafadin ghitayayatah :), 35a (presnyamasa te sakti sita-krane syake npa) and 66a (itthaih hi sakti sita-krana rupe harer anantasya mahibaladhye)

Cf Bhāqavata-p 1, 3, 38a-ete cāmsa-kalāh pumsah krsnas tu bhagavan svsyam.

As there are a tew parallelisms in idea as well as language between the works of Sahakarabayra and the present Bagonards p, some scholars are inclined to date the Bagonards after that great Vedinities scholar (Sace Bahrangs Virgo, II, pp. 15-79). But these scholars one scholar that the present Bagonards, like the other Perfinite works been revised and memoded on more occasions than one, and that Sahikarabayra's influencement by the scholar scholars of the present Bagonards. The scholars of the scholars of the present Bagonards. The scholars of the present Bagonards. (See Hatte, Parague Record on Zinda Sites and Castonar, Da. 3-57).

Thus we get the lower limit of the date of this Purana.

Again, in Narasımha-p., chaps. 47-52, a summary of the contents of the Romanana is given in confection with the Rama incarnation of Visnu, Nar. 29, 3 mentions some of the notable incidents of the Mahabharata, viz. Arjuna's receipt of the Pasupata weapon from Samkara and the assistance given by him to Agni in consuming the Khandava forest, the Pandavas' residence meconito with Draupadi in Virata's capital, and so on; and in Nar. 29, 6 Janamejaya is said to have heard the entire Mahābhāruta from Vaisampāvana a student of Vvāsa, in order to get rid of the sin arising out of killing a Brahman (brahma-hatya)." Thus the Narasymba-p knows both the Rāmāyana and the Maha hhārata In Nar 19, 5-6 Sūta says to Bharadvāja, "O high-minded one, the origin of the Maruts has already been described elabo rately by the son of Saktri (i e. by Parasara) in the Purana named Vaisnava, and the creation of the twin gods Asvins has been narrated in minute details by Vayu, I shall speak to you briefly on this creation. Listen to me." Thus the Narasumha-p mentions two Puranas, of which the second is decidedly the present Vanu-n. which contains the story of the birth of the Asvins in chap. 84 and is declared by Vavu . 2 but the information regarding the 'Vaisnavakhya Purana' in which Saktri's son (Parasara) was the narrator of the story of the birth of the Maruts, does not tally fully with the present Visnu-p. which, though narrated by Parasara, does not contain this story But this absence of the story of the birth of the Maruts in the present text of the Visnu-p, must not be taken very seriously, because it can be explained away by saving that

suta uvaca !!

marutām vistareņoktā vaisņavākhye mahāmate !

purāņe šakti-putreņa purotpattiš ca vāyunā ii ašvinor devayoš caiva sretir uktā suvistarāt i

samksepāt tava vaksyāmi sīstim etām šīnusva me ii Nar. 19, 5-6.

The Dacoa University Mss. Noz. 2714 and 284A read 'faktri-putrena' for 'faktri-putrena'. Dacoa University Ms. No 323 has lost chaps. 19-27 and verses 1-16 of chap 28.

This identity seems to be supported by the verses common to the Vavu and the Narasimha-p., viz.

Vayu-p. 6, 60-65 of. Ndr. 3, 23-28a,

^{, 9, 75}ff, . of , 5, 3ff,

the particular Ms or recension of the present Visnu-p. which was used by the Narasunha-p contained the above mentioned story, and also because there are verses common to the Narasumha and the Visnu-p 1 Hence the Narasımha-p must be dated later than the present Vayu-p and Vismi-p. That the Norasimha-p was composed later than the Visuu-p is shown by another piece of evidence In the Visnu-p two hairs of Visnu, one black and the other white, are said to have been incarnated as Krsna and Balarama respectively." Thus Krsns and Balarama are incarnations of exceedingly small portions of Visnu. But in the Narasimilar-p it is two Saktis of Vianu, one black and the other white. which appear in the forms of Krsma and (Bala-) Rama respectively for the destruction of Kamas and others 'So the position of Krsua and Balarama as incarnations of Visau is better in the Narasunka-p than in the Visnu Now, the present Vanu-p, with the exception of those of its chapters which were added later. being earlier than the present Visnu-p, and the Visnu-p being to be dated about 300 A D, the date of the Narayashu-p should be placed not earlier than about 400 A D. This upper limit of the date of the present Amasucha-p is supported by its mention of week-days (viz. Aika-divasa and Guru-vara) in chap 67 (verses 8 and 10 respectively), because the earliest engraphic mention of a week-day is found in the Eran inscription of 484 A. D.

Thus the date of the present Narasunha-p is to be placed between 400 and 500 A D. It is highly probable that this Purāna was written in the latter half of this century.

For instance,		
Nar asımha-p		\$ \$\$##-g-
3, 23-28 _A		cf I, 5, 18-24
), 3A	75.	J, 8, 1b-2, 7, 3ff,
95 40b-41a	-	T e 20

¹ See Vijnu-p. V 1, 59-60—evam samstüyamänas tu bhagavan parameévarshi unjahärätmanah kesau sita-kṛsuau mahāmune u uvāna na surān stau mat-kesau va-udhī-talo i avatīrya bhuvo bhāra-kleša-hāmim karisyatāh .

Nar 53, 30h-31a, 33-34a and 66. For the texts of these verses see footnote 19 above.

Fleet, Gupta Inscriptions, pp. 88-89.

The introductory verses of the Narasunha-p, do not throw any light on the date of this Purāna As all the Mss do not agree in this respect, some of these introductory verses may be later additions Moreover, the date of these verses is uncertain The verses 'kim kim simhas tatah kim' is sorribed to Yyasa in the Kavindra-wiccana-samuccaya (ed Bibl Ind., p. 53), and Sridhara-dasa's Sadauku-kargāmarfa (ed. Bibl. Ind., p. 53), and thus seems to have been taken from the Narasunha-p.

That a Narasahha-p was written at a fairly early date is shown not only by its mention in all the lists of Upapuranes' but also by the Motsa-p (53,59) which says that the Upapuranes viz., Nārasahha, Nands-parāna, Sānha and Aditya, were well-established in society '(lokesampratisthitāh), thus testifying to a nuch earlier date of these Upapurānas But ti very difficult to say whether our present Narasahha-p is the same as that mentioned in these lists and in the Matsya-p. The commentators and the Nibandha-writers are, however, quite sure of the identity of the two. Of these, Narasaimha Vājapejin even crylains the shorter form of the present Narasahha-p saying, "As the number (of ślokas) of the famous Nārasahha is not lound to be 18000, it seems that a portion has been lost in course of time."?

The above date of the present Narasumharp, must not be taken to be that of the entire Puñan as found in the printed edition, because in the printed edition there are chapters and verses which are decidedly later interpolations. In order to be able to find out these spurious portions a list of such chapters and verses as are not found in all the Mss of the Narasumharp, is given below with the mention of those Mss in which they occur and also of those in which they are not found

¹ For these lists and the date or formation of the group, see ABORI, Vol. XXI, pp. 38 ff

Nityācāra-pradipa, p. 18—prasiddba-nārasimhasya aṣṭādaśasāhasra-samkhyā yady api nopalabhyate kimoit kāla-kramāt luptam iti pratibhāti.

46 Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute		
Chaps. and verses of the printed ed.	Mss. in which they are not found.	Mss. in which they occur.
1. Chap. 31, verses 1-97. (On the story of Dhruva)	(1) Ms. noticed by R. L. Mitra (2) Aufrecht's Ms (3) Korth's Ms (Cat No. 6618-1) Apr. 10 Apr.	Mss & and W used in the printed ed.
Chap 33, verses 15-85, chap, 34, verses 1 (On the story of the Pañdavas' killing of the demons Bahuroman and Sthùlasiras).	(1) Ms noticed by Mitra (2) Aufrecht's Mas (3) Dacca University Mas Nos 2713, 323, 284A. (4) Mitra's Brkaner Ms (5) Ms russed in the printed ed Also in (1) Eggeling's Mas, (2) Keith's Mas, (3) Shastri's ASB Mss, and (4) Caloutta Sans. College Mas?	Mss ≈ and ≈ used in the printed ed
3 Chap. 34, verses 43-55a. (On Laksa-homa and Koti-homa)	Ms य used in the prin- ted ed.	All other Mss.

4 Chap. 36, verse 9a. (On the Buddha incerna- tion).	(1) Dacca Univ Mss Nos. 2713, 323, 284A. (Most probably also in the Mss of Mi tra, Aufrecht, Eg- geling, Keith, Shas- tri and Calcutts Sans College; oth- erwise the number of inca nations be- comes 11 and not 10 as mentioned in Nar. 54, 6-dasiava- täräh kathitäs tava- iva harer mayā etc).	Mas ε, ετ and also τ (?) used in the printed ed.
5. Chap. 40, verses	(1) Ms noticed by	
60b-61b, chaps. 41-	Mitra.	the printed ed.
43; chap 44, verses	(2) Aufrecht's Mss.	
1-13	(3) Dacca Univ Mss	
(On the story of Prahlada.)	Nos 2713 323,	
rraniada.)	· 284A	
	(4) Mitra's Bikaner	
	(5) Ms n used in the printed ed	
	Also in (1) Eggeling's	
	Mss, (2) Keith's	
	Mss, (3) Shastri's	
	ASB Mss, and (4) Calcutta Sans	
	College Mss 9	
6 Chap. 54, verses	(1) Ms noticed by	Mss & and W used in
8-61.	Mitra	the printed ed.
(On the evils of the	(2) Aufrecht's Mss. (3) Dacca Univ. Mss	
Kali age,)	Nos.2713, 323, 284A	
	Also in (1) Eggeling's	
	Mss, (2) Keith's Mss (3) Shastri's ASB	
	Mss, and (4) Cal-	
	outta Sans. College	
	Mas ?	

7. Chap. 61. (On Yoga) (1) Aufrecht's Mss (2) Mss. ₹ and ₹ used in the printed

ha

(1) Ms. noticed by Mıtra

(2) Dacca Univ. Mes

Nos 2713, 323. 284A.

(3) Ms 可 used in the

printed ed Also in (1) Eggeling's Mss. (2) Keith's Mss. (3) Shastri's

ASB M-s, and (4) Calcutta Sans

(1) Dacca Univ Mss. 8 Chap. 63, verses Nos 2713 and 323 10-119a (In the Ducca Univ (On the story of

Indra's getting rid of his female form by muttering the eight-syllabled

Manira.)

Ms Ne 284A chap 57.veises 21-30 and chaps 58-o4 of the printed ed do not

occur at all) (2) Ms Tused in the printed ed. Most probably also in

(1) Ms noticed by Mitra. (2) Aufrecht's Mas

Also in (1) Eggel ing's Mss. (2) Keith's Mse, (3) Shastri's ASB

Mss. and (4) Cal cutta Sans College Mas ?

College Mas? (1) Eggeling's Ms (Cat No 3378

in its comparati vely modern portion) (2) Mss & and W used in the printed ed

- 9. Chap. 64.
 - (On the interlocution between Narada and Pundarika on the glories of Nārāyana.)
- (1) Ms noticed by Mitra
- (2) Eggeling's Mss. (3) Dacca Univ. Mss Nos. 2713 and 323
- (In Dacca Univ. Ms No. 284A chap. 57. verses 21-30 and chaps 58-64 of the printed ed. do not occur at all)
- Also in (1) Keith's Mss. (2) Shastri's
 - ASB Mss, and (3) (3) Calcutta Sans College Mas ?
- 10. Cnap. 65 (1) Aufrecht's Ms (On the 68 holy No. 138. places of the Vai-(2) Mas ₹ and ₹ snavas).
 - used in the printed ed

- Aufrecht's Mss. (2) Eggeling's Ms.
- (Cat. No. 3378. In
- its modern portion.) (3) Mas 年. 朝 and a used in the printed ed.)

- (1) Ms noticed by Mitra. (2) Aufrecht's Ms
 - No 139. (3) Eggeling's Mes (4) Dacca Univ Mas
 - Nos · 2713. 323. 284 A.
- (5) Ms 可 used in the printed ed
- Also in (1) Keith's Mss. (2) Shastri's ASB Mss. and (3) Calcutta Sans Col. lege Mas (especia: Hy in Ms No 36)?

50 Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute		
11. Chap. 66 (On the praise of holy places.)	(1) Aufrecht's Ms. No. 138. (2) Dacca Univ. Ms No. 284A. (3) Calcutta Sans College Ms No. 298. (4) Mss \$\varphi\$ and \$\varphi\$ used in the printed ed.	(1) Ms. noticed by Mitra. (2) Aufrecht's Ms No. 139 (3) Eggeling's Mss. (4) Dacca University Mss Nos. 2713, 323 (5) Shastri's ASB Mss(especially Cat. No 4076.) (6) Ms n used in the printed ed Also in (1) Keith's Mss, and (2) Calcutta Sans College Ms No 365
12. Chap 67. (On the mental Tirthan, and the praise of the Narasymba-j-1	(J) Aufrecht's Ms No 138 (2) Dacca Univ Ms No 284A (3) Calcutta Sans College Ms No 298 (4) Mss 年 and 昭 used in the printed ed	(1) Ms noticed by Mitra. (2) Aufrecht's Ms No 139 (3) Eggeling's Mss (4) Dacca Univ Mss Nos :715, 323 (5) Ms mused in the printed ed
 Chap 68 On the praise of the Narasunha-p,), 	(1) Ms noticed by Mitra (2) Eggeling's Ms. (Cat Nos 33'5-77' (3) Dacca Univ. Ms. Nos. 2713, 323, 284A. (4) Calcutta Sans college Mss (Nos	(1) Aufrecht's Mas (2) Mas F and R used in the printed ed

36, 298) (5) Ms π used in the printed ed Also in . 1 / Keith's Mas, and (2) Shastri's ASB Mas?

The above table shows that the following chapters, which are not contained in the older and better Mss, are undoubtedly spurious, viz ,

```
chap. 31, verses 1-97
                                 on the story of Dhruva.
                                 on the story of the Pandavas'
chap. 33, verses 15-85
                                 killing the demons Bahuroman
                                 and Sthülasiras.
chap [6, verse
                                 on the Buddha incarnation.
chap. 40. verses
                   60b-61b.
chaps 41-43.
                                 on the story of Prahlada.
chap, 44.
           verses
                   1~13
                                 on the evils of the Kali age.
chap 54, verses 8-61
                                 on the story of Indra's getting
chap. 63,
           verses 10-119a
                                 rid of his female form by mutte-
                                 ring the eight-syllabled Mantra.
                                 on the interlocution between
chap 64
                                 Närada and Pundarika on the
                                 glories of Narayana.
chap, 68
                                 on the praise of the Narasunha-n. .
 whereas the following chapters, viz.
      chap. 34 (verses 43-55a)
                                 on Laksa-homa and Koti-homa.
      chap 61
                                 on Yoga.
                                 on the 68 holy places of the
      chap, 65
                                                    Valsdavas.
      chap 66
                                 on plaise of holy places,
                                 on the mental Tirthas, and the
and chap 67
                                     praise of the Narasımha-p.,
```

though eliminated in some Mss, are genuine.

The spurious character of the chapters and verses of the iorimer group is also shown by their position, by the nature of their insertion, as well as by other evidences. For instance, the story of Dhru'an in chap, 31, verses 1-97 is inserted so abruptly that none can have the slightest doubt regarding the fact that verses 98-113 of chap, 31 are direct continuations of the verses in chap, 30. As a matter of fact, chap 30 and verses 98-113 of chap, 31 of the printed of form a single chapter in the Dacca Univer-

sity Mss. 'Similarly, the story of the Pandavas' killing of the demons Bahuroman and Sthulasiras in chap. 33 (verses 15-85) and chap, 34 (verse 1) separate verses 1-14 of chap. 33 and verses 2 ff of chap, 34 of the printed ed, which deal with the results of offering different articles to Visnu and of rendering various kinds of service to this deity and which thus rightly form a single chapter in the Dacca University Mss. Regarding the apurious character of verse 9a of chap 36 much has already been said in connection with the determination of the date of this Purana. As to the chapters on the story of the Prahlada. they are placed between verses 1-60a of chap. 40 and verses 14ff. of chap 44 of the printed ed which form one single chapter in the Dacca University Mas as well as in the Ms W used in the printed edition. In these Mss, those verses, which are separated, with modifications, by the chapters on the story of Prahlada in the printed ed , read, with slight variations, as follows.

gacchadhyam adhunā devāh svasthānam vigata-ivarāh i

aham adya gamisyāmi ındrasyendratva-sıddhaye # hiranya-kasipor näšo bhavatām api vrddhave i yusmākam vijayāyaiva ajayāya sura-dvisām II markandeva uvaca i ity uktā visnunā devā natva visnum yayur nrpa i bhagayan api deveso narasimham athakarot 11 bahu-yojana-vistirnam bahu-yojanam ayatam i atıraudram mahākāyam dānavānām bhayamkaram # mahānetram mahavaktram mahādamstram mahānakham i mahāhāhum mahāpādam kālāgni-sadrsonamam it krtvettham närasimham tu vavan visnus trivikramah i stūyamāno muni-ganair hiranya-kašipoļi purah !! nreimhas tatra gatvā ca mahānādam nanāda ca t tan-nada-śravanad daitya narasımham avestavan II tān hatva sakalāms tatra sva-paurusa-parākramāt t babhañja ca sabhām divyām hiranya-kašipor nrps u

How these verses have been changed in the printed edition in order to accommodate the chapters on the story of Prahlada will

i As we have not been able to consult the other Mes of the Narassakap., we refer only to the Dacca University Mas.

be obvious from a comparison of these verses with Nar. 40, 60ff and 44, 14 ff. The word 'yayau' in the line 'krtvettham närasimham tu yayau visnus trivikramah' which has been retained in the printed edition, does not agree with what has been said of Visnu in the story of Prahlada. As regards the spuriousness of chap. 68 it can be said that the Narasunha-n. really ends with chap 67, which deals not only with mental Tirthas but also with the praise of the Purana, and of which lines 24b-25a say, "Having heard (the Purana topics) in company with the Snätakas (i. e. the sages who took their holy bath in the Ganges). Bharadyana remained there after showing proper respect to Sūta, but the (other) sages went away". Further, though in several places of the Narasimha-p, unswerving (acala, avvabhicarin) Bhakti is mentioned and praised as the means of attaining the blissful state of existence. I it is only in some of those chapters which we have taken as spurious that there are mention and praise of Dasyabhakti. 8 It is also noteworthy that none of these spurious chapters is referred to by any of the remaining chapters, though there are numerous cross references in the latter

```
See Nar 7, 33, 9, 6, 10 49 and 51, 11, 56 and 60, 32, 10, and so on
```

Nar. 43, 78b-79a .

daso'ham vasudevasya deva-devasya sarnginah

prayāti visņu-sālokyani puruso nātra s amšayāh ii Nar. 54, 94-95a. Also of Nar 64, 116-117

In Nar 33, 31 the demon Baburoman says how in one of his previous births as a Brahman named Rairata, he was killed by the city-guards in a Visqu-temple and was taken to heaven in a car which was 'prabhu-dāsaāamanvita'.

* Nar. 5, 2 refers to Nar. 5, 2. Nar 19, 4 refers to Nar. 5, 46-47, Nar. 20, 1 refers to Nar. 19, 23 Nar. 24, 1 [peathmann three evryamburoum manuvantaram tat-evarūpam kathitam) refers to Nar. 3, 8-9 and Nar. 5, 20 ff., Nar. 24, 176 (pdivoktaš obišyāyām utpanno manuh. . .) refers to Nar. 19, 13 and 13, Nar. 39, 9 (casya cartiam upanrigād behvijvajī refers to Nar. 33 ff., Nar. 35, 1 refers to Nar. 39, 9 Nar. 33, 1-2 and 8 refer to Naps. 36 ff. (on unantantions of Vispu). Nar. 33, 2 refers to Nar. 34, 47 (on the Nar. 54, 176 to Nar. 55, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 55, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 55, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 58, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 58, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 58, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 58, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 58, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 58, 1 refers to Nar. 54, 184 35-36, Nar. 58, 1 refers to Nar. 58,

Cf. prahlādo'bravīd dhīmān deva janmāntaresv apī i dāsas tavāham bhūvāsam garutmān iva bhaktimān ii

dāso ham vāsudevasya deva-devasya šārngiņah i ityukto nāradenāsau bhakti-paryākulātmanā ii *Nar.* 64, 46 , janmāntara-sabasresu yasya syād buddhir ldrš) i

The above table further shows that there were distinct stages in the process of addition and elimination of chapters and verses. For instance, Ms \(\pi \) (used in the printed ed.) interpolates chaps. 36 (verse 9a), 54 (verses 8-61) and 64, but eliminates nothing, Dacca University Ms No 284A interpolates nothing but eliminates chaps 66 and 67. and Aufrecht's Ms No. 139 interpolates chaps 64 and 68 and eliminates chap 61. Aufrecht's Ms No. 138 not only combines all the interpolations and eliminations of the Dacca University Ms (No 284A) and Aufrecht's Ms. No. 139 but also eliminates one chapter more, viz, chap 65. Mss\(\tilde{\mu} \) and \(\pi \) (verse 1-3) and \(\mu \) in the printed ed \(\mu \) go a step further not only by combining all the interpolations and eliminations of Ms \(\pi \) and Aufrecht's Ms No. 138 but also by interpolating chaps. 31 (verses 1-97), 33 (verses 1-85), 40 (verses 601-61b), 41-43. 44 (verses 1-13) and 63 (verses 10-119a)

Even among the chapters other than those which have been differentiated above as spurious, there are some which are most probably comparatively late additions. Such chapters are especially Nar 6 (on the story of the birth of Vasistha and Agastya as sons of Mitra and Varuna) and Nar 7-18 (on the story of Markandeva, the story of Yama and Yami, etc. narrated by Vvasa to Suka) In Nar 5, 48 ff, the names of those 13 daughters of Daksa who were given in marriage to Kasyapa, are the following -- Aditi, Diti, Danu, Arista, Svarasa, Svasa, Surabhi, Vinata, Tamra, Krodha-vasa, Ira, Kadru and Muni; but in Nar 6, 4-8 the list of the names of the '13 daughters' married to Kasyapa omits Arista and Tamra but adds Kala. Muhurta, Simbika and Sarama, thus increasing the number to fifteen. Even in the Dacca University Mss the names of these '13 daughters' are different from those given in chap. 5. It is to be noted that in Nar 5, 43 Muhurta is said to have been

¹ The text of verses 6b-7 of chap 6, as given in the Daoca University Mss, is as follows

aditir ditir danuh kāla (Ms 284A-kālī) muhūrtā sunhikā munih i vratā (Ms 2713 - tāmrā) krodhā os surabhr vinatā surasā (Ms 2713 - sīter marginal correction - sarasā) tathā (Ms 2713 -khasā) i

kadrilś os svarasō (Ms 248A omits the word 'svarasō 'with a blank space) oawa yō tu devi suni tashō (Ms 323 - devi ágot smrtō) (

given in marriage to Dharma. This disagreement between chap 5 (which deals with Pratisarga and cannot, therefore, be spurious) and chap, 6 seems to indicate the spurious character of the latter. In order to introduce this chapter the interpolator adds verse 2 (mitrā-varuna-putratvam vanisthsays katham bhavet etc.) of chap, 5. It is, however, not improbable that only verses 6-3a (containing the names of the '13 daughters') are spurious, and not the entire chap, 6. As to the chaps, 7-18 (on the story of Mārkandeys, etc.), their introductory verse is as follows:

mārkandeyena muninā katham mrtyuh parājitah i etad ākhyāhi me sūta travatut vācitam purā u

Though in chaps 1-6 of the printed ed there is no verse containing the mention of Markandeya or of the way of his subduing Death, the expression 'tvavaitat sücitam purā' refers to the verses

bhrgok khyátyám samutpanná lakémír visou-parigrahah i tathá dhátá-vidhátárau khyátyám játau sutau bhrgoh i áyatir nivatiš csiva merok kanyo sušobhana i dhátur vidhátuš ca te bhárye tayor játau sutáv ubhau u pránaš csiva mrkanduš ca márkandeyo mrkandutah i vena mrkuru jito vípra purá páráyanásznyát u

which occur among those 12 verses which have been lost after verse 31 of chap, 5 of the printed ed but are found in chap 5 of the Bacca University Mas Hence the absence of the verse containing the mention of Mārkandeys in chaps. I-6 of the printed ed is no cause for taking chaps 7-18 as spurious. These chapters are considered as interpolated, because Mar. 19, 4 refers to Nar. 5, 46-47 ignoring the intervening chaps 7-18 and because Mārkandeys, who is called the great grandson of Bhrgu in the lost verses mentioned above, is mentioned as Bhrgu's grandson in chaps 7-12 'Of these twelve intervening chapters (7-18), chaps 7-12 (dealing with the story of Mārkandeys) differ from chaps 13-18 in certain matters. In the former six chapters (7-12) the use of the twelve-syllabled Mantra 'om namo blagavate vāsudevāya' is prescribed more

⁸ee Nar. 7, 10-12, 25, 27 etc. , 8, 3 and 10 , 9, 13 , 12, 65.

than once; the name 'Krsna' for Visnu (also called Nārāyana) is given on many occasions; and the names 'Devaki-nandana', 'Vāsudeva' etc. also are found. Once Yama says,

" sugatim abhılasami vasudevad abam api bhagavate sthitantaratma ı

madhu-vadha-vasago'smi na svatantrah prabhavati samyamane mamāpi krsnah u "

Hence these chapters, though not recognising Krsna as the Bhagavath himself, have a Bhagavata tinge On the other hand, chaps, 13-18 have a Pañorattra stamp, because in these chapters Narsyana and the eight-syllabled Mantra 'om namo nārāyanāya' are praised, and there is no mention of the name 'Krana'. That chap, 13 is a later addition is also shown by the absence of praise of Visuo or Vaussavarsm in this chapter (dealing with Yama-Yaml-samvāda) ercept in the line 'critam me nirmalam hhadre visnau rudre on samsthitam' (spoken by Yama to Yaml) which gives, unlike the other chapters, the same place to Visnu and Rudra. As the interlocutors Suka and Vyāsa of chapt. 13-18 are first introduced in chap 7, these chapters are most probably later than chaps, 7-12.

From what has been said above regarding the addition and elimination of chapters and verses in the present Narasumhar-p., it is clear that this Pursus has been revised on more occasions than one A comparison between the texts of the same extants as quoted by different Smiti-writers and occurring in the printed ed., also lends strong support in this direction. The

¹ Formatano, a comparison between Nor. 44, 6-12 and these very verses as quoted by Narashitha Valapsym in his Nitjudira-prodipa, pp 358-560, shows how single lines of the quoted passage have been expanded into verses in the printed ed. For example, the line 'toyens blaktyfi sammalipy visum-loke makipate '(in Nitjudira-padipa, p. 589) ethe verse' sinspya toyens payasi narashinshi nariddipas is serva-pipa-runimukto visum-loke makipate ('N. 43. 46, '), the line 'sinspya dalanti sakrd visum visum-lokem andponvik' (in 'Vitjudira-padipa, p. 588) = the verse 'sinspya dalanti narrah pray-darkanih visup-lokem awipport high pray-darkanih pray-darkanih visup-lokem awipport biliyaminahs rottamahin' ('Orr, 44, 7'), and so on Again, a comparison between the sector of the verse quoted from the Varashina'p, Im Medanapariyifa, pp. 301-2, Santi-latina [p. 411 and Vitfuira-pradipa, p. 617 shows that the texts to the verse quoted from the Varashina'p, that the text to the Varashina'p, used by Esquinandania and Narashiha Visipsyin, agree more with that of the printed ed, than with the text used by Madasapila'.

verses and even large extracts, quoted by Hemādri from the 'Narusmha-p.' on different kınds of Vratss' and donations but not found in the present Narasmha-p, show that the text of this Purāna as used by Hemādri was more extensive. Narasimha-Vsjapeyin explains the smaller bulk of the present Narasmha-p, sayıng, "As the number (of ślokas) of the famous Narasmha-is not found to be 18000, it seems that a portion has been lost in course of time." 2

The mention of Tulasi in chaps. 31 (verse 87) and 34 (verses 19 and 23) and in the additional verses contained in the Dacoa University Mss in their chapters corresponding to chap. 57 of the printed ed., should not be taken to go against the above date of the Narasmharp. This mention is certainly due to later revisions of the Purāns, because, of the above-montioned chapters containing the mention of Tulasi, chap. 31 (verses 1-97) has been found to be suprious; and Nar 34, 19 does not occur in the Ms π used to the printed ed. Moreover, in chap. 33, which deals with the results of various kinds of service rendered to Visnu and of offering different articles to this deity, there is mention of 'bilva-patra' but not of Tulasi; nor is Tulasi mentioned in chaps 56 (on the method of consecration of the images of Visnu, 62 (on the Vedic method of Visnu-worship) and 63 (on the popular method of Visnu-worship)

It is probably due to the repeated revisions of the present Naramular-p, that there are disagreements between a number of statements made even in those chapters which cannot be taken as spurious. For instance, in Nar 3, 8 Sväyambhuva Manu is said to have been the son of Daksa and his wife born respectively from Brahmá's right and left thumbs, but in Nar, 5, 22 Sväyambhuva Manu is said to have given his daughter Prasuti in marriage to Daksa; in Nan 3, 3-5 Rudra is said to have been born of Brahmá's rage (ross) but in Nar, 5, 4-5 it is said that when Brahmā was thinking of some worthy son at the beginning of the Brahma Kalpa, the blue-red (nils-loitia) Rudra is said to have appeared on Brahmá's lap as a child with a body half male and half female; in Nar, 4, 2-4 Brahmā is said to have

¹ viz, Narasimba-caturdaśl-vrata, Hari-vrata, Pătra-vrata, etc

¹ Nituacara-pradipa, p. 18.

^{8 (} Annals, B. O. R. J.)

created from his mind ten sons (viz, Marici, Atri. Angiras, Pulshs, Kratu, Pulshstya, Pracetas, Bhrgu, Nārada and Vasistha), of whom Nārada took to abstention (nivriti-dharma), but in Nar. 5, 17-19 Brahmā is said to have created nine sons (Marici and others, except Nārada) who were known as 'nine Brahmās.', and so on

Though the Nargamha-p proposes to give 'in brief (samksepāt) the genealogies of kings which were elaborately dealt with in the Puranas', 1 the defects, found in these genealogies, seem to be due as much to the carelesaness of the people of later ages in maintaining the correctness of these dynastic lists in their respective Mss as to their attempt at abridgment For instance, in chaps 22 and 27, the printed edition as well as the Dacca University Ms No 284A mentions Apa as the son of Dirghabahu, but the Dacca University Ms No 2713, though following the printed ed in chap 27, gives the pedigree of Ais as 'dirghabahor dilipah dilipad raghuh raghor asah 'in chap 22 , in chaps 22 and 27, the printed ed as well as the Dages University Ms No 284A makes Mandhatr son of Asamhatasva (v l Asamkhyātāsva in chap. 22 of the printed ed), but in chap. 27 they give a popular verse in which Mandhatr is called Yauvanāsva (i e son of Yuvanāsva) the latter pedigree of Mandhati is supported by the Dacca University Ms No 2713 which mentions Mandhatr as the son of Yuvanasva in chap 22 (vuvanāsvān mandhātā), after Nar. 27. 1 the Ms used in the printed ed. have lost an extract ' which is found in the Dacca University Mss Nos 2713 and 284A, and so on There are, of course, a few cases in which the disagreements are either to be removed by referring to other better Mss, or to be ascribed to the revisions to which the extant Agrasuata p was subjected For instance, different pedigrees of Soma are given in chaps 23 and 28 of the printed edition as well as the Decca University Mas

Nar 22 2 Also see Aar 23, 1

² viz. 'iak-putro venas tanva pritus tanya prihával (Me No 284A omits 'prihávah') 1 prihával azambati vasi + sc cakvar rajáno bhirtejanah kramid rályana krisa (Ma No 284A reads 'labdhwa' for 'kriva') dharmato harma asanta- (Ma No 284A newstr-bhogas' after 'ananta-') sayanah arddhya bhaktya (Ma No 284A omits' bhaktya') kratubhu cestrá saralokum galabi '

in chap. 22 Saudāss's son is called Šatrumdams in the printed edition as well as in the Dacca University Ms No. 284A, and Satrundans in the Dacca University Ms No. 2713, but in chap. 27 he is called Satrasava in the printed edition, Satratvara in the Dacca University Ms No. 284A, and Satyašrava in the Dacca University Ms No. 2713, and so on.

The disagreements in the names and pedigrees of some of the kings in chaps 22-23 (on the genealogies of the kings of the solar and lunar dynasties) on the one hand and chaps 27-29 on vamsanucarita, i e the accounts of the kings of these dynasties) on the other, are not real but are due to the editor of this Purana who has not used sufficient number of Mss for his edition For instance, in chap 22 Prthasva's son is Asamkhvatasva in the Dacca University Mss, while in chap 27 he is called Asamhataiva in the printed ed as well as in the Decca University Mss : in chap 22 Mandhatr's son is called Purukutsa in the printed ed. as well as in the Dacca University Mss Nos. 284A and 2713, but un chap 27 mandhatt's son is named Purukuśva in the printed ed., Kurupuccha in the Dacca University Ms. No 284A, and Purukutsa in the Dacca University Ms No 2713; in chap 27 Dirghabahu's iather is named Anarana in the printed ed and Anaranya in the Dacca University Mss as well as in the Ms q used in the printed ed, but in chap 27 he is called Anaranya in all these sources: in chap. 22 Padma's son is Anuparpa in the printed ed and Rtuparna in the Dacca University Mss, but in chap. 27 he is called Rtuparna in all these sources, and so on,

Though the Matsya-p, 1 the Skand-p, 2 and the Revamahatmya attach the 'Narasumha-p, to the Pudma-p, as a

upabhedān pravaksyāmi loke ye sampratisthitāh i pādme purāne tatroktam narasimhopavarnanam i

taccāstādašasāhasram nārasimham ibocyate ii Matiya-p. 53, 59.

This were is quoted by Hemidir (in his Caturorga-cantāmant, I., 533, and II., i, p 21), Narasimba Vispagyin (in his Ntyūcāra-prodipa, p, 18), Jiva Gosvānin (in his commentary, called Krama-aundurbā, on Bhūgaouta XII, 7, 17-22) and Mitra Misra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 14) Mitra Misra does not quote the first Misra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 14) Mitra Misra does not quote the first Misra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 14) Mitra Misra does not quote the first Misra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 14) Mitra Misra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 14) Mitra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 14) Mitra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 14) Mitra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 15) Mitra (in his Viromstrodaya, Paribhūsì-praktša, p 18) Mitra (in his Viromstrodaya, P 18

- 2 VII. (PrabhJsa-kh.), 1, 2, 79b-80. This verse is the same as Matsyap 53, 59 quoted above
- See Aufrecht, Bodleran Catalogue, p. 65 The Reva-mahatmya claima to be a part of the Väyu-p.

sub-division (upabheda) of the latter, the present Norasidika-p. always calls itself a 'Purāna' and never au Upapurāna, nor does it attach tiself to any Mahāpurāna for the sake of authority. As a matter of fact, in chap. I, verses 33-34 it speaks of the five characteristics of 'Purāna', viz., sarga, pratisarga, vamāa, manvantara and vamšannearita, and proposes to deal with all these topics, and in chap 67, verses 17-18 it says that it has dealt with the five topics, viz., sarga, pratisarga etc. but it betrays no knowledge of the Upapurānas So, it is evident that though according to later tradition the Narayanha-p is claved as an Upapurāna, it is really an independent 'Purāna' like the Vāyn, Visus etc.

It has already been 'and that the present Nausanhe-p knows the extant Vāya and Pissus and the earlier Virāha-p. Its mention of the word 'Purāna' in the plural number on several occasions to mean distinct Purānie works, shows that more Purānas than one came into existence before its composition. It is not known whether the Mārkaṇḍeya-p, or the Visnudharmodiana is referred to in chap. 10 (verses 54-55) which seems to be a later addition

A careful examination of the original and the spurious chapters of the present Naras mln-p shows that this Purāna was originally a work of the Pāñoarātra sect with remarkable Bhāgavata inclination The Pāñoarātras themselves added a few more chapters and extracts at a later date. It was then considerably changed by the Bhāgavatas with further additions and alterations.

The entire Narsunhary,, as we have it now, is not composed in verse. There a few chapters and extracts which are written in simple prose. These are the following — Nar 19, 7-23 (on the birth of the Asvins), chap 21 (on the origin of the Maruts); 22, 4-15 (on the names of the kings of the solar race): 23, 2-13 (on the names of the kings of the lunar race); 24, 1-36 (on the Manvantiras), 27, 1-12 (on the accounts of the kings of the

¹ See Nut 1, 25, 1, 24, 1, 29, 67, 17, 67, 21, 22 and 25 See also the chapter-colophous In the Mass also the Narassucharp, is called a 'PurKus', 2 See \ar 1, 24 (vyss-presiding namm puranami tapodhamāh), 22, 2 (rūjādih ramésh purānesu vistatema prakiritash), 55, 106-11a, 55, 108.

solar race); 28, 1-16 (on the accounts of the kings of the lunar race); 29, 1-12 (on the accounts of the kings of the lunar race); 30, 2-16 (except 5, which is a verse); 52, 25-31 A portion of chap. 9 is written in a peculiar metre with 25 syllables in each line.

The language of the Narasanha-p. contains numerous soleoisms. For instance, it has 'abhiwan' for 'abhawan' (Nar 1, 62), 'vysāyata' for 'ysajanayat' (Nar 5, 21), 'jajās' for 'jsnayāmāsa' (Nar. 5, 29, 31 and 35), 'snāyanti' for 'snānti' (Nar. 6, 26), 'vedavidāth' for 'vedavidāth' for 'vedavidāth' for 'vidbhih' (34, 36), 'mātr-pitarau' for 'māta-pitarau' (7, 14), 'viksayāmāsa' for 'viksāmāsa' (14, 23), 'vartatim' for 'vartamānām' (19, 15), 'manujām' for 'manujānām' (18, 12), 'pravartatīm' for 'pravartamānām' (19, 17), and go on.

Like the other Puranic works, the Narasunha-p, also tries to give itself a garb of great sancity and high antiquity by tracing its descent from Brahms. In ohap, 67, verses 19-22 it gives the following tradition of inheritance:— Brahma himself spoke out this Purana to the sages Marloi and others; the next recipient was Mārkandeya, who then narrated it to a king of the Nāga family; 'Vyšas then received it through Narasimba's favour.

Nar. 57 (verses 8 to the end) and 58-61, which deal with the duties of the four castes and orders of life, are found printed word for word under the title Hārila-samhihā in the Dānaimskat Samhihā ? These chapters also appear as Laghu-hārila-samtı in Jivananda Vidyāsāgara's Dharmakāstra-samgraha (Vol. I, pp. 172 ff). Now, the question arises as to whether it was the Hārila-samhhā (or Laghu-hārila-samtı) which was incorporated in the Narosamha-p, or the above-mentioned chapters of the Narusamha-p, were given the character of an independent Smrti work under the title 'Hārila-samhihā' (or Laghu-hārila-samtı). An eramination of the Hārila-samhiā shows that it was the

¹ For the reading 'rājāo nāga-kulāsya ha' of the printed ed, the Dacea University Miss Nos. 324 and 2713 read 'rājāo vai nākulasya ha' and 'rājao vai nahusaya ha' respective)y

² Edited (with a Bengal: translation) by Pancanana Tarkaratna and published by the Vangaväsi Press, Calcutta Second edition, 1316 B S.

above-mentioned chapters of the present Narasunha-p, which were taken off and given the form of an independent work under the title 'Hārila-samhtā' (or 'Laqhu-hārila-smrtt') which was derived from the name of the original speaker Hārita The Hārila-sumhtā begins as follows

ye varnášrama-dharmasthās te bhaktih kešavam prati i iti pūrvam tvayā proktam bhūr bhuvah svar dvijottamā i varnānām āšramānām ce dharmān no brūbi sattama i yens samtusyate devo nārasimhah sanātanah u markandeva uvāca i

atrāham kathayisyāmi purā vittam anuttamam i rsibhih saha samvādam hāritasya mahātmanah ii

Of these verse, which are the same as Nor 57, 8-10, the first shows that something has already been said, of which these verses form a continuation, and in fact the first verse points to Nor 57, 2-7 wherein Mārkandeya, being asked by king Sahasrānika, enumerates the characteristics of the devotees of Visqui thus.

visnu-bhaktā mahotsāhā visnvarcana-vidhau sadā i samystā dharma-sampanna savašīthān sadisayanti te u paropakāra-nīrstā guru-šusstūsane ratāh i varnāšramācārayutāh sarvesām supriyamvadāh ii

€CC C

Though from a perusal of the Hārita-sanhtā we understand that Mārkandoya reports to a king named Sabasrānika what Hārita said to the sages on the duties of the four castes and orders of life, nothing is said in the Hārita-sanhtā either about the identity of these two persons or about the occasion, time or place in which they met each other Even the name of the king is given as late as in chap, 7 (verse 20). But it is the Narawahta-p which tells us who king Sabasrānika was and how he met the sage Mārkandeya We have already said that the Narasimha-p is a work meant for the glorification of the worship of Narasimha-This character is betrayed by the Hārita-sanhtā also Moreover, none of the quotations made in their works by Viśvarūpa, Aparārka, Bhavadeva, Jimūtavāhana, Devanabhatts and Ani-

¹ See its introductory verse quoted above, see also $H\bar{a}rita$ -samhitā 2, 9, 4, 75-76a, 7, 19.

Harita-samhda

6. 11b-22.

ruddha-bhatta from Hārita, Vrddha-hārita, Laghu-hārita, Brhaddhārita and Svalpa-hārita is to be met with in our so-called Ilārita-samhtiā On the other hand, some of the quotations made by Aparārka from the 'Nrssmha-p' are traceable in the Hārita-samhtā For example,

'Nrsmha-p' in Apararka's		
commentary on the lanuarikya-		
smrtt,		
p 79	=	3, 12
р 125	=	4, 18-20.
p 153	==	4,60-61.
p 189	=	4, 71, 72a, and 73a

the verses quoted from the

p 965

These show that the chapters, now known as Hārita-samhahā or Laghu-hārita-samt, originally belonged to the Narasunha-p. These chapters seem to be based on different Smttl works such as the Parāšara-smrti and the Hārita-dharmašāstra (as known to Devanshhatta), because Parāšara-smrti I, 50 (vaišvadeve tu samprāpte etc.) greatly resembles Nar. 58, 100-101a (akrte vaisvadeve tu etc.), and the verses' anems vidhinā yo hi šāramān upassvate etc. 'quoted from the 'Hārita-dharmašāstra' in Smrticandrikā I, p 174 slightly resembles Nar 58, 37 (evam yo vidhim āsthāya etc.)

Here we should like to say a few words on the contents of the Narusimha-p as known to some of the Nibandha-writers. In his Santhatatea I, p 351 Ragbunandana quotes from the Narusimha-p, twenty-four metrical lines on general maxims (niya), such as 'One should give ralutary advice to his friend in proper time, even though the latter does not ask for it' 'One should not begin any work which may create repentance in the end', 'One who believes the servants of the king or the bastards, do not live long', 'One should not allow the remaining part of the enemies, debt and fire to continue, because these increase again', and so on. He also quotes in Santi-tativa II, p 66 Raghunandana quotes from the same source a verse in which 'Tulasi' and 'Kyga-tulasi' have been mentioned as pleasing to Hari. In his

Vidhāna-pārijāta I, p. 451 Anantabhatta quotes from the 'Nārasımha' seventeen metrical lines on dressing a child with clothes for the first time. In his Calurvarga-cintāmāni II, ii, nn 41-49 Hemādri quotes a large extract of 173 metrical lines on a vow called Narasımha-caturdasi which was given in the ' Narasımha-p, 'in connection with the Narasımba-prādurbhāva In this extract Nrsimha, being asked by Prahlada as to how he became devoted to Nrsimha and was blessed with good, says that in his previous birth Prahlada was a Brahman named Vasudeva and was addicted to a prostitute and that this Vasudeva performed no other good deed than a Vrata of Nrsinha. Prablada again asked Nisimbs to parrate in details the whole story. Con sequently Nesimba said that in the city of Avanti there was a famous Brahman named Susarman who mastered all the Vedas and performed all his duties This Sucarman had a chaste and devoted wife named Susila, who gave birth to five worthy sons, of whom Vasudeva was the youngest. Unlike the other brothers. Vasudeva was addicted to prostitutes, became a drunkard and stole gold for financing his evil deeds. Once he quarrelled with that prostitute and kept awake throughout the whole night without taking food. The prostitute also did so. Thus Vasudeva unconsciously performed the Vrsts of Nrsimha, was born as Prahlada, and became devoted to Nisimha. The prostitute became an Apsaras Next, being asked by Prahlada to describe the Vratu in details, Nramha did so Hemadri also quotes verses on Harr-vrata, Patra-vrata, and offer of different articles such as Kamandalu, fruits foot-wear, unbrella, clothes etc. to the Pitis in Śrāddha ceremonies Sulapani also quotes verses on Sraddha in his Vraig-kalii-um ka, Śrūddha-weka and Tithistreka. It is needless to say that none of these verses is found in the present Narasyaha-p

APPENDIX 1

Edited by Uddhavācārya and published by Gopal Narayan & Co., Bombay, Second edition, Bombay 1911.

This is a very careless edition based on three Mss which have been referred to sumply as \$\overline{\pi}\$, \$\overline{\pi}\$ and \$\overline{\pi}\$, but of which no information or description has been given by the editor

In this edition, the chapter unmediately following chap 10 is called eleventh at the beginning but twelfth at the end. As a matter of fact, chaps 11 and 12 have been combined without any demarcation, though Ms 4 says that chap 12 begins from verse 54.

Though I am fully conscious of the fact that no serious chronological deduction should be based on this worthless edition, the absence of any better, or even a second, edition of this Purana has compelled me to utilise it here. I have however, consulted the three Mss preserved in the Dacca University Library and have not used any evidence which is not supported at least by these Mss.

For Mss of this Purana see.

(1) R. L. Mitra, Notices of Sanskrit Mes, iti, pp. 1-5, No. 1020.

This Ms which consists of 63 chapters and was found at Navadvipa is written in Bengali characters and dated Saka 1567 It begins as follows -

om namo prsimbāva i

tapta-hātaka-keśāgra-įvalat-pāvaka-locana i vajrādhika-nakha-sparša divya-simha namo'stu te 🛚 nakha-mukha-vilikhita-diti-tanavorah-paripatad-asrgarunikrta-gätrah t

himakara-giririya gairika-gātro naraharir aharahar

avatu sa hy asman li himavad-väsinah sarve munavo veda-päragah i tri-kāla-iñā mahātmāno naimisāranva-vāsinah II

> &c. 800

and end thus .

netrair mārtanda-candais tribhir anala-sikhā nyagyahadbhih pradiptah

9 | Annais, B. O. R. I. |

pāyād vo nārasimhah kara-khara-nakharair bhinna-daityas ciradvah t

kım kim sımhas tatah kım nara-sadrša-yapur deya citram grhltā naiyam dhik konvajiyed drutam upanayatām so' pı satyam

harisah i

bāpam cāpam nakhāngam jhatītī daba daha karkašatvam nakhānām

ity evam daitya-nätham mja-nakha-kulišair jaghnivān yaḥ

iti śrinarasımbapuráne idve d'arımartha kama-mok a-pradáyını para-brahma-svarupuna idan ekam sunspannam düyevo nafayanah sadá narānvadevat param astı kimeit i śrinarasımbapuránam samāpism k 63 k

The list of the contents, as given by Mitra, show, that it lackthe story of Dhriwa as occuring in chap 31, verses 1-97 of the
printed edition, the story of Prablada as given in chaps 40
(verses 60b-61b), 41-43 and 44 (verses 1-13) of the printed
edition, the description of the chiracteristic evils of the Kali
age as given in chap 54, verses 5-61 of the printed edition as
well as the chaps 64 (on the interlocution of Năinda and
Pundarika on the glories of Năriyana) and 68 (on the glorification of the Narayandarp) of the printed edition

It also seems to lack the story of the Pavdayas killing of the demons Bahuroman and Shidharas on the bank of the Reva for carrying away Draup dily force that given in chap 33, verses 15-95 and chap 31, verse i of the printed of 1, and the story of India's gotting ind of his lemale form by muttering the eight-spillabled Mautra' on manumaraymira' (as found in chap, 63, verses 10-119a of the printed ed.)

It meets a chapter on praise of holy places (tirthaprasamsa) in Ayodhya mimediately after the chapters on Rama-pradurbhava

(2) Aufrecht, Isodlevan Calagne pp 83-83, No. 138-139

[+1] Ms. No 138, which consists of 62 chapters and is written in Devanagari scripts, begins as follows -

nārāyanam namaskitja etc tapta-hātaka-kesānta įvalat-pivaka-locana(h) i vojrādhika-nakha-sparas divya simba namoštu te ii pātu vo narasinhasya nakha-lāngala-kotayah i hiranya-kašipo vraksa asrikkaddamamāruušh ii homavaddhāgunnah sarve munayo veda-pāragāh i tri-kāla-jītā mahātmāno naimsaranva-vāsinah ii

&c.

but its u and final colophon are not given by Aufrecht

Aufrecht's description of its contents shows that it not only lacks, like Mitra's Ms, the stories of Dhruva and Prahlāda and the description of the characteristics of the Kali age, but also the topics on Yoga (as found in chap. 61 of the printed ed), and the enumeration of Tirthas (as found in chaps. 65 67 of the printed ed)

It also seems to lack the story of the killing of the demons Baluroman and Sthulasiras by the Pāndavas, and the story of Indra's getting rid of his female form by muttering the eightsyllabled Mantra

The story of RAma-pradurhhava is given in this Ms in five chapters as against six (viz, 47-52) of the printed ed. (See also Dacca University Ms No 2713, described below, which also gives in five chapters the contents of chaps. 47-52 of the printed ed.)

It does not insert any chapter on praise of holy places in Ayodhyā.

(ii) Ms No 139, which is written in Devanāgari, is generally the same as the above Ms. but contains chaps 65-67 (on Tirthas) of the printed ed]

(3) Eggeling, India Office Catalogue, VI, pp 1211-14, Nos 3375-79

(1) Of these five Mss, the first (Cat No 3375), which was copied in Devanāgarī scripts in 1798 A.D., consists of 67 chapters It begins with the verses 'tapta-hātkā-kešāgra' and 'nakha-mukha-vidslita (v. l. vilikhita) -ditt-tansyorah" (with slight variations in readings) and ends thus -

nrsımhāsya-mahādeva-pūjite bhakta-vatsale i

loka-näthe prabhau tena trailokya-pūjito bhavet ii

yo narasimha-vapur asthitah pura

hitāya lokasya diteh sutam yudhi i

nakhath sutiksnair vidadāra vairinam

divankasām tam pranamāmi kešavam # iti srinrsimhapurāne ādye dharmārtha-kāma-moksa-pradāyini nāma sattirtha-varnano nāmādhyāyah #

It lacks chaps. 64 and 68 of the printed ed.

As Eggeling does not give the contents of the chapters, we do not know definitely whether this Ms also lacks the stories of Dhruva and Prahláda, the story of the Pándavas' killing of the demons Bahuroman and Sthülasiras, the story of Indra's getting rid of his female form by muttering the eight-spliabled Mantra, and the description of the evils of the Kali age It is also not known whether it inserts a chapter on holy places in Ayodhya

(ii) The next two Mvs (Cat Nos 3376-77), which also are written in Devanagari and of which the second one lacks the first Adhysva, are practically the same as the preceding Ms Their concluding verses are (with some variations in readings) the same as those of the Ms indiced by Mitra, and their colophon is as follows.

iti siinärasimhapuräne ädye dhaimäitha-käma-moksa-pradäyini para-braima-svarupini idam ekain suni pannun dhyeyo näräyanah sadä i na vusudevit param asti kimeitii iti närasimhanuränam samäotam ii

- (iii) The fouth Ms (Cat No 3378) 13 written in Devanari by different hands. It was copied in about 1500-1600 A. D., its last five folios being supplied in 1789 A. D. It begins with the verse 'nakha-mukha-vilikbita-diti-tanayorahe'. In the modern portion of this Ms the story of Indra's getting rid of his femiale form by muttering the eight-villabled Mantia (as found in chap 63, verses 10 119a of the printed ad.) and the interlocution between Nārada and Pund itka on the glories of Nārāyana (as occurring in chap 61 of the printed ed.) are given.
- (iv) The fifth Ms (Cat No 3379 on Rāma-prādurbhāva) is written in Devanāgari and divided into six sections named after the six Kāndas (ending with the Lankā-kānda) of the Rāmāŋaṇa. It is practically the same as chaps 47-53 of the printed ed]
- (4) Hrishikesh Shastri and Shivachandra Gui, Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Mes in the Library of the Catenta Sanskrit College (hereinster referred to as Cal Sanv Coll Cat), IV, pp. 29-30 (Ms. No. 36) and pp 184-5 (Ms. No. 298)
- [(1) Ms. No. 36 consists of 63 chapters and is written in Bengali characters. It is described by Shastri and Gui as not old.' It begins with the verses 'tajta-hātaka-kesāgra' and 'makha-mukha-vilasita-dititansyorah', and ends thus

prasanne deva-devese sarva-pāpaksayo bhavet i

paksinah pāpa-baddhās te muktīm yānti parām gatim 11 nakhaih sutiksnair vvidadāra vairīnam divaukasām tam pranamāmī kešavam 11

vyšsrg-bhūd-bhinna-bhāsvat-prthu-lalıta-latācohādita kintvamārgah !

pātālam prāpta-pādah prakharatara-nakha-śrotaso

närasımhah II

kara-khara-nakharaih bbinna-vrtyäs oiram vah μ kim kim siddhas tat kinvah sadisa-vapumetava oitram gihito nevädhikah soʻ pi jivendratamapanayatām sopi nitvam

haríšah (cēpamajasraganairdašai jaghnivān yah sa rosate ()

cepamajasraganairdasai jagnnivan yan sa rosate ii iti érinarasimhapui äne trisastitamo' dl.yāyah samāptam cedam narasimhapui änam ii

This Ms lacks at least chap, 61 of the printed ed.

In spite of its numerous mistakes it seems to resemble much the Ms described by Mitra as well as Dacca University Ms No 2713 described below

(ii) Ms No. 298 is a 'very old' one written in Bengali scripts It consists of 67 chapters. It begins with the verse taptabātaka-kešāgrn' and ends with the chapter dealing with the 68 holy places secred to Visnu (vaisuavāstassatt = chap. 65 of printed ed.) It lacks at least chaps. 66-68 of the printed ed.

As the contents of the different chapters of these two Mss are not given in the Catalogue, it is not possible to say which more chapters of the printed edition are wanting in these two Mss.]

- (5) Haraprasad Shastri, Descriptor Catalogue of Sinskrit Mss in the Collections of the Awain Society of Bengal (hereinafter referred to simply as ASB Cut.), V, pp. 711-13, Nos. 4075-81
- [(i) No 4076 It is written in Bengali seripts and dated Saka 1617 It consists of 64 chapters, of which the 63rd chapter is named 'Tirtha-yatra-prasainsa' (=chap 66 of the printed ed.). The Catalogue gives us no information regarding the contents of the different chapters
- (ii) No 4076A It is written in Bengali characters and dated Saka 1586 No information regarding the number of its chapters or their contents is given by Shastri. '
- (iii) Nos. 4077-81. Of these five Mss the first is written in Kashmiri and dated Samvat 1898, the second is written in

Nagara of the 18th century, and the remaining three are written in Bengali scripts. Of these remaining three Mes the first is dated Saka 1623 and the third is dated Saka 1639

The numbers of chapters of these five Mss or their contents are not mentioned in the Catalogue]

- (6) A B Keith, Catalogue of the Sanskrit and Prakrit Mes in the Library of the India Office, Vol. II, Part 1, p. 916 (No. 6616-18)
- [(1) No 6616 It is written in Grantha characters of about 1866-67 A. D and consists of 61 chapters. Its beginning is very defective, and it ends with the verse 'yo nārasimham vapur asthitah purā'. Its colophon runs as follows: "it srīnarasimhapurāne eksassitumo' dhyāyah isri-laksma-nārasimhāpanam astu ii harih om i kara-kitum aparādham ksantum artauf santah.
- (11) No 6617 It is an incomplete Ms written in Bengali characters of about 1800 A 1) It begins with the same verses as those of Eggeling No 3375
- (1h) No 6618— It deals only with geography and is not divided into chapters. It corresponds to chaps 30 and 31 (verses 98 112) of the printed ed and lacks the story of Dhruva as found in chap 31, verses 1-07 of the printed ed.
 - (7) Dacca University Mss Nos 2713, 323, and 284A
- [(i) Ms No 2713, which was collected from Vaidyavätt in the district of Hoggly, is written in Bengali characters and consists of 98 folios, of which fol 1 is damaged and fol 2 is matilated at the left side. It contains 63 chapters and is dated Saka 1567. It is fairly correct. Like Mitras Ms, it begins with the werses 'tapta-hataka-kesagia' and 'nakha-mukha-wilikhita-dintanayorah", and ends thus

prasanne deva-devese sarva-papa-ksavo bhavat i

prak'na-pāpu-bandbas te muktum yānti parām punah n yo nārasunham vapui āsthītah purā hitāva lohasva dīteh sutam yudhi: nakbath sutiksaair vidadāra varinam divaukasām tam panamānu kešavam n vvājrmbhad-bhiuna-bhāsvat-pithu-lalita-šatācehādirārkendu-mārgah pātāla-prāpia-pāda-prakha-rakha-rota-šasāhi-bhogah

pātāla-prāpta-pāda-prakhara-nakha-prota-šesāhi-bhogah i netrair mārtanda-candais tribhir anala-šikhām udvahadbhih pradiptah pāyād vo nārasimbah kara-khara-nakharair blinnadatīyā ciradyah i kim kim simbas tatah kim nara-sadrās-vapur deva atram dhik ko nu jīvet drutam upana-atām sopi satvam balsah i espam espam na khadgam jhatit daha daha karkasatvam vakhanam itvevam datīya nātham nija-nakha-kulisair nghujivan yaka saresatu

iti sebarasumhapunine adee dharmuitha kamm-moksa-pitaditini parambrahma-sarapuni idam ekam sunispanami dhevo narayamha salai un va-ndevāt param asit kumot frinarasumha pur.mam samapi mi srilama-asatsvartinah pustakam idam i sigopala-saramanah sviksaram idam i subham setu sakabdah 1567 it terikha 23 parsthah masamyam suklapaksa tu guru-vareamāptas oğram grantisha

The corresponding chapters in this Ms and the printed of are the following -

1/5 Printed ed MsPrinted ed Chaps 1-29 = Chap 1-29 ("lap 48 = Chap. 54 (ver ses 1-6) respectively Chap 49 = Chap 54, veisc = Chaps 30 and Chap. 30 7. Chap 55 31 (verses 98-50 = Chan, 56 Chap 113) Chap 51 (except about) Chan 31 = ('hap 32 25 additional verses on | = Chab Chan. 32 = Chap 33 (ver selection of flowers | 57 (ver and leaves for Visnu | ses 1-7 . ses 1-14). worship) Chap 34 (ver-Chap 52 Chap 57 (verses 2-55) ses 8-30) Chaps 33-37 = Chaps 35-39 Chan 53 =Chap 58 (verrespectively ses 1-16). Chan 38 = Chap 40 (except Chap. 54 Chap. 58 (ververses 60b-61b). N: 5 17-38) Chap 44 (ver-Chap. 55 Chap 58 (verses 33-115) ses 14-43). Chaps 56-59 = Chaps 59-62 Chaps 39-44 = Chaps 45-50respectively respectively Chap. 60 = Chap 63 (verses Chap 45 = Chaps 51-52 1-9 and 119b-Chap. 46 (on holy places) = x 122). in Ayodhya) Chaps. 61-63 = Chaps 65-67 Chap. 47 = Chap. 53. respectively.

chap 68

The above table shows that this Ms lacks the following sections of the printed ed. --

Chap 31, verses 1-97 on the story of Dhruva. chap 33, verses 15-85, on the story of the killing of the chap 34, verse 1 demons Bahuroman and Sthulasiras by the Pandavas. chap 40, verses 60b-61b on the story of Frahlada. chaps 41-43. chap 41, verses 1-13 chap 54, verses 8-61 on the characteristic evils of the Kalı age . on the story of Indra's getting chap 63, verses 10-119a rid of his female form by muttering the eight-syllabled Mantra , chap 64 dealing with the interlocution between Nārada and Pundarika on the glories of Narayana;

on the other hand, the printed edition lacks chap 46 (on boly places in Ayodhya) and about 25 verses (on the selection of

on the glorification of the Nara-

leaves and flowers for Visuu-worship) of chap 51 of the Ms

This Ms deals with the story of Rāma-prādurbhāva in five
chapters (viz, 41-45) as against aix (viz, 47-52) of the printed
ed, chap 45 of the former consisting of chaps 51-52 of the latter

The agreement between this Ms and that described by Mitra is very close, and as both these Mss were copied in Saka 1567, they are either based on the same original or derived from the same archetype

(ii) Ms No. 323, which was procured from Ula in the district of Nadia, is written in Bengall characters and consists of 131 folios, of which folis, 37-40 (containing chaps 19-27, and verses 1-16 of chap 28 of the printed ed) are missing and fol 131 is wrongly numbered 139 It contains 64 chapters and is dated 3aks 1588

It begins and ends with the same verses (though with occasional variations in readings) as the preceding Ms, and its final colophon (viz, iti sri-narasimha-purane adys dharmartha-mokaspradšymi parambrahua-evarūpiu idam okam suuispannam divayo nārayanah sadā i na vāsudevat param sati kimoti naramha-purānam samāpiam i sobham satu sakabādā 1588 i harayo namah govindāya namah i) also agrees very closely with that of the latter. (It should be mentioned here that the colophon of its final chapter, which is almost the same as its final colophon, iuns thus.— Iti sīrl-aārasimba-purāne ādye na vāsudevāt param sati kimoti prathamo dhyāyah i).

This Ms lacks the same sections of the printed ed as the proceding Ms. It also contains, like the proceding Ms, a chapter (viz., chap 47 on holy places in Ayodhyá) and about 50 lines on the selection of leaves and flowers for Vienu-worship) in chap. 32, which do not occur in the printed ed

Thus this Ms seems to have been derived from the same Nucletype as the preceding Ms. The difference in the number of chapters in these two Mss is due to the fact that the story of Rama-pradurbhave is given in the preceding Ms in five chapters as against six (viz, chap-41-45) of the present one

(11) Ms No. 284A, which was procured from Nalshati in the district of Burdwan, consists of 121 folios and is written in Bengali scripts. It is dated Saka 1742 and contains 53 chapters, of which chaps, 44-53 are not numbered

lt begins with the verses 'narāyanam namaskrtya', 'taptahātaka-keśāgra' and 'nakha-mukha-vilikhita-diti-tanayorah'' and ends thus —

imam stavam yah pathate sa māṇavaḥ prāpnoti viṣṇor amitātmakam hi tat u uli šri-narasmha-purāne diarmārtha-kāmamokṣa-pradāyını param-brahma-svarūpini vaiṣṇavāsta-sastināmādiyāyah u

asya sri-rājasimbasya praktīvālingito hariļu i rādhā-moham-rayasya prito bhavatu sarvadā u yugma-žruty-asva-oandrānkita-žakamite bhāskare taisa yāte natvāļeķbin murāreh kajanu-yuga-samam pāda-yugmam

burāreyam i

śri-rādhā-mohanākhya-ksitipa-naraharer nārasımham purāṇam gotrādevānvavāyaprabhavakrtamahāyatnasantāna āšu ii

10 [Annals, B.O R. I.]

In this Ms. chap 53 consists of chaps 57 (verses 8-20) and 65 of the printed edition In verses 1-16 of chap. 53 of this Ms (which correspond to verses 8-20 of chap 57 of the printed ed.) king Sahasrānīka asks Mārkandeya to describe to him the duties of the four castes and orders of life (varnasrama-dharma). Consequently, Markandeva begins to report what Harlts, being requested by some sages to speak on 'Varnāsrama-dharma'. 'Yora-sastra' and 'Vienu-tattva' (cf bhagavan sarvvadharmmaiña sarvva-dharmma-pravarttaka i varnānām āsramānam ca dharmman prabruhi sasvatān i samasād yoga-sistram tu yam dhyātvā mucyate narah i visnu-tattvam muni-srestha tvam bi nah paramo guruh i), said to them on these topics. But in these verses Harita is found only to introduce his subject by briefly parrating the origin of the four castes and the place at for their residence and to say nothing on Varnasiama-dharma etc. On the other hand, in verses 17ff, of this chapter (which corresponds to chap 65 of the printed ed.) Suta, being requested by Bharadyana, names the 68 places sacred to Visnu Hence it is sure that in the original Ms from which our present one was copied, verses 1-16 of chap 53 were followed by chapters on Varnāśrama-dharma. Yoga and Visnu-tattva, i a by chane 57 (verses 21-30-on the duties of Brahmanas : 58-60 (on the duties of the Keatilyas, Vaisyas and Sudras, as well as of the students, householders, forest-hermits and Yatis), 61 (on Yoga). 62 (on the Vedic procedure of Visna-worship) and 63 (verses 1-9 and 119b-122-on the popular method of Visnu-worship) of the printed ed It is most probably due to the inadvertence of the scribe that these intervening chapters and verses have been omitted in our present Ma

As, like the other two Mas, it lacks the following sections of the printed cd. viz.

```
chap. 31. verses 1-97
chap 33, verses 15-85
```

chap. 40, verses 60b-61b, chaps, 41-43. chap. 44, verses 1-13 chap. 54, verses 8-61

man and Sthillagras on the story of Prahlada,

-on the story of Dhauva,

-on the story of the Pandayas' killing of the demons Bahuro-

-on the characteristic evils on the Kali age.

we may assume that the story of Indra's getting rid of his female form (as found in chap 63, verses 10-119a of the printed ed) and the interlocution between Narada and Pundarika on the glories of Narayana (as given in chap 64 of the printed ed.) were wanting in the original Ms from which our present one was copied.

- (It should be mentioned here that the corresponding chapters of the Dacoa University Mss on the one hand and the printed ed on the other, differ not only in readings but also occasionally in the numbers of verses. For instance, after verse 31 of chap. 5 the Dacoa University Mss have 12 verses which are not found in the printed ed.) 1.
- (8) R L. Mitra, Calalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Library of His Highness the Maharaja of Bikaner, pp 207-8, No. 452.

This Ms is written in Nagara and consists of only 41 chapters (so far as their number, given by Mitra in connection with the description of their contents, shows). The corresponding chapters of this Ms and the printed ed. are the following:—

Ma	3		Printed	ed.	M:	s		Printed ed
Chaps	1-29	=	Chaps. respective		Chap,	32	=	Chaps. 33 (wer- ses 1-14); 34
Chap.	3 0		Chaps. (verses 98		Chap.	33	=	(verses 2-55). Chap. 35
Chap.	31	=	Chap. 32	l	Chaps,	34-	1 1=	Chaps. 36-39, 40 (except verses 60b-61b); 44 (verses 14-43); 45-47

So this Ms, which ends after dealing with only a few of the ten incarnations of Visua, is necessarily incomplete. It lucks the stories of Dhrura, Prahlada, and the Pandayas who killed the demons Baburoman and Sthülasiras for carrying away Draupadi by force]

(9) P P S. Sastri, Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Tanjore Mahārājā Serfon's Saravvatī Mahāl Library, Tanjore, Vol. XV, pp. 7151-53, Nos. 10548-53. [Of these Mss, the first (No. 10548) consists of 64 chapters and is written in Devanāgarl. It begins with the verse 'apta-hātaka-kešāntaryalata' and ends with the verse 'yo nārasimham vapur āsthītah purā.' Its colophon is as follows. Iti šrimannāra-simha-purāne ādye dharmārtha-kāma-moksa-pradāyini para-brahma-svarūpa-nirāpane catnhassitatmo'dhyāyah. The contents of its different objanters are not given in the Catalogue.

No information regarding the beginnings, ends and contents of the other Mss is given by Sastri

(10) Chintaharan Chakravarth, Descriptive Catalogue of Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Vangiya Sāhitya Parişad, Calcutta, p 72, No. 1432

[It contains chaps, 1-60 and is complete]

(11) Stein, Jammu Catalogue, p. 202

[One of these two Mss is complete and is written in modern Kāśmīri scripts, while the other deals with Laksmi-nrsimhasahasra-nāma.]

(12) Catalogue of Senskrit Manuscripts in the Sanskrit College Library, Benares, pp. 337 and 338.

(13) Haralal, Calaloque of Sanskel and Prakeit Manuscripts in the Central Promices and Berar, pp. 224 and 248.

(14) Lewis Rice, Catalogue of Sankhrit Manuscripts in Mysore and Coorg, p. 72

(15) Burnell, Classified Index to the Sanskut Manuscripts in the Palace at Tunjore, p. 188

(16) Haraprasad Shastri, Catalogue of Palm leaf and Selected Paper Manuscripts in the Durbar Library, Nepal, p. 19

This Ms is written in Maithila scripts

APPENDIX II

(A)

The Dages University Mas have the following verses after verse 31 of chap, 5 of the printed edition -pritvām pulastva-bhārvāvām dattolis tat-suto'bhavat i tasva vai višravah putras tat-outro rāvapādavah ii rāksasā bahavah proktā lankāpura-nīvāsīnah t yesam vachāya lokešo visnuh ksirodadhau purā ! brahmādyaih prārthito devair avatāram ihākarot II karddamas cambarisas ca sahisnus ca suta-travam t ksamă tu susuve bhărvă pulahasva prajăpateh ii kratos tu sannatir bhāryā vālakhilyān asūyata t Sastis tāni sahasrāni reinām ūrdhva-retasām l angustha-parva-mätränäm ıvalad-bhäskara-tejasäm ii pracetaso'tha satvavam satvasandhadavah sutah t jātās tat-putra-pautrās ca šatašo'tha sahasrasah 11 ūriāvām ca vašistbasva saptājēvanta vai sutāh i rampātrordhvahāhus ca sahalas cānaphas tathā ii sutapah sukra ity ete sarve saptarsavo'bhayan II bhrgoh khvātvām samutpannā laksmir visnu-parigrahah i tathā dhātr-vidhātārau khvātvām tātau sutau bhrgoh " ayatır nivatış caiva merok kanye susobhane ı dhātur vidhātus ca te bhārve tavor jātau sutāv ubbau ii prapas caiva mrkandus ca markandevo mrkandutah i yena mrtyur jito vipra purā nārāyanāšrayāt i tato vedasirā mine pranasvāpi suto'bhavat l dyutimān iti vikhyātah sanjayas tat-suto'bhavat il

See Mss No 284A (fol Sh-9b), 323 (fol. 9b-10a), and 2713 (fol. 7a-b).

Of the variations in readings in these Mss, the following are

tato vaméo mahabhaga bhargayo vistaram gatah n

more important:—

Ms No. 2713 reads 'kapardha' for 'kaiddhama' in line 6.

Ms No. 323 reads 'sumati' for sannati' in line 8.

Ms No. 284A reads 'sandhyāyām' for 'satyāyām' in line 11;

Mss No. 323 and 2713 read 'satyasandhās trayah' for 'satyāsanthādayah' in line 11;

Ms No. 284A reads 'savara' for 'sabala' in line 14

(B)

After verse 7 of chap 57 of the printed edition, the Dacoa University Mas have the following verses puspair aranya-sambhūtaih patrair vā giri-sambhavaih t aparvusita-nišehidraih proksitair jantu-varnitaih u ätmärämodbhavair väpi puspaih sampūjaveddharim t puspa-jāti-višesais tu bhavet punyam višesatah !! tapah-śila-gupopete pätre vodasya pärage i daša datvā suvarnāni vat phalam labhate narah i tat phalam labbate martyo harau kusuma-danatah !! drona-puspe tatharkasmin mādnavāva nivedite t daśa datva suvarnani vat phalam tadavannuvat t evam puspa-visesena phalam tadadhikam nrpa t mevam puspantarenaiva vathā svāt tan nibodha me il drona-puspa-sahasrebhvah khādiram samvisisvate i khādirebhvah sahasrebhvah śami-nuspam višisvate u śami-puspa-sahasrebbyo bilva-puspam visisyate i bilva nusna-sahasrebhyo vaka-nusnam višisvate ii vaka-puspa-sahasrāddhi nandyāvartam višisyate i nandyāvaita - ahasrebhyah karavīram visisyate it karayira-sahasrebhyah éyetam tat nuspam uttamam t karavira šveta puspāt palāšam puspam uttamam # palāsa puspa-sahasrāddhi kusa puspam visisyate i kuśa-puspa-sahasrāddhī vana mālā vičisvate II vana mālā sahasrāddhi campakam puspam isvate i campakanam puspa-éatad asokam puspam uttamam u aśokanam sahasraddhi samanti-puspam uttamam i samanti-puspa-sahasrāddhi kubia-puspam višisvate i kubja-puspa-sahasrāt tu mālati-puspam uttamam i mālati-puspa-sahasrāddhi sandhyāraktam taduttamam u sandhyarakta-saliasiaddhi tri-sandhya-(vetam uttamam i tri-sandhya-sveta-sahasıat kunda-puspam vişisvate il kunda-puspa sahasrāddhi sata-patram višisyate i satapatra-sahasraddhi mallika-puspam uttamam u inallika-puspa-sahasraj jati-puspam višisyate i sarvāsām puspa-jātīnām jāti-puspāni cottamam s jāti-puspa-sahasrena yo mālām nitvašo dadet i visnave vidhivad bhaktyā tasya punya-phalam śrnu u

kalpa-koti-sahasrāni kalpa-koti-satāni es i vased visnu-pure srimān visnu-tulya-parakramsi. ii sesānām puspa-iātinām yat phalam vishi-coditam i tāt-phālasyānusāran visnu-loke mahlvate ii prirāny api supuspāni hare i pritārkarāni es i pravaksyāmi nrpa-sresties šrmsva gadato mama ii apāmārga-patram prathamam tasmad birmgāraksum pratm i durvā-patram tatah srestham tatob ji kusa-patrakam ii tasmāt tu khādiram srestham tatob ji kusa-patrakam ii tasmād āmalakam ārestham tatob ji kusa-patrakam ii bilva-patrād api hares tulasi-patram uttamam ii etesām tu yathā-labdhaii patram vi yo'ronyeddhaiim ii saiva-pāpa vinirmukto visnu loke mahlyate ii evam bi iājan naitasimha-murteh pilyāni puspāni tavetarān ii etaiš ca nityam harim arvya bhaktvā nato visuddio harim

eva yāti 11 d. 114a-115a).

See Mss Nos 284A (fol. 118a 119a), 323 (fol. 114a-115a), and 2713 (fol. 86b-87b)

The important differences in readings in these Mss are the

tollowing — Ms No. 284A emits 'saml-puspam višisyate t saml-puspa

sahasrebhyoʻm lines 15-14

Ms No 323 omits line 18 (karavira-sahasrebhyah etc.).

Ms No 323 omits 'vanamāla višisyate i vanamālā-sahasrāddhi'in lines 21-22:

for 'samantio' in lines 24 and 25, Ms 284A (after marginal correction) reads 'sevantio', and Ms No. 323 reads 'semantio',

Ms No. 2713 omits lines 33 (sarvāsām puspa jātlnām etc.)

Ms No. 2713 reads 'damanakam 'for 'āmalakam ' in line 45.

appendix III

Verses quoted from the 'Narasumha-p' or 'Nrsumha-p.'

oı ' Nür**ası**ınlı**a** ' ın

1 Apara	rka's Narasamha-p		\arasimha-p
com o		р 965	= 60, 12-15,
Yajnaval	lkya-smrli,		17'-e, 16 and
p 79 p 125 p 131	= 58, 34 ^h -35 ^s = 58, 58 ^h -59 Three lines from 'asm-dhyakarmāvasāne tu' 'are not found in the Variasinka p = 58, 88 ^h -89 The verse 'andyat sarva grahebbya oa' is not	ca ke rangu and dhari are 1 print chap	17'-h verses' udghātayec syacam and 'catu- ularh praksālya' the line 'yatr- maratah', which not jound in the ed ed, occur in 57 of the Dacca tarsily Ms No 2713
p 141 p. 153	5 and 63, 5-6 = 58, 98 ⁵ -99 ² ,	(10) " Domasāgan of Vallainse	93°)
p 162	100° and 101° = 58, 11° and 13°	,, 124 ¹ . Thre	= 30, 36. e lines from 'kapi-
p 189	The line 'niyamanam	not o	laksanopetām' do cour in the Nara-
p. 951	śavam drstwa', which is not found in the printed ed, of the Aurasunha p, occur. In the Dacca University M- No 2713, fol, 92' (chap 55) = 58, 36 The verses 'agarad abin mgkräntah' and 'agadia' visayāsanga' ' sie not found in the Narasunha-p.	130° , 137° , 168° , 174°- Man; foun p	= 30, 36 = 30, 35 ⁵ = 30, 35. = 34 50°, 49°, where the state of the s
		, 223° , 232°	

Narasimha-p fol. 276b = 30 32" 292b (twice) = 34, 39e and 394, 34, 37b-38 The line 'gavam sahaara-danena' which is not found in the printed ed., occurs in the Dacca University Mss Nos. 284A (fol 57b). ,23 (tol 51a) and 2713 (fol. 442) 3 Smrti-candrikā of Devanabhatta. I. p 178 = 58, 34^b H, pp. 341-2 = 30, 12n 353 = 58, 58h-59a The lines upasya pascimām samdhyam' and 'gavatrim abhyaset tavat' are not found in the Narasunha-v p 485 = 58, 65,p 488 -- This verse occurs in chap 55 of the Dacca University Ms No. 2713 (fol. 90b). D 528 $= 58,91^{5}-93^{2}$ p. 531 = 58. 92b-93a. p. 540 = 34.7pp. 540-1 $= 34.17^{b}-18^{a}$ pp. 541-2 -These 13 verses. which are not found in the printed ed. occur in the Dacca University Mss. See Appendix II (B). 11 | Annals, b. O. R. I. i

```
Narasumha-p.
  D. 348
                - Given in foot-
                    note 2 on n.
                    103 of the
                   printed ed.
  n. 561
                 = 58.93.
4 Madana-värnäta
  of Madanapala.
  n 298
                 = 58, 91b-93°.
 pp 301-2 -These 16 verses
            occur in the Dacca
             University
                            Mas.
             See Appendix II
            (B)
             Some of these verses
             resemble Nar 34.
             18b, 21, 23b-24* and
            27°.
· Krtwocara
  of Sridatta Upa-
  dhyāva Dacca
  University Ms.
  No 4339 ).
  fol 6h-71 - This verse occurs
             in chap. 55 of the
             Dacca University
             Ms No 2713 (fol.
             90b)
  ., 13<sup>b</sup> (twice)
                   = 58,46^{b}-47.
         (The line 'tintani venu-
         pretham ca' 15 not
        found in the Nara-
        simha-p)
        58, 49°
                  =58. 50b-524.
  fol 14b
   .. 15*
                  = 58.58^{b}-59^{a}.
                  = 58, 72b-73
     28<sup>b</sup>
  .. 37<sup>b</sup>-38<sup>a</sup>
                  = 58, 77, 85°
                    and 87-88°.
```

~~	Tinness of the Boungarse	ii Orieniai Arsti	III IIIIIII
	Narasimha-1	, [Narasımha $-p$.
fol. 46*	-refers to 58	р 156	= 60, 2-4,
	88-91.	p 192	$= 60, 13^{b}-14,$
" 58 ^b	= 28, 34b-35a		17d-e, 16 and
	(twice) = 32, 18, 58		17f-g.
,,	91 ^b -93		e lines 'caturangu-
" 67ª	= 58, 98b-99a		', 'sarva-vyanjana-
,,	100° and		yuktam' and 'yater
	101ª		rma-ratah' are not
6 Column	rquei ntāma nı		nd in the Nara-
	emādi i.		ha-p
	54-165 = 30, 275-36*	8 Dipa-kalık	
r, bb r	and 39-412	Sülapāni (
p. 165		University	
	. 510-512 = 26, 2-20.	No 602),	nuo.
II, 11, p		fol 222	$= 58,11^{b}$ and
p 521	= 67, 8	101.00	13b
III, i, p.		9 Tirtha-cant	
	-This verse occurs	of Vacasps	
,	in chap 55 of the	misra.	•••
	Dacea University	,	* 445 444
	Ms No. 2713 fol.	р 279	
	90°).	10 Nityücüra	
UI n -	759 = 58, 109-	of Vidyaks	
* 1.24 11, P	110°.	Valapey10	,
7 Madhay	Anarya's	p 32	= 8 40
com e		p 61 (twice	$e = 58, 51^{h} - 58$
Parasore			50b-51a
J. 1, p 2		p. 87	— cı 58, 67 ^b
DD 303-5	= 58, 78°-82		and 71b
	The verses uttamam	p. 313	= 58, 89
	manasam japyam' and	pp 385-6	= 15, 8
	vacikasyaikamekam	In acc	= 63, 34
	sy'd' are not found in	p 311	= 63, 2
	the Naraymha-15	11 Kriya-tati	
p 364	= 58, 92b-931	of Srlháthá	catys-
p. 378	= 58, 93	cúdamanı (Dacca
pp. 406-7		University	Ms
p. 408	= 58, 100-101	No. 49), fol. 814	
I, it, p. 1	49 = 58, 36		= 58, 725-731
	,	, 83ª	= 58, 13b.

13 Varşa-kaumudi Narasimha-p of Govindšnanda,		15 Dāna-kaumudi Naranmha-p. of Govindinanda,		
p. 147	= 62, 6.	p. 51	$= 30, 37^{b},$	
p. 168	These verses occur in the Dacca Uni versity Mss See	16 Smrts of Ragi	dallva hunandana,	
	Appendix II (B) The line 'visnave vidhivat' and	T, p 21 p. 39 p 110	- cf 62, 10. =58,109-110°. = 67, 7	
	'kalpa-kotisaha- srāni also tally	p 146	= 67, 13-14 and 15.	
	with Nar. 34, 27 ^b and 21 ^b res- pectively.	p. 343	thrice) = 58, $48^{b}-49^{a}$, $47-48^{a}$ and $50^{b}-52^{a}$	
p. 171 p. 174	$= 34, 24^{b}-25^{a}.$ = 62, 14 ^a .		The line 'tintidi etc. ' is not	
р. 178	= Given in foot-note on p. 103		found in the Narasamha-p.	
	of the Narasynha-p, The verse 'urasa	p 364 p 391	$= 58, 72^{b}-73^{a}.$ = 58, $78^{b}-82$	
	sirasa' is not found in the Narasimha-p.	p 394 (twice) = 58, 91 ^b -92 ^a . Three lines from	
p. 199	$= 62, 7^{b}-8^{a}$. = 62, 4, 17 and		'arghyam dad- yāt tu sūryāya'	
pp. 200-201	8b-15°.		are not found in the Narasımha-p.	
p. 251 p. 496	= 58, 109 ^b -110. = 30, 32.	р. 396	= 33, 13-14.	
p. 501 p. 542	$= 58, 109^2 - 110^2$. = 67, 8.		The verse 'tato grharcanam	
pp. 569-70	= 58, 11 ^b and 13 ^b .		kuryāt' is not found in the	
13 Suddhi-ha	umudi		Narasimha-p.	
of Govindananda,		p 408	$= 63, 3 \text{ and } 5^{a_ab}$:	
p. 313	= 53, 72b-73a,	•	18, 5.	
p, 342 14 Srāddha-k			The verse 'dhyā- tvā pranava- pūrvam tu' is	
of Govindā p. 146	nanda, = 58, 725-73°,		not found in the Narasunha-p.	

84 .	innals of the Bhandarkar (Irientat Kesei	aren Institute
	Narasımha-p.		Narasımha-p.
p. 40 9	= (2, 14°, 8,	p. 61	= 32, 19.
J.	22 62, 10	р 66	$= 34, 18^{b}-20.$
	The line 'su-	-	-The verse 'aparyu-
	gandha-sumano		sitaniśchidraih ' is
	dhūpa ' 15 not		found in the Dacca
	tound in the		University Mss
	Narwenda-p.		See Appendix II
p 410	-l'hese two lines		(B)
p	occur in the Daces		The verse 'ketaki-
	University Mss		patra-puspam ca'
	See Appendix II		is not found in the
	(B)		Narasimha-p
p 411 (t		pp 72-73	= 18, 3 18, 5 and
p -21 (1	-The verse 'sami-		8ª-b.
	patra-sahasiehh		The verses 'sarva-
	vah ', which is not		vedānta-sārārtha"
	found in the prin-		and 'vasva
	ted ed, occur in		vāvāms ca visvā-
	the Dacca Univer-		sale are not found
	sity Mas. Set Ap-		in the Narasimha-
	pendix II (B)		p
pp 417-8		p 76	= 28, 34 ^b -35 ^a
pp 111 C		p 10	The verse 'urasa
	The verse ahany		sirasā dretvā' is
	ahani yo mariyo'. is not found in the		not found in the
	Narasimha-n		Narasimha-p
р 419	= 58, 93	0.4	•
b 412		p 84	= 66, 45,
	-The verses apara	p 284	= 30, 29-30*.
	dbasabasrāpi and 'anānam dānam ja	p. 508	= 32, 13-14 and
	pah sraddham 'e e		19b-20.
	not found in the	p 511	= 34, 11.
	Navasimha-v.		-The line 'brahma-
p 456			kūrca-vidhānena '
p 743	= 66, 45 = 67, 13-15		1s given in foot-
II, p 59			note 3 on p. 101 of
p. 60	= 51, 12-13,		the printed ed,
b. oo	= 58 48°-4°), 62, 14°		62, 14°
	04,14	, 650	= 62, 14°.

18 Dunn 25/8	Narasımha-p.	Narasımha-p.
17 Durga-pūjā- tattea of	туптивоппи р.	-The three lines
		tapah-sila-guno-
Raghunandans	= 62, 14°.	pete etc and the
p 16		verse 'evam hı rāı-
18 Harıbhaktı-vi		an' occur in the
of Goralabhat	= 18.33.	Daces University
p 22	= 10, 33,	Mss.—See Appen-
p. 142 p. 152	= 58 925-93° 1	dix II (B).
p. 155	= 33, 14.	p. 331 -These five lines.
	e verse samm	though not found
	anam yah kurute'	in the printed ed,
	not found in the	occur in the Dacca
	mot round in the	University Mss
	= 34, 13	See Appendix II
p. 159	= 34, 4	(B).
р 287 р 292	= 34, 5.	pp. 331-2 -These lines occur
p 292 p 297	= 34, 6-9*	in the Dacca Uni-
	he verse 'duheva-	versity Mss -Sec
	na-samanam jae-	Appendix II (B)
	am ' and the line	p. 354 —These lines occur
	loka-mitrany ava	in the Dacoa Uni-
	noti' are not found	versity Mss.—See
P.	the Narasimha-	Appendix II (B)
ρ.		p 377 = 34, 24 ^b -26
p. 298	= 34, 12,	p. 382 = 34, 27-28.
pp 300-1	= 34,2-3, 6,	p 398 = 34, 29-30.
pp 800 1	14-15a and	p 403 = 34, 3 (except 31°).
	14 ^{a_b}	p. $408 = 34, 34^{4} - 37^{2}$
p. 314	= 34, 166-172.	p 423 = 34, 34 ^b -c. p 426 — This verse is gi-
p. 314 p. 318	$= 34^{2}, 38^{2}-4$	p 426 —This verse is gi- ven in foot note 2
	hese three lines.	on p. 103 of the
	hich are not fou	printed ed.
	d in the printed	p 429 = 8, 45.
	d, ocour in the	p. 432 = 34. 32-33*.
	acca University	p. 441 = $28,34^{b}-35^{a}$.
	is -See Appendix	The verse 'krenasya
n	(B).	paritosepsuh' is not
p. 330	= 34, 18 ^b -19 ^a	found in the Nara-
-	and 20	simha-p.

86	Annals of the Bhandarkar	Oriental Rese	arch Institute
	Naranmha-p.		Narammha-p.
p 544	= 9, 2-3 and 5	00	ours in chap. 55 of
p. 621	= 54, 39° and	the	Dacca University
	39	Mi	No. 2713 (fol 90 ^b)
	The lines 'asvamedha	р. 365	= 58,77
	sya yajñasya and 'gayam sahasra-	р 387	= 58, 88.*
	danasva' are not found	p. 496	= 7, 63-70 and
	in the printed ed		74*
р 639		p. 556	= 33, 13-14
	21-23.		34, 2-3
р 663	= 8, 31.	р 557	$= 34,34^4-35$ and
р. 688	3 = 8, 27		37*.
p. 728	= 8, 29	pp 558-56	
p 109		1	and 13-16°.
р, 111			e line 'brahma-kürca-
p 117			hānena' is given in
	and 20		t-note 3 on p, 101 of
	The verse sakamo	the	printed ed.
	narasimhasva is not		tht lines from 'gaya-
	found in the Nara-		ā caiva gomūtram' to
190	sımha-p		dbnı vā vu h sadā
p 129	0 = 32, 12, Icāra-pradipa		ah' are not found in
of No.	rara-prasipa rasimha		Narasıtıha-p.
	apeyin,	p. 599	$= 28, 24^{b}-35^{a}$.
р 187	= 58, 80-82 and	Als	o refers to the con-
,	79 ^b	tent	s of chap. 28 of the
р. 238	= 8, 40	Nar	asımlı a -p.
p. 261	- This verse	p. 607	= 34, 17 ^b -18 ^a .
	occurs in chap. 55 of the	p. 615	-These three
	Dacca University Ms.	vers	es occur in the
р 283	No 2713 (fol. 90b)	Dao	cs University Mss.
	= 58, 47. The line 'tintidl venu-		Appendix II (B).
	pretham ca' is not found	p. 616	- The verses
	in the Narasinha-p.		
р. 286	= 58, 50°-52 °		ons-puspe' and sam ca'occur in the
p. 287	= 58, 51 ^b .		os University Mss
p. 310	- This verse		Appendix II (B).
		1006	whhangra up (D).

Narasimha-p.
There is also reference to the contents of some of the verses given in Appendix II (B).

p 617 = 34, 18⁵-19² and 20 The line 'etais ca nityam' is not found in the Nara-

p. 644 = 34, 24^b-26

p. 649 = 34, 27-28. p. 665 = 34, 29-30

pp 676-7 = 34,31*-9 p. 697 = 34,16b-17*,37b-38

p. 697 = 34, 16°-17°, 37°-30 and 39°-e.

-The last verse is not found in the Narasimha-p p 729 = 63.6-8^b and 5^b

Kālasāra of Gadādhara.

p. 323 —This line, which is not found in the printed ed. occurs in chap 55 of the Dacca University Ms No. 2713 (fol 922). Narasimha-p. p 507 = $58, 50^{5}-51^{4}$

 Vidhāna-pārijūta of Anantabhatta.

I, pp. 592-6= 34, 49^a, 49^b-51^a, 44^c, 48, 44^c-45^a, 46-47, 45^c, 51^b-54, and 55. 35, 1-5^a and 6^b-25

The verses 'hasantīm pratimām drstva and tatha mabāivaragraste '. which are not found in the printed ed., occur in the Dacca University Mas Nos 284A (fol 58ª), 323 (fol. 51b. the line 'prasveda--vuktām' ie not found in this Ms). and 2713 (fol 44b). The line 'bhaktyā praphiayet' is not found in the Nara-

nnha-p.

APPENDIX IV

The quotations made from the 'Narammha-p' (or 'Nrmmha-p.' or 'Nārammha') in the following works are not found in the present Narammha-p

- Dānasāgara, fol 173^b
- (2) Madana-pārījāta, p 211.
- (3) Caturen ga-cinlāman, II, ii, pp 41-4, 375, 376-7 (?), 381-2. III, 1, pp 245, 432-3, 680, 702-3, 720, 738, 929-930. III, 11, pp 505, 673, 852
- (4) Dipu-kalıkā (Daces University Ms No 602), fol 97b.
- (5) Braddha-wreka (Daoca University Ms No 151A), fol 22
- (6) Vrata-kūla-rupeka (Dacca University Ms No 1578°) fol. 3°.
- (7) Tithi-viveka (Daces University Ms No 403D), fol. 4b
- (8) Nıtyācāra-paddhatı, pp. 495, 505-6, 530
- (9) Sraddha-kaumudi, p. 100
- (10) Smrtt-tattia, I, pp 351, 414, 415, 762, 827, 11, p. 628
 - (11) Haribhakti-vilüsa pp. 156, 431, 660 710.
- (12) Nityacura-pradipa, pp 181, 512
- (13) Kalusara, p 145,
- (14) Vidhāna-pārijāta. I, p. 451

STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF INDIAN PLANTS— HISTORY OF CANAKA (GRAM) AS FOOD FOR

HORSES-BETWEEN C. A. D. 800 AND 1870 TOGETHER WITH SOME NOTES ON THE

IMPORT OF FOREIGN HORSES
INTO INDIA IN ANCIENT AND
MEDIAEVAL TIMES

RΨ

P K. GODE

A friend of mine in the Bombay Agricultural Department, who was interested in the history of several Indian crops, once acked me if I could study the history of Canadka or gram used by men and horses in India to-day. I promised him to write some paper on Canadka and its antiquity on the strength of Indian sources I put a counter-question to my friend Can you tell me when Canadka or gram came to be used as food for horses? My friend could not answer this question for want of evidence. I, therefore, propose to record in this paper some evidence which throws some light on this question from the Sanskrit and non-Sanskrit sources available to me

Yule and Burnell have recorded some useful information on Gram or Canaka in the Hobson-Jobson, London, 1903, pp. 392-393 as follows:—

GRAM S.—This word is properly the Portuguess grāc i. e. 'grain' but it has been specially appropriated to that kind of vetch (core areataum, L.) which is the most general grain—(rather pulse-) food for horses all over India, called in H. Chama It is the Ital cock, Fr. pois chiche, Eng. chick-pea or Egypt pea much used in France and S. Europe. This specific application of grāc is also Portuguese as appears from Bluteau. The word gram is in some parts of India applied to other kinds of pulse, and then this application of it is recognized by qualifying it as Bengal gram. (See remarks under CALAVANCE). The plant andeso oxalate of potash, and to walk through a gram-field in a wet morning is destructive to shoe-leather. The natives collect the soid."

18 [Annals, B. O. R. I.]

The following dated usages of the word GRAM are then recorded in the Hobson-Jobson:—

corded in the Hobson-Jobson:—
A. D. 1513—" And for the food of these horses (exported from the Persian Gulf) the factor supplied gracs"

-Albuquerque, Cartas p 200

Letter of December 4.

A. D. 1854— (Describing Vijayanagar)—"There the food of horses and elephants consists of graos, rice and other vegetables cooked with jagra, which is palm-tree sugar, as there is no barley in that country."

-Castanheda, Bk. 11, Ch. 16

C A. D. 1610—"They give them also a certain gram like lentils"

-Purard de Land, Hak. Soc. ii. 79.

A. D. 170:-". ...he confessing before us that their allowance three times a week is but a quart of rice and gram together for five men a day, but promises that for the future it shall be rectified."

—In Wheeler, ii, 10.

A. D. 1776—"Lentils.....gram.....mustard seed "

—Halhed's Code, p. 8 (Pt. ii).

A D 1789- ' gram, a small kind of pulse, universally used instead of oats"

-Munros's Narratwe, 85.

A. D. 1793-" gram which it is not customary to give to bullocks in the Carnatic."

-Dirrom's Narrative, 97,

A. D 1804—"The gram alone for the four regiments with me has in some months cost 50,000 pagodas"

- Wellington, iii, 71

A. D. 1865—" But they had come at a wrong season, gram was dear, and prices low and the sale concluded in a dead loss"

-Palgrave's Arabia, 290.

Gram-fed—ad) Properly the distinctive description of mutton and beef fattened upon gram which used to be the pride of Bengal. But applied figuratively to any 'pampered creature'. in the article on CALAVANCE (Hobson-Jobson, p. 145) we are told that the word Calcumne comes from the Span. grahanzes, which De Candolle mentions as Castilian for 'pois cluche' or core gristmum (= gram)

The above usages of gram as food for horses and men, take its history upto A. D. 1513. I shall now trace this history backward from A. D. 1513.

Narabari in his medical glossary called the *Rājanighantu* ¹ composed in Kashmir C *A D. 1450* ² refers to বুলুক or gram as "ব্যক্তিয়াল (food for horses) in the following verse:—

" चणस्त हरिमन्थः स्यात सगम्धः कृष्णकड्चकः।

ः चणस्तु हारमन्यः स्यात् सगम्यः छणकञ्चकः। बालभोज्यो वाजिभक्षक्षणकः कञ्चकी च सः॥

In the two special treatises on horses, which are definitely earlier than Narahari's Rajamphantu we get some references to Canaka as food for horses. These treatises are (1) সম্বেশ্বত গ্ৰামান্ত্ৰন স্বৰ্ণ and (2) সম্বেশ্বতিশিক্ষণ of নহত্ত.

References to चणक in the अञ्चल्यक of जयदस are as follows -

Page 106 (chap. 11 — इत्यमात्रा विवरणम)

" चणकाश्रीव भाषाश्च ये चान्ये ब्रीहयस्तचा । यवार्द्धेन प्रयोक्तश्या देशसारुयेन खाटने ॥ ९ ॥ "

Here चणक or gram is definitely prescribed for the regimen of horses along with यव, आव, and जीहि The editor in explaining the above observes.—

^{&#}x27; Quoted on p, 212 of अलाबल्डयकात by K. M. Vaidya, Trichur, 1936,

[?] Hobson Jobson (p 476) refers to Kitchery (figraft) as food for horses—"c 1176 Horses are fed on pease, also on Kitchiris, boiled with sugar and oil etc "— Abdurrazak in India in 15th Century, p. 10 — Doss "pease" bete mean chick-peas or agon;?

² Edited by Umesa Chandra Gupta in Biblistheca Indica, Calcutta, 1886, pages 335. A Glossary of Indican Drugs mentioned by Jayadatta, together with their Bengali, Hindi and Latin synonyms, is given by the Editor at the end of this edition. In this glossary affight or opium is mentioned on p. 3.

Edited by Umeés Chaudra Gupta, Bib Indica, 1887 pages 63.

के हेम्बस्ट (A. D. 1088-1718) in his किराठका प्रतिवर्शनावाणि (मुविकास, 286) meations व्य as the favourite of horses — " नवी हृत्यिय: " (Comm. " ह्वानं विर: ह्यांदिय:"), He referts to च्याव्य, In verse 337 का "च्याची हृत्यिययः," का व्यव्यक्रियः"), में क्याचित्रकाः, "कार्यक्राच्यां "च्याची हृत्यय्यक्षः," Amorekofa meations त्यातील borses and हृतियस्य (= च्याचा क्राचित्रकाः, " Amorekofa meations त्यातील borses and हृतियस्य (= च्याचा)

" वाजिनां भोजनार्थे यवादीनां एवं मात्राः एकदिने यवस्य आहरू-चतुष्टयं। चणकुमापत्रीह्यादीनां आहरूद्वयं मात्रा। सुदूरय च प्रस्थत्रयं। यत्र

यत्र भोजने स्नेहत्यवस्था सर्वेत्रेव स्तहस्य कुढवत्रयं त्रवणस्य कुढवो देयः।" Then the editor quotes the following verse from अग्निपराण :—

" चणकवीहिमौडानि कलायं बापि दापयेत ।

अह्रोरात्रेणचार्खस्य यवसस्य तुला दशः॥

अष्टी शुष्कस्य दातव्याध्वतस्रोऽथ बुबस्य वा । "

इति अग्निपुराणस् । एव मात्रमोजनेऽपि मात्रस्य २ प्रश्याः । तैलस्य २ कुढवाः । लवणस्य च कुढवः ॥ "

Chapter 13 deals with the treatment of horses in different seasons (सर्व-स्त-प्रवास) Special attention is given to the food' of the horses in these seksons. Among articles of food for the autumn (सरत) we find मांसप्य (meat-broth) and for the winter (देमस्त) some wine (वारुप्य) also. Wine (मार्द्य) is also prescribed for the spring (बस्त). In chapter 22 dealing with treatment of horses for removing fatigue (आस्त्रेयवार) मांस रस or flesh unice is prescribed along with घर (घरावेषण). In chapter 40 dealing with the treatment of horses in fever (उपरिचयण) मांसरस-जीदन or rice boiled with flesh juice is prescribed. Chapter 40 dealing with the treatment of horses in fever (उपरिचयण) मांसरस-जीदन or rice boiled with flesh juice is prescribed and 22 verses Garlic is said to be specially beneficial to old horses (रेड्यू च विशेषण स्त्रीमध्य प्राप्य) References to चणक as food to horses in the अन्यचित्रित्य of स्त्रुप्त कर sollows-

Page 39— chapter 11 (ब्ह्ह्सचर्चा) prescribes the use of gram (चणक) in the absence of यह in the following verse -

" यबोत्य यबस द्यादेकविंशत्यहानि च । यवाऽभावेऽथ चणकानु द्यादाईतरानु सदा ॥ १०॥ "

ৰন্ধুন praises why much the use of বৰ for feeding the horses as follows.-

''देवतानां यथा विष्णुर्जक्का वेदविदां वरः। नदीनाञ्च यथा गङ्गा तथा श्रेष्ठा यदा हये ॥ १४ ॥ ''

Uf Ass-1-Akborl (A D 1590) (Blochmann) Vol. I (1875)—Ass styles on Imperial Horse Stables—The regumen includes peas, grain, flour, sugar, fresh grass, ghes, hay, molasses, salt, Brown sugar, green outs for regular horses—Regimen for foals includes milk of Cows.

यस had been the sustainer of the Aryans from Vedic times and consequently तकुल lavishes so much praise on it. The Vedic Aryans' may have used यस for their horses. नकुल and जयसस prescribe यस as food for horses. पदस is prescribed by तकुल for horses. Keith in his Vedic Index (Vol. II, p. 117) states that " यस in the Rgveda and later denotes the 'grass' on which animals feed and which is burnt by the forest fire."

Page 42 — नकुल continues his praise of यद in the following lines:—

" वरीक्षाक्षी यथा हेत्रः स्नेहस्य च प्रतिक्रिया । इयजीवस्य तहच्च वरीक्षा यवभक्षणे ।: ३२ ॥ यथा सार्यादिकः पार गरबोच्चेः स्वस्थतः त्रजेत् । तहत् यवाजानोत्तीर्णाः ह्यब्देहा हयोसमाः ॥ ३३ ॥

Page 43— When নকুল wrote his treatise আৰক had attained quite an important status in the regimen of the horses. In fact it was considered as the second best grain for horses (next to यव) as will be seen from the following verse:—

" वबाऽभावेऽथ चणका धान्यमन्यतमं परम्।"
" एते पुष्टिग्रणाः प्रोक्ताश्चणकाहारका हवे।"

In the detailed regimen of horses Kautilya mentions numerous items except বাজঃ. I am, therefore, inclined to suggest that বাজঃ was not used as food for horses in Kautilya's time. The question now arises as regards the exact time when বাজঃ came to be used as food for horses or "মানিমন". It appears that when নজুৱ wrote his treatise the use of বাজ was getting into vogue as food for horses. We must now find references to বাজাৰ afood for horses in sources earlier than the time of বাজন and বাছুৱ, the authors of

া In the Vedic Index (Vol I — " সুদ্,'' Keith and Macdonell do not refer to the use of up for horses. In the Arthassista Kautilya refers to up in the regimen for horses in his chapter on সম্বাধ্য (Book II chap. 30) p. 147 of Bar. Trans. by Shammastry. 1979

"For the best horse (the diet shall be) two drones of any one of the grains, rice (nice, fife), barley (nt), panis seeds (firsy) soaked or cooked, madgo (Phaseolus Mango), or Maya (Phaseolus Radiabus 1000 prastha of oil, 5 palas of sait, 50 pains of fiesh, one adhaka of broth (rasa), or two adhakas of ourd, 5 palas of sait so make their diet reliabing one prastha of ours! liquor of two prasthas of milk.

There is no reference to more in the Word-Index to the Arthasastra.

সম্বাদিক and সম্বাদিকিলৈন respectively But what is the time of সমন্ত্ৰ and নভুত? In connection with this question the following data may be recorded:—

- (1) In the Vedic times "Horses from the Indus were of special value, as also horses from Sarasvati (see p. 43 of Vedic Index (Keith and Macdonell)
- (2) The Arthatastra of Kautilya (p. 148 of Eng. Trans., 1929) mentions the following varieties of horses .—
 - (1) Kāmboja, (i1) Sindhu, (ii1) Aratta and (iv) Vanāyu— These are the best breeds and (v) Bāhlika, (vi) Pāpeya, (vii) Sauvīra and (viii) Taitala are breeds of middle unality
- (3) স্বৰ্ম in chap. VI of his সম্বীযক mentions the following

Best kinds — (1) ताजिङ (Arabian horses)

```
(2) पारसिक ( Persian )
(3) देखान or की आ
```

Other kinds are (4) तुरी जाता or तुरुष्टा , (5) कीरा , (6) सुरुष्टा , (7) भाष्ट्रजाः , (8) पार्वता , (9) सैन्थवा , (10) सारवता , (11) सम्भन्नाः , (12) कुदाः , (13) जटदेशोद्धवा , (14) प्रान्दित्तणोद्धवा , (15) प्रक्रदेशोद्धवा , (16) कालिकात्यः , (17) प्रक्रदेशास्त्रवा etc

(4) সক্কুল in chap II of his সম্বাধিকিনিল mentions the following kinds of horses —

Best kinds -- (1) ताजिकाः (Arabian)

- (2) खुरजाणा (Khorasān)
- (3) उत्तराः (variant संयागः)
- Other kinds (4) मोजिहाणाः, (5) केकाणाः, (6) प्रोहाहाराः (variant वोपहाराः, (7) भाण्डजाः, (8) राजञ्जाः, (9) गोह्वराः,

(10) ज्ञाबरा , (11) सिन्धुपारा..

The above list of numerous kinds of horses known to Indians in the time of সৰ্বদ্ধ and বৃদ্ধত contains a sulogy of নাৰিছ (Arabian), ৰাপনিত (Persian Jurkah, Arabian) and some other foreign breeds of horses like মুখ্য (Turkiah) and মুখ্যাল (Khorasin) varieties. This popularity of Persian Turkah, Khorasin, Arabian and other foreign breeds clearly shows that the importation of foreign horses into India was an established feature of foreign commerce with India at the time when সৰ্বৃদ্ধ and বৃদ্ধত composed their treaties on horses.

(5) Murco Polo in his Travels 1 (A. D. 1298) records some references to horses of different countries as follows:—

Page 28— Horses in Turkomana. Moroo Polo observes.—

"There is here an excellent breed of horses which has the appellation of Turki and fine mules which are sold at high prices." The Turki breed of horses is esteemed throughout the East for spirit and hardiness. (Compare REWS horses mentioned by NEWN).

Page 50-Horses in Persia

"The country is distinguished for its excellent breed of horses many of which are carried for sale to India and bring high prices not less in general than two hundred livres tournois."

"The traders of these parts convey the horses to Kisi to Ormus, and to other places on the coast of the Indian sea, where they are purchased by those who carry them to India. In consequence, however, of the greater heat of that country, they do not last many vers, being natives of a temperate climate."

Page 134-Horses of Tartars fed on grass alone.

The Tartars " are capable of supporting every kind of privation, and when there is a necessity for it can live for a month on the milk of their mares and upon such wild adimals as they may chance to catch. Their horses are fed upon grass alone and do not require barley or other grain (contrast the Indian regimen for horses consisting of पर, चणक and मांसपुर mentioned in the अभ्योध का आधारिकारिया of अपद्रम कार्य कहा and the 10th diet for horses given by the Arthadistra in its chapter on अपदायह).

2 "Turkomania" then comprised the possessions of the great Seljuk dynasty in Asia Minor, says the Editor, Mr. Wright.

Cf. Vincent Smith (History of India, Oxford, 1914, p. 426)—King Pulakośin II of the Decom sent an embassy to Khueru II of Persia in A. D. 826. Khueru sent a return embassy to Fulakeśin. A picture of this return embassy is found on an Ajanta freeco painting in Oave No. 1.

^{&#}x27; Ed by Thomas Wright, London, 1901.

^a Wright observes — The excellence of the Persan horses, for which they may perhaps be nobeled to the mutane of the Arabana and Turks breed, is well known A detailed account of their qualities is given by Chardin (tom ii, chap, vin, p 25, 4 o), and also by Malcolim (Hist. of Persa Vol ii, p. 316). As the late tournous, in the lift near was at the proportionate value of 25 to 1 luvre of the present times, it follows that, the proper at which the Persan knows sold in Indua was from 1500 to 2000 rapses."

"The men are habituated to remain on horse-back during two days and two nights, without dismounting, sleeping in that cituation whilst their horses grave."

Page 262-Horses bred in Karajan1

— 'The best borses are brad in this province', (Wright observes: "This is probably the same breed as the tangun or tangun horses of lower Thest, carried from thence for sale to Hindustan. The people of Bitan informed Mayor Rennell that they brought their tanguns thirty-five days journey to the frontier' (cf. आपहण mentions Zgm breed — "स्ट्रेज्यार्ग इस्बा हुम्ब हुम्ह, स्पिडींगिंड."

Page 266- Horses bred in Karazan

"In this province the horses are of a large size and whilst young are carried for Sale to India. It is the practice to deprive them of one yount of the tail, in order to prevent them from lashing it from side to side, and to occasion its remaining pendant, as the whisking it about, in riding, appears to them a vile habit."

Page 386— No horses are bred in Maabar but they are imported form Arabia

—"No horses being bred in this country the king and his three royal brothers expend large sums of money annually in the purchase of them from merchants of Ormus, Denfar, Pecher and Adem etc."

-"The climate of the province is unfavourable 2 to the race of horses."

¹ Wright states that Karoum is generally understood to be northwastern part of yun-nac De P. Rochann (Asuata Recerciae, Yol, iv, p. 228) writes this word as Koraya. He also speaks of Ko-Khaya a wild people on the fronteers of China yeggs the states as bread of horses of the name happy (variants dyige, happy), ange, less mentions the happy bread Has happy or its phonetic variants any connection with Ko-Kinya people on the fronteers of China, nestroned by Boohanna?

Wright states that Karsan is another province of Yun-nan-It appears that the practice of docking the tails of horses by separating one or more of the vertebras, which has become so common in England existed many hundred years ago amongst the people of Yun-nan, in the remotest part of China-Chinese promonition of Karsan would be Ka-La-Shan.

Wright observes — "Even at the present day there is no breed of horses in the Southern part of the pennsuls, and all the cavalry employed (continued on the next page)

-"For food they give them flesh dressed with rice, and other prepared meats, the country not producing any grain besides rice."

Page 420-Horses exported to India from Kanan or Tana.

—"They likewise take on board a number to horses fo be carried for sale to different parts of India."

Page 439-Horses from Aden exported to India,

"In this port of Aden, likewise, the merchants ship a greater number of Arabum horses, which they carry for sale to all the kingdoms and salands of Indua, obtaining high prices for them and making large profits" (of the statement of সমস্য and নয়ত that নাসিক or Arabum horse belongs to the best class of horses.)

Page 443—Horses exported to India from Kalayats² or Kalatu to India

"Its harbour is good, and many trading ships arrive there from India These likewise carry away freights of horses, which they sell advantageously in India."

It is clear from the foregoing references of A. D. 1298 about the importation of Person, Araban, Turksh and other breads of horses to India that these horses empoyed a wide popularity in Indian kingdoms and that this Indo-foreign trade in horses was already an established feature of the commercial relations of India with other countries It is on this account that we find a definite mention of quere, gives and πίθες horses in the treatises on horses by πίστε and πίθες horses in the treatises on horses to

(continued from the previous page)

there are foreign. " जायहन also considers the horses bred in the Eastern and Southern country as अधून or of low quality —

- " अधमाष्टद्वणैः सार्द्ध द्वये प्राग्दक्षिणोज्यदाः ॥ "
- " दाक्षिणात्यो भवेदुण्टी योऽधन्यः सर्ववाजिनास् ॥ १७॥ जवद्वीना महादृष्टाः पूर्वदेशसमुद्भवाः । "
- ¹ Wright observes "Rorses were carried from the Red See, Persian (Out, and places in their vicinity to the northern parts of India, from whence their breed was exported to the southern provinces. Such at least appears to have been the course of the traffic before it was disturbed by European indianos."
- Ralayati is Kathāt, on the Coast of Oman, not far to the southward of Muscat,
 - 13 [Annals, B. O. R. I.]

(6) The Western Calukya King सोमेन्स composed his encyclopaedic Sanakrit work मानशेद्वास 'about A. D. 1180 i. a. about 168 years earlier than Marco Polo's Travels. In this work there is a chapter on बाजिसासाटी बिनोइ or the game on Indum Polo. ''
The king should understand the kinds of the best horses for this game brought before him by his officers (तेषां जाती: परिकेत देशाना-विषेद्ध :) Somesvara then names the following classes of horses

Best Horses—(1) काम्बोज, (2) यवन, (3) तेजी, (4) बाल्डीक, (5) आतळ (6) तास्त्वारक, (7) केकाण, (8) पोहार, (9) कान्दलेय, (10) योधेय, (11) वाज-पेयक, (12) बनायज, (13) पारसीक,

 $Middle\ Breeds$ —(14) तैनिळ, (15) बत्स, (16) कान्यार, (17) बामतेष, (18) सेन्यद, (19) सावित्र, (20) पावित्र, (21) काहमीर, (22) साम्बतीयक, (23) तजी, (24) कुळन, (25) नीहार, (26) सारस्वत, (27) तुरुष्क.

Inferior Breeds—(28) सेदक, (29) आर्द्धनेय, (30) जैगर्त, (31) गुर्जर, (32) राजस, (33) आवन्य, (34) सीराष्ट्र, (35) पारियात्र, (36) सहारक, (37) द्वाधवाट, (38) सतस्यवाट etc.

It will be seen from the above list that many foreign breeds of horses such as पासीस, तुरुक, तीस्त्रास, कंडाण, बाहिस, तेली etc. were considered best horses about A. D. 1130, when Somešvara ruled in the Deccan The question of the identification of all these breeds of horses mentioned by दोस्त्रेपर, as also those mentioned in other works will have to be considered separately as such identification has a direct bearing on the history of foreign commerce with India.

In the ৰাজিবায়ারেলি (Indian Polo) chapter referred to above there is no occasion for सोमेन्द्रर to mention the food for the horses. Accordingly there is no mention of বৃত্ত or gram in it z

- Ed. by G K Shrigondekar, G O S. Baroda, Vol II, 1939.
- 2 Ibid, pp. 211-224-पानिवाहात्री विवाह-It is worth while comparing the horse-fore in this chapter with that in the horse-treatises of जवनन and जक्रुल. See also Mr O. K Shrigondekar's paper on "Indian Polo" in the Proceedings of the Indian Ort Conference, Allahabad of 1926.
- s I note here some references to च्लाक and its uses found in the भानसीहास Vol. II (Baroda) 1939 :-
 - P. 10 चणकीद्व (rice boiled with gram) used for बास्तप्रामन.
- P. 118— Chapter on अस्त्रश्चेग चणक to be used in cookery after grinding it in a बरह हरिमन्य (= चणक) pulse fried with spices (p. 119),
- P. 281-Chapter on মার্থি বিনার- ব্যক pulse to be given to he buffaloes used for fight.

(continued on the next page)

- (7) The laricon Amarakośu mentions सैन्यम as the name of a horse (see स्थियमाँ of Kānda II of Amarakośa, ed. by N. G. Sardesai and H. D. Sharma). It mentions also four breeds of horses as follows—
- P. 185— "४६—वनायुजाः पारसीकाः काम्बोजा वाल्हिका हपाः" The commentator क्षीरस्वामित्र explains:— "एते जाताः हपविश्वेषाः । एवं तक्काराष्ट्रयोऽपि"
- The Amarakośa (Between A. D. 500 and 800) refers to the uttellies or Persian horses. This reference is important as it is one of the earliest references to utulity horses we have so far recorded. বাদ্যালয় adds the guart breed to the four breeds mentioned by Amara. The date of structified is shout A. D. 1050.
- (8) The Brhatsanhitā of Varāhamihira (C. A. 500) contains a small section on অপ্ৰস্তম্ভ (chap. 66 in 5 verses) but it mentions no breeds of horses in it though it mentions স্বাভঃ
- (9) Narahari in his medical glossary called राजानियण्ड of C. A. D. 1450 refers to the following breeds ' of horses:---
- (1) आरट्ट, (2) सिन्धुज, (3) बनायुज, (4) पारसीक, (5) कोबीज, (6) बाल्डिक, (7) माझाण, (8) शेफक.
- (10) During the Polonnaruva period of the history of Ceylon (A. D. 1017-1235) horses, charlots and elephants were used at times in warfare but an army of which they formed part was rather the exception than the rule because there were no convenient routes to follow in a thickly wooded country like Ceylon. The soldiers as a rule travelled on foot and the generals were carried in palanquins with parssols held over them as a sign of their authority? Ceylon's trade with the West began

⁽ continued from the previous page)

P. 273--Chapter on सास्य चिनोद (Angling) — Balls of चणक विष्ट or gram flour with boiled rice to be used for feeding fishes

Pages 133-236—Boar-hunt (ब्राह्म धृतवा) is described Boars are very fond of ब्याक (सुक्ता: खणकोत्स्याः) — several uses of ब्याक for tempting the boars before the king hunted them are described.

The foregoing references clearly prove that in A D 1130 the use of aug or gram for feeding buffaloes and boars was an established practice.

¹ Vide p. 35 of अशांगञ्जदयकीष by K. M. Vaidya, Trichur, 1936—शाजनियाण्डु is quoted here as follows:—

[&]quot; आरद्दासेन्धुजवनायुजपारसीक— काम्बोजबाह्हिकमुखा विविधास्तुरंगाः ।

साम्बाणशेफकमुत्रा अपि देशतः स्युः "

Vide p. 91 of Early History of Ceylon, by G. C. Mendis, 1938.

very early. It is not certain whether the Arabs had dealings with Ceylon before the Christian Era From the 2nd century A. D. to the early part of the 3rd century Greek traders came to Ceylon. There was a revival of trade after Constantine (A. D. 323-337) who made Byzantium the capital of the Roman Empire. Persians, who were Christians of the Nestorian sect also traded with the South-west of India and Ceylon but their trade ceased in the 7th century when Persia was captured by the Muslums. The conquest of Alexandria by the Caliphs in A. D. 638 stopped Ceylon's direct trade with Byzantine Empire. Before the end of the 10th century the Arabs established a trading settlement in Colombo. 'In view of these circumstances it is doubtful if any foreign breeds of horses were imported to Ceylon as they were imported to India from Persia and Arabia say from A. D. 800 onwards.

(11) We have already seen that সল্বাস and নৃদ্ধ have stated that the breeds of horses from the স্বাহিম্বাস and पूर्व (Southern and Eastern) countries or provinces were of the most inferior quality (NUR). During the rule of the Pals dynasty in Bengal (A. D. 750-1200) cavalry was not neglected because they had to fight with Prattharas who were strong in cavalry. Bengal had no good breed of horses. Horses were imported from foreign countries. It is said in the Mongyr piate of Davapala (A. D. (B10-850) that the horses met their old mares in the Kamboja country. Kamboja was reputed for the finest breed in ancient times.

(13) Dr. B. C. Law in his learned article on "Animals in Early Jain and Buddhist Literature" recently published records the following interesting information about horses gathered from the Jülakas -

¹ Ibid, pp 73-74.

Vide p 143 of Early History of Bengal, by P. L. Paul, Calcutta, 1939.

Indian Culture, Vol. XII (July-Sept 1945), pp. 6-7.

Or. A. D. Pusalker has drawn my attention to the following references to Horses in Dr. B. C. Law? These is Astent India? (B. O. B. Institute, 1944):—"Horse-dealert figure prominently amongst the Gandhirat trades and we learn from the spugging that the Gandhirat trades and we learn from the spugging that the Gandhirat trades the best of all (ab. 99) (Page II)—"Herse dealers from morthern distincts used to bring better 50 plants for said (Jates II p. 267). Sinds horses were available in Benarca and were used as the toyal horses of overnous (Jates II I), 233) [Law, p. 112].

" Horses-Sindh horses are milk-white and thorough-bred. (Jataka Nos. 22, 23, 160, 211, 529, 547, 538). They are white as lilies, swift as the wind and well trained (Ibid. Nos. 544, 266. 547). Horses like to eat pears (Ibid, 176). Thorough-bred horses are fed on parched rice drippings broken meuts and grass and red rice-powder (Ibid No. 254). There are big chest-nut horses (Suhanu Jūtaka No. 158). Horses are fierce (Ibid No. 115). When they become rogue they bite quiet horses, but when two rogues meet they lick each other's body (Ibid No. 158). The horse can also imitate men. A horse watching its tame trainer as he trampled on and on in front imitated him and limped too (Geridanta Jataka No. 184.) A thorough-bred war-horse will not bathe in the same place where an ordinary horse took its bath (Ibid No. 25). Horses were employed for drawing state-chariots (Ibid No 22) and cars (Ibid No. 211) Thorough-bred Sindh horses sheathed in mail were used for war purposes (Ibid, No. 23; cf. Ibid, No. 547). The Valāha and Sindhu are the horses of superior breed (Barhut, III, Pl. XXVI, fig. 136).

There was a trade in horses (Jātaku Nos. 4 and 5). There were valuers employed by kings to fix the proper price of horses, elephants and the like (bid, No. 5). Good horses used to fetch high prices. A high-bred foal was sold at Benares at a high price, separate price, was paid for the foal's four feet, for its tail, for its head—six purses of a thousand pieces of money, one for each (Ibid, No. 254). This horse could run at such a high speed that nobody could see it at all. It could run over a pond without getting its hoofs wet, and gallop over lotus leaves without even pushing one of them under water (Ibid, No. 254)—There was a flying horse, white all over and beaked like a crow, with hair like mulig grass, possessed of supernatural power, able to fly through the air. From Himalays it flew through the air until it came to Ceylon. It carried 250 men at a time (Valākassa Jātaku No. 196)".

There is no reference to ব্যক্ত or gram as food for horses in the above account of ancient Indian horses, though it refers to rice, meat' and grass as food for horses. The breeds of horses mentioned in this account are Valaha and Sindhu only. The references to trade in horses is in harmony with the references to such trade recorded by me already in this paper.

(13) In view of the Indo-foreign horse-trade referred to in the Indian and Foreign sources of history the following remarks of Geoffrey Brooke in his book." The Way of a Man with a Horse" (London, 1929) on the history of the Horse and Horsemanship will be read with peculiar interest—

Chapter I - The Horse.

"Throughout the past ages to the present day we find the horse's many qualities appreciated and tyrned to the use of man. His courage and endurance have repeatedly been recognized as dominant factors in war and his original use for this purpose dates back to pre-historic times. Archaeological evidence proves this to have been the case in India, Perua, Assyria, and Egypt, where the horse was bred and trained as a mesns of conveyance In 2737 B C the Offinesse "are known to have made use of eavalry.

¹ Geoffrey Frooke in his book "The Way of a Man with a horse." It. Chodon, 1929) has dealt with the question of feeding of sick horses. He presenthes eggs, with, bread, but aids, heer and wine for supplying nourishment in a occessivated form Reer, Stori and Wines are to be given chiefly to stimulate aspective. A quart of Beer or Stori and 1/2 bottle of wine are to be usually given (P, 101). "A horse that does not appear to be thriving may be given a wine-glass-ful of Cod-liver oil in thrice the amount in treasle mixed in his feed once a day."

¹ Vide "Ceremonial Usages of the Chinese, B C, 1121, Translated by W. R. Gingell, London, 1852-This Chinese classic (3000 years old) refers to royal chariots and banners (pp. 18-26) It describes how men of the Chow Dynasty (1121 B C) paid particular regard to carriages, and then records in detail the principles of carriage building. It refers to military carriages, small carriages with one pole and a pair of horses on each side of the pole and large carriages with two shafts and an ox between them, The small carriages were used for hunting and war purposes. There were also " plain carriages" used as vehicles, drawn by on or horse-This book then lays down the "regulations by which the people bred horses" Horses were of six kinds - (1) thorough-bred, (2) charger, (3) horse of colour, (4) roadster. (5) hunter, and (6) common-bred. There were officers to look after the Castration of horses, and officers to supervise the harnessing and unharnessing. There were other officers to manage the vicious horses.--These observations are evidently about 1000 years older than those in Kautilya's chapter on simples in the simples and about 2000 years earlier than those in the treatmen of ज्यूडम and नकत

Amongst other places in the Bible we find in the Book of Kange, reference made to Bolomon's captains, rulers of his chariots and Jis horsemen. The cavalry of Alexandar the Great' was famous in their days throughout his many campaigns. We know too that the ancient Greeks were highly skilled horsemen and devoted much of their time in equitatiop. There is both sound advice and practical knowledge to be derived from Xenophon's treatise on horsemanship. It is interesting to note that Herodotus in his book Thata refers to Darus sustaining an accident when hunting on horse-back. We know of course that the Egyphans, Phoenicans and Romans employed horses in chariots in addition to normal cavalry of those times.

Throughout past centuries to this day the Arabs have been recognized as a nation of horsemen and it is to these people and their particular breed of horse that we owe the wonderful Thorough bred of modern times. Among the early British at the time of the Roman conquest the Icems held a justly high reputation for the excellence of their horses and their horsemanship.

In mediaeval² times good horsemanship was highly esteemed as witness the $Enghsh\ Knights$ who won their spurs by gallantry on the field of battle."

The foregoing data gives us a glimpse into the history of Indian interest in horses and the consequent importation of foreign horses into India from very early times. We have seen already that the Amarakośn refers to the Pāmasika or Persian horses. We know also that Pulakešin II of the Deccan such as embassy to Persia in A. D. 625. Are we to suppose that the

¹ Alexander's (avourtie charger Burepholus died at Jhalum city of the Hydaspes in N.India after carrying him in all his campaigns, Alexander built at this place a city in memory of his charger after his builte with Porus, (Yide p. 110 of Smith's Smaller Clissical Dictionary Everyman's Library, London, 1913.)

S Icen: — a powerful people in Britain dwelling in the modern counties of Buffolk and Norfolk. Their revolt from the Romans under their heroic queen Boadicca is celebrated in history (1btd, p. 277).

Mongol horseman under Janghit Khān (died 1227 A. D.) were celebrated for their conquests — "A Mongol on a single pony will ride from Urga to Kajgan – by the shortest route 800 miles "(Vide p. 133 of Unknown Mongolia by Carruthers, Vol. II). See article on Mongol Army in JRAS, 1945, p. 51.

Persian horses began to be imported to India after A. D. 600? According to the Bombay Gazetteer the demand for Persian and Arabian horses arose from the scare created by Mussalman cavalry. If this statement is true to history the horse-trade from Persia and Arabia must have been started some time after the conquest of Sind by the Arabs in A. D 712. The Arabs had made savaral raids on the coasts of Western India, one of these in A. D. 637 from Bahrein and Oman in the Per sian Gulf plundered the Konkon coast near Thans (see Elliot and Dowson's History. I. pp. 415-416). In view of the above history of the trade in Person and Arabian horses I am inclined to think that the treatises on horses by जयदत्त and नक्ट viz. the अभ्यवेषक and अभ्य-चिकित्मित are later than C. A. D. 800 as they refer to the पारसीक (Persian) and नाजिक (Arabian) horses among the best breeds of horses. These treatises were probably composed before A. D. 1300 as they show in a remarkable degree the necessity felt by

During the reign of the great Nonherwan (A. D 537-575), the relations between Western India and Person were extremely close. In the several lists of the articles of trade imported to India from outside before the period of the rule of the 5154857as (A. D. 510-1260) I don't find any references to the import of thorses. The Gazzifes observes (p. 431).

"The chief trade in Animals was towards the close of the paried (1280), a great import of horses from the Person Gulf and from Arabia No ships came to Thana without horses and the Thana chief was so aminout to secure them that he agreed not to trouble the pirates so long as they let have the horses as his stars of the plunder. This great demond for horses seems to have riven from the scare among the Hindu rulers of the Deconcused by the Massalman country. As many as 19,000 horses a year are said to have been imported." Speaking of Mussalman trade (p 444) the Constitution of the C

According to Bomboy Gazetteer [Vol. X:II (Thana) Part II, p 403] The Thana coast has taken a leading part in foreign commerce of Western India in the following periods of history —

⁽¹⁾ B. C 2500 -- B. C. 500 -- There are signs of trade with Egypt

Phoenicia and Babylon.

⁽ii) B C. 250 — A. D 250 — There are dealings with, perhaps settlements of, Greeks and Romans

⁽iii) A D 250 - 640 - There are Persian alliances and Persian settlements

⁽iv) A D 700 — 1200 — There are Mussaloman trade relations and Mussalman settlements from Arabia and Persia.

the Hindu Kings¹ of the period 800-1300 A. D. of providing such manuals for the care of their cavalry with a view to combating the Mussalman trained cavalry like that used by Shikb-ud-din against Prithivitaja of Ajmer in A. D. 1191.

If चणक or gram came to be used as food for horses in India say between A. D. 800 and 1300, the period during which the above mentioned treatises on horses were composed we must investigate whether the use of चलक as food for horses has travelled to India along with the Persian and Arabian horses or otherwise. In connection with this problem it is necessary to locate references to चणक as food for horses in Persian. Arabic and Turksh sources prior to A. D. 800 but I must leave this task to scholars conversant with these sources. I have tried in this paper to record the history of war or gram as food for horses between C A D. 800 and 1870. I propose to deal with the question of the antiquity of चणह on the strength of Sanskrit and non-Sanskrit sources on a subsequent occasion and the present paper is only an off-shoot of the main study pertaining to the history of this important grain on which horses have been fed for more than 1000 years in India.

ग ज्यव् is called " महासामन्त " in the colophons of the different chapters of his अध्येश्यक.

^{14 [}Annals, B. O. R. I.]

Some Interesting Problems in MAHABHARATA TEXT TRANSMISSION

ВY

S. K BELVALKAR

Problem No 3*

When Duryodhana and Arjuna came simultaneously to Krena in Dvaraka to ask for his assistance in the forth-coming war, Krena, wishing to satisfy them both, proposed (Udyoga 7. 17)

ते वा ग्रुधि द्राधर्षा भवन्त्वेकस्य सैनिकाः । अयध्यमानः सम्रामे न्यस्तकास्त्रोऽहमेकतः ॥

Ariuna accepted Krsna, alone and weaponless, and Duryodhana the ten thousand warriors of Krsna known as the Narayanas. Krsna eventually consented to become Ariuna's charioteer, and as such could only give advice, but not himself take any part in fighting. In the Bhismaparvan, chapter 55 (= GK 59), we are told that Krana was not able to remain true to his word. On the third day of the battle, after a weak onening. Bhisma, the Generalissimo of the Kauravas acquitted himself so valorously that Ariuna and the Pandavas were not able to offer him any resistance, and the ranks fled away from the field disregarding Satvaki's efforts to stem the rout. This angers Krana to such an extent that he leaps down from the chariot and, with the discus named Sudaršana in hand (55 89-92), rushes upon Bhisma with intent to kill him. Arjuna, however, runs after Krspa and stops him at the tenth step (dasame pade: 55, 98), and persuades him to return, promising to fight Bhisma more manfully, a promise which Arjuna amply fulfils during the rest of that day and on the day following

Problem No 1 appeared in the Annals, BORI, Vol. XXV, pp. 82-87, and No. 2 in Ibid, pp 239-243. — The Mbh references in this essay are all to the Critical Edition unless otherwise specified

Duryodhana had all along suspected Bhisma (and Dropa) of partiality for the Pāṇdavas. Earlier on the third day of the battle. Duryodhana had said (5. 54. 35ff.).

अनुब्राह्माः पाण्डुसता तूनं तव पितामह । यथेमां क्षमसे बीर बध्यमानां बरूचिनीम् ॥

यदि नाहं परित्याज्यो युवाध्यामिह संयुगे । विक्रमेणानस्वरेण युध्येतां पुरुषक्री ॥

Bhisma in reply said that the Pandavas were really invincible in battle. He would, nevertheless, do his best (6, 54, 41):

यमु ज्ञक्य मया कर्ते रखेनाय तृशोत्तम । करित्यामि यथाजांकि प्रेश्नेदानी सवान्धवः ॥

It was after this that Bhisma displayed his prowess which compelled Krsna to break his vow. When therefore, at the end of the day and also on the following day, the Pāndava side is again triumphant, poor Duryodhana, hunself wounded in the battle and having lost eight of his own brothers (6 60 24-33), renews his complaint to Bhisma (6.61.26f), who narrates to him what is known as the "Višvopākhyāna" (chapters 61-64), which contains a legend about Krsna and Arjuna being the Avatāras respectively of Krāyana the Supreme Being, and of Nara the Great Sage. The narration of this legend, of course, does not materially affect the war, which resumes its wonted course the morning following.

On the ninth day of the battle we have, in all the recensions and testimonia without exception, a repetition of the whole series of events including, even Duryodhana's reproof and Bhigma's assurance, and culminating in Krsna's leaping down from the chariot and rushing towards Bhigma, and of his returning to the chariotacter's seat upon the importunities of Arjuna, who stops him, again, datame pade (6. 102.64). This time, however, Arjuna's promise to mend and fight better does not produce results, and

¹ This Upākhyāna refers to the dootrine of the Four Vyūhas, which is a sectarian dogma not known to the Bhagavadgitā. It is found in portions of the Epic generally considered relatively late.

Bhisma still remains the master of the field, so much so that Krsua and the Pāṇdavas repair that night to Rhisma's camp and ask his advice as to what they should do to win the battle.

Now when a battle is raging on for a number of days, there are naturally bound to be repetitions of incidents; but this incident of Krsma's rashing upon Bhisma is not an ordinary incident, and it loses all its raison d'éire by being repeated. Furthermore, if, stung by Durrodhamis' seproof, Bhisma is to prove his mettle, he is ordinarily expected to keep up that spirit, as he in fact does on the ninth day, and not allow Arjuna to get the better of him once more, as happens on the third day. It is also somewhat odd that, on that third day, Arjuna should have been overcome by just a brief spell of letharry, which is preceded as well as followed by continuous periods of very vigorous fightly or outputs.

The principal moments of the repeated incident are: (i) Bhisma's superior exploit third day (55, 3-39), ninth day (102, 1-29), (11) Arjuna's lethargy (mrdnyuddhalā): third day (55. 40-79), minth day (102, 30-51), (mi) Krsna's passionate onrush third day (55 80-92), minth day (102 52-58); (iv) Bhi-ma's response . third day (55 93-95), ninth day (102 59-61). and (v) Arjuna's overtaking and persuading Krsna to return, and resuming the fight. third day (55, 96-132), ninth day (102, 62-78) On a critical comparison of the corresponding portions of the text from the third and the ninth days of the battle, if we find one of the accounts more detailed and exaggerated and couched mostly in the Tristubh metre in place of the normal epic Anustubh, that account is likely to be late or secondary. This is so in the case of the description of the last three moments (iii-v) belonging to the third day In view of this it would be quite safe to conclude that the repetition of the incident of Krsna's rushing against Bhisma on the third day of the battle is secondary, being an afterthought primarily designed to give occasion for the sectarian Viśvopākhyāna being brought into the picture. Such a conclusion would also gain in probability by the added circumstance (which cannot escape being noticed by even a superficial reader) that the descriptions of the first two moments (i-ii) of the incident belonging to the above two days contain a very large number of verbally identical stanzas, lines

and phrases, which makes it impossible to suppose that the texts belonging to the two days in question could have been quite independent compositions.

It is in this substantially identical portion of the text that a very interesting problem relating to Mahabharata texttransmission confronts us, which I propose to here very briefly indicate. I must say that the problem struck me as a problem only after the work of constituting the text of the two chapters in accordance with the established procedure in the matter had been completed.1 That the problem would assume the form in which I am going to present it here was the least expected. In order that readers might exactly appreciate the situation. I present in the pages that follow the constituted text of chapter 55 of the Bhismaparvan, stanzas 34-66 and chapter 102, stanzas 24-52 in opposite columns, the portion common to the two chapters being given once only in the centre, while the variant readings are placed in the two side columns. Stars indicate that the lines are wanting in the description of the day concerned. For facility of reference I have numbered the entire text by lines 1 to 67.

Thus for instance in line I वनमानापि (55.34°) was accepted on the evidence of K₈-5 B D (except D₂), while адинная (192.24°) on the evidence of Śi Ko-2 4 B Mi-2.5.

In line 2 "স্পাতিনা (55 36) was accepted on the evidence of Śi Ko-s s Dz Gi.s M, while "স্পাতিনান্ (102 24) on the evidence of all MSS, except Di TG, Śi Ko i om.

In line 13 द्वित्नह्न (55.40°) was accepted on the evidence of Si K Ds.s, while बादबनन्दन (103 30°) is given by all MSS. without exception.

In line 30 इतिमान् (55 47 $^{\sharp}$) was accepted on the evidence of Śi Ks $TG_{2-1}M_{1-1}$, while दक्षितान् (102.38 $^{\sharp}$) on the evidence of Śi Ko-4 B DaDn D1 3.4 (orig.) $_{2}$ -4 T2 G1 M_{2}

In line 55 নী মান্দ্রস্থিস্কানী (55 60⁸) was accepted on the evidence of Śi Ko. 4 B DwDn Dp-c. 7, while মান্দ্ৰবাৰী ব্যস্ত্ৰনী (102.49⁸) on the evidence of Śi Ki.

It will be noted that in all these places there was no other variant as strongly supported as the one accepted; several of the rejected readings were rejected by reason of some slight change or error, but otherwise they also support the accepted text.

Constituted Text of the Repeated Lines

comment]	
without	
over	
s are passed	
are	
variants	
Insignificant	
B	
Z	

	Ninth Day 6 102 24-52	प्रमोवितात् प्रमोवितात्	(A) तैन्यं तु (b) यादव "सव व्यमुक्सने (b) ====================================	(क) बहुनुहर्ग (च) त्वथा
i mami	Line		5 8,8 5	-
Ly B Insignificant variants are passed over without comment	Common Readings	नाताक्वयन्तारील् भीन्यस्थान्यारापात्त्रं । सहेन्द्रस्थारील् भीन्यस्थाप्य । सहेन्द्रस्थारील् वथानाता सहापस् । सम्भव्य सहाराज न च हो नह पाच्य । अपिकं पाष्ट्रपुराण्ये हारापुरस्थान्य । त्रामान्य रिता वेश दुवस्य रिता रिता । त्रामान्य रिता दुवस्य रिता । त्रिक्र स्था सम्भव्य स्था स्था स्था । सिक्र स्था सम्भवन्त राज रुवस्य सिक्षः । सिक्षा क्षाणान्त्रं राज रुवस्य सिक्षः । सिक्षा क्षाणान्त्रं पाण्यपुरस्थ सिक्षः । स्रो क्षेतान्याननः प्रसादयन्त्य भारत । स्रो कुर्माण्याननः प्रसादयन्त्य भारत ।	प्रभव्यमात् (*) हवू (*) नवन । उवाच पार्थ सीभाधुं निराध रमधुसमम् । अयं स कालः संप्राम, पार्थ य काङ्कितः । प्रहार्मी नव्यमित्र व काङ्कितः ।	
9 4	ariant Readings Third Dav 6 55 34-663	를 받	(a) तस्तीन्यं (b) देवकिः स्तवया विश्वकाते (a) meatr	
	Lane	म्प्यमार्थात्र्यः स्पितिकाः । स्वयमार्थाप्ते । स्वयमार्थाप्ते । स्वयम् । स्वयम्यम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम्यम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम्यम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम्यम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम्यम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम् । स्वयम् । स्वय	13 (*) तत्सैम्य 14 : स्वया 15 : स्वया 16 : द्विसुद्धाते 17 (*) म्लामा	A (m)

Cine	Variant Readings Third Day. 6 55, 34-66**	Common Readings	Line	Variant Readings Ninth Day: 6 102 24-52
* 61 8 18	*	विराडनगरे पाये संजयक समीएतः । भीपम्रोणयुक्तास्त्वांच्यार्पराष्ट्रस्य सैनिकान् । सातुक्वभाव्हनियामि ये मां योत्स्यन्ति संयुगे । इति राकुरु क्रीन्येष एत्यं यात्म्यमरिंद्म ।		
	बीभाको एवय तैयन् स्वं भन्यमानं समन्ततः द्रवाश्व महीनकान्यमायोगितिष्ठे वहं । इष्ट्रा हिस्समे भीन्ये व्याचनत्त्रमितान्तवस्य भयानां दंशप्रकृति स्थि द्रपृष्ट्या हर एवसुनः अञ्चन बाहुदंव प्रजेवशः।		अत्रथमेमनुस्मृत्य युव्यक्ष भरत्त्येभ । हृतुक्की बाधुनुदेन नियंद्यक्षिक्षेत्रुक्तः । अकाम दृष्ट बीमस्मृतित्रु विकामकर्तात् । वाण्यानी वर्षे कृत्या रुत्ये पा नहक्कीत्रस्म	त्वर्षभ । पश्चीप्रुखः । नमज्ञवीत् । । नरकोलस्म । से सुकृतं मवेत् ।
* *	विगाद्यैतद्वरुगणैवस् ः	चोदयाधान्यतो मीतमः पातवित्यामि दुर्धन चुन्दं कुरुपितामहम्।		करित्ये बचनं तव।
88288	(७) 'स्यो (७) 'को रहिममानिव'	ततोऽबाष्ट्रजनप्रकाविद्यामास्य माथवः । यतो मीष्म(*) ः राजन्दुको(*) ः ततकायुनराष्ट्रमं युभिष्टित्वर्षे महत् । दष्ट्रम् पायं महाबाहु भीष्मायोधन्तमाहवे ।	30 (a) 18 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	त) "स्ततो (b)" क्यो रहिमबानिष
3 2	*5	ततो भीष्मः कुरुआष्टः सिष्ठवाद्वभदन्मुङ्क । धनंजयरथं शरवर्षेरवाकिरत् ।	34.	

12	Annals of the	Bhandarkar Oriental	Re	search l	nstitute
4-53	n-		参	*	
Ninth D 6. 102 2	प्राज्ञायत किंच त्यतः	10 C	, 1010 Bits	N.	<u>त</u> ्वं

11

-	0. 07. 04-00-		;	6. 102 24-02
		क्षणेन स स्थमन्य सहयः सहसार्थिः ।	32	
	संख्यो न प्रकाशते	शरवर्षेण महता	36	न प्राज्ञायत किंचन
- "	सरववान	वासदेवस्त्वसंभान्तो धैर्यमास्याय	32	सारवता.
		चोदयामास सानशान्यिनसान्मीत्मसायके	38	
		ततः पार्थो धन्त्रीष्टा विष्यं अलदनिस्त्रमम् ।	30	
	- -	पातवामास भीष्मस्य थन्नश्रिकरवा शरे ।	9	जिस् <u>त</u> े:
			7	
		निमेषान्तरमात्रेण मञ्चं चक्र पिना तव ।	22	
	-	विषक्षे तता होभ्या धनुजेलद्र निस्त्रमम् ।	4	
-		अधास्य तद्यि कुद्धाक्षिच्छेड धनुरजुन.।	‡	
		तस्य तत्पुजयामास लाववं शंतनो सुत	45	
.,	भी पाण्डुमन्द्रन	साथ पार्थ महाबाहो माञ्ज	<u>ş</u>	कुन्तासुतेति च
		न्बरथेवैतद्यान्ह्यं महत्कमं धनंजय ।	장 -	者で
		प्रीतोऽसि सुद्द पुत्र कुरु युद्ध सयासह ।	참	*

1 Sate with 1s a more tamilia epithet, sattracian is somewhat unusual 2 Virah is less specific than Bhismoh.

शरान्यार्थस्थं प्रति ।

मुमोच समरे

Line	Third Day.	Common Readings	Line	Ninth Day: 6 102, 24-52	Day: 4—52
		अदर्शयद्वासुदेवो हययाने परंबलम् ।	51		
-	मण्डलान्यचर्छन्न	मोधान्कवञ्चारांमस्य	2.	मण्डलानि विदर्शयन्	शिवन्
	,	तथापि भीष्मः सुदृढं वासुदेवधनंजयी ।	恭	恭	*
		विच्याय निश्नित्वांजी. सर्वेगाश्रेत मारिष ।	恭	*	*
	ती भीष्मशास्त्रिक्षती	श्रुञ्जामाले नस्टयाची	55	भीष्मपायीं शरक्षती	Œ
	मर्ग्स	गोकुषाविव विषाणोहिस्सिताद्वितो ।	Ę	मंद्रुती	
	-	पुनश्चापि सुसंक्रुड. शरे संनतपर्वितः।	창	杏	*
		क्रमायोगुनि संस्टयो भीतमो व्याखारयहिता ।	2f %2*	袋	*
		वाणींयं च शर्रेमीक्षीः कम्पयामास रोपितः ।	18th	*	*
		महरभ्यत्मयनभीतम प्रहस्य स्वनवसदा ।	*	*	华
		ततः क्रष्णस्त तमरे दृष्टा भीष्मपराक्रमम् ।	n,	朴	*
	संग्रह्म च महाबाहः	. पार्थस मृत्युव्याम् ।	6.5	यासदेवस्त संप्रेक्ष्य	यू द
	9	भीष्मं च शरवधाीण सजन्तमनिशं याध ।	, 63	,	
		प्रपतन्त्रमिवादिलं मध्यमासाध सेनथाः			
		वराज्यसान्विमिन्नन्तं पाण्ड्रपुत्रस्य सैनिकान् ।			
		युगान्तामित्र कुर्वाणं भीषमं यौधिष्ठिरे बले ।	÷		
29	अस्वत्यमाणी भगवान्केशवः	परवीरहा ।	67	नासरयन सहाबाह्मभिष	nembar

Upon a careful comparison of the text as presented here, the following five points of critical importance would seem to emerge. (1) There are four cases, three of which are attempts to turn a lectio difficultor (belonging to the description of the third day) into a lectro facility (belonging to the ninth day), and the fourth a phenomenon of case-attraction. This would mean that -so far as the present passage goes - it is the third-day description that is earlier and original, while it is the ninth-day description (which on other considerations and in other sections we found to be earlier and original) that we have to now put down as a modification from that of the third-day. The four cases are: (a) in line 1, the reading यहमानापि which contains an archaic double sandh, while the reading unusual removes that grammatical irregularity. (b) in line 2, the reading withways gdifant of the third day which gives the reason why the warriors were unable to stop the fugitive soldiers; the corresponding भोध्यबाणप्रपीदितान (presumably caused by attraction to महारथान above) adding nothing to the main statement नाजवनुबन : (c) in line 13, the form देवकिनन्दनः (instead of the regular देवकीनन्दनः), which has to be explained away (like the proper name Kalidasa) with the help of Panini 6. 3. 63, whereas the corresponding uses. नन्दन has no grammatical irregularity to be explained away : (d) in line 30 the form रहिममान (in place of the more usual रहिमदान्), which has to be explained, under Panini 8 2.9, by subsuming the word राइम under the यवादिगण, which is an आकृतिगण or a list of words to which occasional additions in a case of need are permitted. Some persons may not be inclined to attach much importance to these small points of grammar, but the fact is undoubted that four instances all possessing the same tendency have been collected from a passage of just thirty stanzas.

Here is another feature (2) offered by the same passage. Lines 17-21 contain Krsna's exhortation to Anjuna, in which the latter is reminded of certain boastful words formerly uttered by him, which he is now called upon to make good. Line 18 of this passage is extra in the ninth-day text, and its purpose obviously is to more narrowly specify the occasion of Arjuna's earlier speech. Arjuna, it would seem, had boasted of his ability to kill Bhisma and others not only in Upaplavya (a suburb of the Virâty.

capital) in the presence of Samiyas (5. 47, 46 ff.), but also in his return message to Duryodhana conveyed through Duryodhana's messenger Ulüks, who met the Pāpļavas in their army-camp at Kuruksetra (cf. 5. 158-160). As can be easily seen, it is in the nature of a later text to more narrowly specify an earlier dubious reference.

In the next place (3), when warriors fought, it was quite in the order of the day that they should wound (and even kill) each other's horses and charioteers. Accordingly, Bhisma could legitimately wound Krsna the charioteer of Arjuna, as well as Arjuna himself. Lines 53-61 in the account of the third day describe how this happened. In the account of the ninth day, lines 53-54 and 57-61 are altogether absent, and, what is more noteworthy, the pronoun an ('those two') which in line 55 (as found in the third day's account) stands obviously for Krsna and Arjuna, is, in the ninth day's description, dropped, and Bhisma and Arjuna are specifically mentioned. Obviously, the author of the ninth day's description did not wish to unnecessarily emphasise Bhisma's having wounded the Divine charloteer of Arjuns. Such a sentiment belongs to a later age.

Further (4), we have already seen that on the ninth or the penultimate day of his Generalship, Bhisma all along retains his hold upon Arjuna in the fight. Such words as those in lines 47 and 48, or the description in lines 57-61 should accordingly fit in on the penultimate day, and not on a day like the third when Bhisma is unable to offer to the end an effective resistance to Ariuna. Actually, however, the words are found in the account of the third day (as though that was the real penultimate day of Bhisma's fight), and not in the account of the ninth day. If they were there originally in both the accounts, it is difficult to explain their omission from a context where they fitted better, and their retention in a context where they did not fit, unless we suppose that the context where they now are was at one time the context in which they did actually fit; that day, in other words, being, in an earlier stage of the Epic, the penultimate day of Bhisma's Generalship. That the present eighteen-day battle is frightfully padded by reduplications, exaggerations, and inventions of the miraculous is generally conceded, so that a saving of six days this way

would be quite welcome, though it might be naturally very difficult to prove it now by adequate objective evidence.

Finally (5), compare and contrast carefully the text of lines 22-27 as they appear in the third day's account and in that of the ninth. The words as we find them in the earlier account fit in there quite well, and they could just as well have fitted. in the same form, in the later or the ninth day's account. But there has been a change, which could only have been from the third day to the ninth, and not vice versa. The change could only have been motivated by the desire to explain how it was that, in spite of the great and unforgettable teaching of the Bhagavadgitā revealed on the first day of the battle. Arjuna could still be invaded by the old scruples and exhibit no interest or vigour in the fight. So an attempt seems to have been made, in the account of the ninth day, to show that, although the old scruples continued still to bother Ariuna, he eventually did pull himself up and repeat in exactly the same words 1 the promise - karasse vacanam tava - that he had made in BG, 18 734.

The question naturally suggests itself why was not the Gita motif also introduced on the third day? It cannot be seriously maintained that the Gita teaching was fresher on the third day than on the ninth If the great teaching could at all be forgotten on the ninth day, it could just as well have been forgotten on the third. Further, if the Gita teaching needed a reminder, we expect the reminder to be administered on the day that is to be followed by Ariuna's accordant action thereafter, so that there might be no need later of a reminder to the reminder, as would have been the case if the Gita motif had also been introduced on the third day. There may also be this other explanation possible. The author of the later remodelling of lines 22-27 on the ninth day was greatly obsessed by the importance of the BG, teaching. which, in that more primitive stage in the development of the Epic when the third day of Bhisma's Generalship was the penultimate day, might not yet have attained to its subsequent pre-eminence That the present Bhagavadgitā, like the present

[!] The line 28 (which is extra in the ninth day) only specifies what the Lord's vacanam was which Arjuna was going to follow.

Mahābhārata, had at least one (if not two) earlier less developed forms is generally conceded, although real differences begin the moment one proceeds to say what the earlier and the earliest forms of the Poem were like.

The Mahahharata itself is our authority for the view that, before the Epic assumed its present form and dimension of approximately one lac of stanzas, it was a smaller poem of about 24,000 stanzas devoted to an account of the origin and history of the Bharata clan, culminating in the great fratricidal war, but not yet containing the several subsidiary stories or unakhuanas: and that in its earliest form the Poem was limited to a descriptive account of the Victory or Jays in the war, and need not therefore have extended beyond eight or ten thousand stanzas. Nor need we suppose that the transition from the Java to the Bharata and again from the Bharata to the Mahabharata stages. was made all at one stroke and without intervening sub-stages. It is as though a coat originally made to fit a youth of small stature came in time to be enlarged by the opening out of certain seams and the occasional addition of cloth-pieces here and there to fit the body of an oversize adult, until someone thought once again of remodelling and substantially altering its entire cut and size and fashioning a loose overcoat out of it. - care being taken all along this triple process of saitorial evolution that no bits of the old cloth were absolutely thrown away as waste. In the final product of the tailoring art-however commendable the skill-of the sempsters - it was inevitable that, once in a while, the seams, holes, creases and other vestiges of the earlier stages of the coat obtruded themselves here and there. Some of these, as for instance the reduplication of the Krsna-pratmā-bhanga incident with the express purpose of engrafting the Viśvopākhyāna on the main story, are plainly discernible. Others, however, are more subtle and elusive, as when in the body of an added passage (e.g. the account of the third day of the battle), which shows the usual signs of lateness, a fragment is discovered (e.g. the 67 lines above given) showing evident marks of an earlier stage. Until all such cases that might present themselves throughout the whole Epic are carefully garnered and tabulated, it would be hazardous to definitely say to what stage a given fragment belongs and what the specific form of the Poem then was of which it constituted an integral part. The Critical Edition of the Mahabharata is doing in its own way, slowly and diligently, the above-mentioned work of garnering and tabulating. The time for estimating the value of the completed work is not yet. What this paper is meant to offer in the meanwhile is just a fleeting glimpse of the possibilities that can be eventually opened out, so that, in the fulness of time, we might be able to trace some of the earlier stages in the evolution of the Great Epic of India, and, as the Great Epic at all its stages generally has been a refler of the cultural life of India, we would thereby be able to obtain a living record of the historical evolution, through the centuries, of the ideas and ideals of the peoples of Bhärstavars.

Finally, it would be interesting in this context to discuss the question as to whether, in rushing upon Bhisma in anger. Krsna did commit any breach of undertaking. So far as the account of the third day is concerned, the breach of plighted word is without cavil, as there Krsna has the Sudarsana in his hand (55.86 93) But in the ninth-day account, which we have reason to believe was-barring the 67 lines-the original and only account of the incident once, Krsna leaps down with the charioteer's whip in hand (pratodapāņih), having the arm as the only weapon of attack (bhujapraharanah) · cf. 102 53-54. Krsns was no doubt irritated : but in rushing upon Bhisms the way he does on the ninth day. Krsna might have intended to convey to Bhisma the lesson that the cause for which Bhisma had taken the yow of lifelong celibacy would be much better served by his dying now the warrior's death on the battlefield Bhisma was, in fact, already a hundred years old. The royal line of his step-brother Vicitravirya whose interest he had vowed to protect, had produced sons (Dhrtarāstra and Pāndu) and grandsons (Duryodhana and his 99 brothers, and the five Pandavas), who were then trying out their differences on the battlefield of Kuruksetra. Bhisma is inwardly convinced that the Pandavas had the right on their side. All his pleadings in the case remained unheeded. He also knew that Duryodhana, on whose side he had to fight as a matter of Ksatriya etiquette, cared very little for his help and had openly insulted him by suggesting that he might resign in favour of Karna. Was there any sense now in Bhisma's wishing to live yet a few years more? The right Aryan way ever had been to march holdly forth to meet death halfway, when all the good that you could do living had been already achieved. This in fact was the point of view that, in their overnight conference on the ninth day. Krsna and the Pandayas must have placed before Bhisma; and Krsna's marching weaponless against Bhisma on the battlefield can be interpreted as a graphic prelude to that admonition. Eventually we know from the Epic itself that Bhisma does come round to this view: cf. 6, 103, 66: 111, 12-15, 115, 31 40. The author responsible for the duplication of the incident on the third day misunderstands the real purpose of Krana's march, and gives the Sudarsana in his hand, and the circumstance that Krana would be thereby breaking his promise is explained in some still later accounts by the pretty story that, while Krsna had vowed not to wield any weapon during the Kaurava-Pandava war. Bhisms on his own part had staked his own position as a devotee of Krans upon his ability to make the latter break his yow: and, as is usual in a tustle between the God and his devotes the letter wine

SAMUDRA GUPTA'S CONQUEST OF KOTTURA

Βv

B. A. SALETORE

In this paper I propose to identify one of the conquests of Samudra Gupta mentioned in his famous Allahabad Pillar Inscription. This important record contains the following passage-

"Whose (s. e. Samudra Gupta's) great good fortune was mixed with, so as to be increased by (his) glory produced by the favour shewn in capturing and then liberating Mahendra of Koësla, Vyāghrarāja of Mahakantāra, Mantarāja of Kerala (Karāla) Mahendra of Pistapura, Svāmidatta of Kotūra on the hill, Damans of Erandapalla Visuugopa of Kānēl (and other monarche mentioned with their capitals)."

The above conquests refer to what are called the Daksināpatha conquest of Samudra Gupts I shall confine myself to the fifth conquest mentioned in the above list, uz., "Svāmidasta of Kotūra on the hill" as given by Fleet. He identified Kotūra on the hill "as given by Fleet. He identified Kotūra with either Kalifas Kotta (Lat. 19° 14° N. Long. 33° 36° E) or with Kotūra in the Combatore district (Lat. 10° 32" N; Long. 73° 2 E)' Smith conpectured thus—"Kotūra may be identified with the Pollachi gul-division of the Combatore district of the Madras Presidency. The berg! mines of Padiyur, which were famous in the Roman world at the beginning of the Christian ers, were probably included within the limits of the kingdom."

Fleet, Corpus Inscriptionum Indicarum, Gupta Inscriptions, pp 12-14.

[•] Ind.; p. 7. n.(3) Fleet wrote thus about the conquests — The statement that Samudra Gupta conquered the above mentoned kings, need not be added literary, especially as at seems almost certain that the Gupta dominions were bounded on the south by the Narmadl. Nor need we even take it as fact that he invaded their dominions "(Fleet, Dynasties of the Kannares Districts, p. 280). Why Fleet should have been so copitical about Samudra Gupta's conquests, one fails to understand it The reason given by him, uz., that because Samudra Gupta's Empire was bounded on the south by the NarmadS, he could not have conquered the places to the south of the trver, is altogather unintelligible. But in his Gunta Inscription Fleet rectifies some of his earlier errors.

Vincent Smith, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for 1897, p. 29.

Dr. S. Krishnaswamy Ayrangar denies the identification of Smith without himself giving us any definite clue. He writes thus—"Kottūru or Kottūra may be one of the several places of name in the same region (i.e., Pistapuram) without going so far as Coimbatore to find a place answering to the name.".'

Jayaswal merely said that Kottūra may be one of the two districts in Ganjām with a hill fortress, the other being Mahendragfir.!

The identification of Kottura mentioned in the Allahahad Pillar Inscription with either Kailasa Kotta or with Kottura in the Coimbatore district or with Pollachi in the same district, as proposed by Fleet and Smith, cannot be accepted for the following reasons: (a) The Coimbatore district is too far removed from the entire region mentioned in the inscription, namely the Pistapura-Erandapalle-Kañel sector. If Samudra Gupta had really gone as far as the Coimbatore district, which lies to the south of Mysore, there would have been some reference in the inscription to any of the principalities that lay between Kafici and Coimbatore. Since there is no reference of this kind, it is evident that the Gupta conqueror did not go as far as Coimbators to the south. (b) To have attracted the attention of that great warrior. Kottura must have been a place of prominence either as a military stronghold or as the capital of a kingdom. There is no evidence that either Kailasa Kotta or Kotturu or Pollachi in the Coimbatore district was ever a place of any any importance in any period of Indian history.

Hence we have to turn elsewhere in order to identify the hill-fortress of Kottūra mentioned in the Allahabad Pillar Inscription. I must confess that both Fleet and Smith were correct when they assumed that it ought to be somewhere in Karnātaka. For the name is Kannada. In fact, there are at least three Kottūrus in Karnātaka with which one may be tempted to

¹ S. K. Ayyangar, Studies in Gupta History, p. 27.

³ Jayanwai, History of India, p. 137. Dr. D. C. Sirkar conjectures thus that the state mentioned in the Allahabad Pillar aneorphion, which thus that the state mentioned in the Allahabad Pillar aneorphion, which "may be conjecturally assigned to the Kalifaga region," are Kuršia, Kotjura, Pipiapura, Enradpanjai, Aramutha and Devarstjera, (Sirkar, Successors of the 'SidnesManas in Enstern Deccon, p. 67). Dr. Sirkar's, conjectures are no better than those of Drs. Aryanara and Jayanwai.

^{16 [} Annals, B. O. B. I.]

identify the Kottura mentioned above. There is Kotturu in Bairakuru hoble in the Kolar district of the Mysore State: another Kotturu in the Tondebhavi hobli also in the Kolar district, and a third Kotturu in the Centamani hoble also in the same district. I am not inclined to identify the Kottura mentioned in the Allahabad Pillar Inscription with any of these three places in the Kolar district, because they do not seem to have been at any time either capitals of kingdoms or military strongholds The epigraphs discovered in these places merely show that they were ordinary localities of average importance. Thus in Kotturn in the Bairakuru hobbs, a stone inscription recording an ordinary gift of paddy by an official called the Illustrious Mallana to a citizen named Virappa has been found.1 At Kottüru in the Tondebhavi hoble a damaged inscription records the gift of land by a high Vijavanagara official called Konappaya Deva Mahārāva, the Governor of Penugonda, to the temple of the gods Tiruvengalanātha and Aubalesvara in A. D. 1546, during the reign of the Emperor Sadasiva Rava. At Kotturu in the Cintamani hobli, has been found a stone inscription dated only on the 30th lunar day of the dark half of Karttika of the cyclic year Kālāyukta (and hence not verifiable), but of the reign of the Vijayanagara Emperor Krsna Deva Raya the Great, registering the gift of land below the tank in the village of Kotturn (Kotturu kereya kelage) for the services of the god Tiruvengalanātha of Alembadi, by Kamappa Nayaka under orders from the Mahamandalesvara Virappa Odeyar 3 In none of these records is there any evidence to show that the Kotturus in the Kolar district were of any military or political importance '

Mysore Archaeological Report for 1923, p. 65.

⁹ Ibid for 1925, p. 75

¹ Ibid for 1928, pp. 78-74

^{&#}x27; There are other Kotturas in the Tamil land. For example, there is Kotturu in Nenmalainadu, a sub-division of Rajendracolavalanadu, now in the Tanjore district, Madras Presidency. An incomplete record of the Cols king Rajadhiraja III mentions a gift of land in that village to the local delty (Rangacharya, A Topographical List of Inscriptions in the Madros Presidency II, Tj. 480, p. 1291). This place contains many records none of which refer to any fort. They are entirely benedictory enigraphs dated in the twelfth century A. D., relating to the local temple of Kolundi-

⁽ continued on the next page)

But this is not the case with another place of the same name with which I identify the Kottüra mentioned in the Allahabad Pillar Inscription. This is Kottüra in the Kudligir islutis. Bellary district, Madras Presidency, which satisfies the three essential conditions necessary for its identification with Kottüra of the Allahabad Pillar Inscriptions, www., that it was in Karnkiaks, that it was near to the Kañol-Pistapuram-Erandapalle sector, and that it was the capital of a kingdom with traditions of greatness extending over at least six centuries. It is called Kottüru in some records and Kottüra in others. In fact, so late as the auteenth century, as will be seen in the course of this paper, while examining the Vijayanagara records, it is called consistently Kottura exactly as in the Allahabad Pillar Inscription.

It must be admitted that for the present we are in the dark as to the history of Kottūra for some ages immediately after the age of Samdura Gupta But in the eleventh century it figures as one of the conquests of a well-known Cola monarch I refer to the famous Tamil king Rajendra Cola II, also called Kulottunga Cola I, who ruled from A. D. 1070 till about 1118. 'In a fragmentary stone inscription found in the Durga temple at Agara, Yelandur taluka, Mysore State, and bearing two regnal dates (the 12th and the 34th years) of the king's reign, corresponding to A. D. 1081 and 1103 respectively, we have the following information that the king, when still a ywarrāy.

(continued from the previous page)

svara (Rangacharya, 161d, Tj. 480-502, pp 1291-1294). There is another Kotturu in the Chinglepat District, where records of the Cola King Kulottunga III, referring to a former gift by a Sambhuvaraya to the local derty at Kotturu, have been found (Rangucharya, shid, I Cg. 380, p. 367). In a record dated in the 5th year of the Cola King Rasendradeva (A. D. 1052-1063), it is called Colavidy adhara caturved imangalam (Rangacharya, Cg. 1152. p 462) Another Kotturu is located in the Ambaranadu, a subdivision of Colamandala, in a record of the Cols King Virarajendra Coladeva. (Rangacharva, shid, Cg. 1153, p. 63). A still another Kotturu is mentioned in a record of King Rajadhiraja II (Rangacharya, shid, Ct. 337, p. 517). For a Kotturu in the Kistna District, as mentioned in a record dated A. D 1576-7, see Rangacharya, shid, II, Kt. 702A, p. 885. In the Pudukotta: State there is another Kotturu which is mentioned in a record of Kulottunga Cola Deva I (Rangacharya, ibid III. Pd 41, p. 1626). And for another Kotturu in the Travancore State, see ibid, III, p. 1696. 1 Rice, Mysore and Coorg from the Inscriptions, p. 84.

wadded for the first time to the brilliant goddess of victory by his heroic deeds at Sakkaragottam (Cakrakottam in the Bastar State in Central India), captured troops of elephants at Vairagaram , that, having spurred his war-steed, he unsheathed his sword and displayed the strength of his arms, and put to flight the army of the kings of Kontala (Kuntala), who were armed with sharp lances, and put on the garland of victory over the Northern region (here follow his other conquests): that he was pleased to take possession of the pearl fisheries, the Podiyal mountain where the three forms of Tamil flourished, the middle Savvim (Sahva) where the furious rutting elephants were captured and Kanni, and to establish settlements of people on all sides (in the conquered country) including Kottaru, so that all the heroes of the western hill-country ascended to high heaven while the Kungalars (the Kongas of Konganad) became scattered, etc. 1

In the above list, although Kottaru is mentioned after the pearl fisheries of the south and after the Podiyal mountain, yet it is essentially located in the western Sabya region which is precisely the geographical position in which we find Kottura in the Bellary district. The fact that it is mentioned as one of the conqueste of king Risendra Cola II shows that in the first half of the eleventh century, Kottura was an important military centre.

That in addition it was also an important cultural centre is proved by a stone inscription found in front of the ruined Kallesvars temple at Kotüra itself. It is dated in the Calukya-Vikrama era 36, Nandana, Vaišākha, šu. 3, Brhaspati, and Uttarāyana, corresponding probably to A. D. 1112, April, 1 Monday, the week-day not corresponding. It refers to the reign of Western Calukyan monarch Tribhuvanamalla, who is identified with Vikramāditya VI, and who ruled from A. D. 1076 till 1126.° It mentions the Mahāmandalešvara Tribhuvanamalla Pandyadeva as ruling over Nonshavādi (Noļambavādi) 32,000, and the Mahāmandalešvara Ghattiayarasa of the Kadamba family as ruling over the Kogali 500 Provincs. The latter official made a gift of

Mysore Archaeological Report for 1917, pp. 42-43; Ruce, Mysore & Coorg, p. 93.
 Rice, bid. n. 73.

land (specified), after washing the feet of the teacher Amriarssi Panditadeva, for the services of the god Ramevara of Kutūra and for feeding the assetties. The spithet used in connection with Kottūra is noteworthy:—it is called iri (the illustrous,) (and) original Kottūra (i.i Kottūra mūlasthūnam). The donor, I may add, has a string of titles, one of which is the following Ucchange-dev-labda-wara-prasūdam (He who had secured the boom from the roddess of ol Uschanni,!

We have now to show that Kotturg was the capital of a province. This is proved by a stone inscription found in the Pampapati syāmi temple at Bennehalli, Harapanahalli tāluka, in the same Bellary district. The date of the record is irregular, but it refers to A D. 1148, April the 20th, Tuesday, the week-day Monday as well as the Solar eclipse and the Uttaravana-samkranti given in the record not corresponding. The monarch mentioned in it is the Western Calukya Jagadekamalladeva (II), whose other name was Permma, and who ruled from A. D 1138 till 1150.2 His Mahāmandaleśvara Jagadekamalladeva Vira Pāndyadeva was ruling over the Nolambavadi 32,000 Province from his capital of Ucchangi. He granted the village of Bennaganuru (location given) to the Mahapradana Vatsaraja to be granted to the temple of the god Syavambhudeva of the village. We are informed that at this time king Nacideva of the Kadamba family ruled over the Kotturu 12 and the Kogali 500 Provinces from his capital of Kottūru (intu negertlegum pogartlegum tāne Janmabhūmi yamb-i-Kottür-panneraduman Kogali-ainüruman.) 4 Here was, therefore, Kottura the capital of the two Provinces of Kotturu 12 and Kogali 500, and the "Homeland of Fame and Renown," in the middle of the twelfth century. We may note incidentally that the larger division called Kogali 500 included within itself the smaller division called Kottūru 12.

In order to find out the truth of the above eulogy given to Kottūru as the "Homeland of Fame and Renown," we have to

¹ South Indian Inscriptions, Vol. IX, P I. No. 187 pp 181-182, 819 of 1925.

² Rice Musore and Coorg. p. 73,

S. I. I., IX, P. I., No. 251, pp. 280-282. The Kogali 500 Province is now represented by the Harapanaballi and Hüvnahadagalli talukas of the Bellary, District, Engacharya, op. cit., I, p. 280.

ascertain as to when the larger political division called Kögali 500 came into existence. In other words, we have to find out the antiquity of the Kögali 500 Province itself. This Province may be dated, as will be shown later on in this paper, to at least the fifth century A. D. But from the ninth century onwards it figures prominently in epigraphs. Thus a stone inscription found in front of the Venkatesadeva temple at Mevundi, Mundaragi Petha, Dharwar district, and dated Saka 818, Nala Karttika, su. 5 Monday corresponding to A. D 897, October the 3rd Monday, mentions the Rastrakūta monarch Akalavarsa, Krsna II, who ruled from A. D 939 till 968. 1 Under him was a Mahasămanta, whose name is lost, but who belonged to the Yadava dynasty, and who was placed over the Kôgali 500 and the Maseyavadi 140 Provinces. He constructed a temple of Keyagesvars at Melividu which is called a capital (rajadhāni). This high official was no other than the Mahasamanta Kuppayarasa, who really held charge of the Puligere 300 Province in addition to the two divisions mentioned above. 3 Governor Sobhanarasa also possessed Kögali under him, in addition to Banavāsi 600. Belvola 300, Purigere 300, Kunduru 500, and Kukkanuru 30 Provinces, in the tenth century 4

The Kögali 800 division passed under the Mahäsimanta Blija, who governed it under the Rästraküta king Nityavarsa Indra Ballaha III in Saka 844, Citrabhānu, Bhādrapada Tuseday, full moon, which corresponds but for the week-day, which happens to be Monday, to A. D 922, September the 9th. 'It is obviously the same Mahäsimanta with the title of Birudara Rotta, who is said to be ruling over the Kögali 500 division under the same monarch, in an undated record found close to the Kallesvara temple at Bāgali, Harapanahaļi itāluka, Bellary district 'Kögali' Kogali.

¹ Rice. Op. Cit. p.87.

^{8.} I I. IX, P. I., No 22, p 13

⁸ Krisnamacharlu, S. I., I. IX, P. I. Intr. p. v. For another copy of the same record in characters of the twelfth century A. D., see tbid, No. 30, p. 17.

Epigraphia Indica IV, pp. 206-300 . S. I. I. IX, P. I, Intr. p. viii.

^{8.} I I. ibid, No. 57, p. 32. See also No. 58, page ibid.

⁶ S. I. I. ibid, No. 59, p. 33,

500 is called Kögalinādu in Śaka 853 (A. D. 931-2) under the official Ayyapayrs, in the reign of the Rästraküta king Govinda IV. In A. D. 956 it was governed by the Mahāsāmanta Rottayya, under the Rästraküta king Akālavarsa Kannara (Krsna III).

Kögali 500 remained in tact in the age of the Western Calukyas of Kalyāņi, who had overthrown the Rāstrakūtas in A. 973. It was governed in A. D. 987 along with Kisukadu 70 by the Mahāsāmanta Ayatvarmā, in the reign of the Western Calukya monarch Āhavamalla, Tailapa Nūrmadi. The Mahāsāmanta's real name was Ādityavarmā, and he continued to be the governor of Kögali 500 till A. D. 993 We may mention that in that year ha had under him also Saundatti 12.*

The notices of Kögali in the epigraphs of the eleventh century are more conspicuous. In the reign of the Western Caiukyan monarch Jagadekamalla, Jayasimha II, Kögali 500, along with a number of other divasions, was placed under his feudatory Udayādityarasa in A. D. 1018. It continued to be under the same feudatory till A. D. 1032, when his second son Pallava Permmadi was made its governor. From A. D. 1033, till 1048 Pallava Parmmādi governor the Kögali 500 division first under the monarch Jayasimha II, and then under the latter's successor Someśvara I. Tone of the records dated A. D. 1045 indicates the religious importance of the province. We are informed in this record that there was a prominent Kalānukha matha called Simhaparaye at Kögali for the maintenance of which an official called Ghattiyarass made a specified gift of land.

Under the same monarch Somesvara I we find in A. D. 1050 the feudatory Narasingadeva of the Pallava family as governor of Kogali 500. ⁵ In A. D. 1054 it was still under Narasingadeva,

¹ S. I.I. IX. P.I., No. 61, p. 34, 2 Ibid, No. 66, p. 38. 5 Ibid, No. 75, p. 46.

^{*} Ibid, No. 76, 77, pp. 46-47.
* Ibid, No. 80, p. 50.

⁶ Ibid, Nos. 85, 87, 90, 94, 96, pp. 56-58.

^{&#}x27; Ibid., I, Nos. 85, 87, 88, 89, pp. 56-60 Epigraphia Carnatica, XI, Dg. 128, p. 74, S. I. I. ibid, No. 94, p. 64, No. 99, p. 69, No. 101, p. 70, No. 104, pp. 78-79; Ep. Car. XI. Dg. 19, p. 29, Ep. Car. VII He., 107, p. 77.

S. I. I., thid, No. 101, op. cit. For the religious (i.e., Lingeyat and Jains) importance of Koţiura, and, therefore, of Kogali, read Bellary Gasetiers, 1, pp. 290-291.

S. I. I. sbid. No. 107, p. 82,

whose son Kumara Corayadeva was governing the Ucchangi 30 division. 1 That Kögali was also a Jaina centre is proved by a record dated Saka 977 (A. D. 1055) of the same monarch Somesyara I. This epigraph is doubly interesting. Firstly, because it informs us that in Kögalı there was a Jaina temple (basadı) which imparted education, and that the Jaina teacher Indrakirti made a specified gift of land in Ittage, a suburb of Kogali, for imparting education at the basadi. Secondly, we are told that that basam at Kogali had been formerly constructed by the king Durvinita (idu Durunitanindam modalol basadi nadamattara ahaleyam viditayas-Indrakirttigalu-uditoditam üge mādidar-Kogalivol).2 Since the king Durvintta mentioned in this record could have been no other than the well-known Ganga king of that name, about whose patronage to Jainism I have given ample proof elsewhere, and since he lived in the fifth century A. D., 2 we are to assume that Kogali, and therefore, its capital Kotturu. were already prominent in the fifth century A. D. This brings us to within one century from the age of the Emperor Samudra Gunta.

The political and religious importance of Kōgali is further proved by a record dated A. D 1062, when the same moorch Somesvara I was ruling. But the feudatory over Kōgali 500 was now Visnuvardhana Mahārāja, who governed the entire Nolambavādi 32,000 Province. The mutilisted record that gives us this detail also tells us that on that date, all the ministers and the mahāprabhus of Kōgalinād and the Kadambaligenād (trīmat-arasara-samasta-pradhāmar-Kōgalinād,...vundubaligenād-prabha...), and with a number of leading Jaina and Brahman citizens, farmers of the five mathasthāmas, the 96,000 (Province) Representatives, made as grant of land to the Ūrodoya (Collector of the District) Māranavya.

¹ Ep. Car., XI. Jl. 10, p. 85,

^{8.} I. I. ibid., No. 117, p. 92. Saletore, Mediaeval Jainism, pp. 19-23

⁴ E. Car. XI, Dg 143, pp 77-78 Kögali 500 figures in another mutilated record dates A. D. 1058 under a feudatory called Ganga Permuzdi Brahmadevarăja, who was evidently no other than Vinayādītya mentioned above. Ibid, Dg. 153, pp. 79-80.

The undated Kögali baseds record of the reign of the king Trailokyamalladeva is evidently to be assigned to this age; but I cannot make out how the editor of this epigraph came to write about the nakharas (citizens) of Kögali. This division continued to be under Visnuardhana Mahārāja Vijayaditya in A. D. 1065. This feudatory, who is called the Lord of Vengi, was governor of Kögali in A. D. 1066.

In a D 1088 in the reign of the next Western Cālukyan monarch Someèvara II, Bhuvanaikamalla, the governor placed over Kogalt 500 was the Nolamba Pallava Jayasingadava. Three years later in the reign of king Someèvara's famous brother Vikramaditya VI, Tribhuvanamalladeva, this monarch made a gift of the village of Kotiganāru in Kōgali 500 for the services of the god Kalideva, and for the Kālāmukha ascetics in the matha of Lakulesvara Pandita of Pūvina-Padangale. This record is interesting because it gives the geographical location of Kōgali 500 thus—Tungabhadrā-madaya tenkama Kōgali-mañara-babyat. This fact that Kōgali 500 was on the southern side of the Tungabhadrā confirms my statement that that division, with its capital Kottūra, was essentially within the limits of Karnātaka

We may pause here before proceeding further with the history of Kogali 500 and its capital Kottura, in order to understand the reference to the latter place in one of the inscriptions of the great Tamil monarch Rajendra Cola II It is precisely because Kogali lay on the southern side of the Tungabhadra, and to the north of the Tamil country, that its capital Kottura Is said to be in the record dated A. D. 1070 already oiled above, as having been in the Western hilly country. Further, it is because of its political, religious, and cultural importance that, as related above, it is styled in A. D. 1148 as the "Homeland of Fame and Remown".

[&]amp; 1 1 IX, No. 130, pp. 112-113

Ibid., No. 128, pp. 109-10.
 Ibid. No. 132, p 114.

¹bid, No. 129, pp. 111-112. [bid, No. 135, pp. 118-119, ll, 9-10

⁶ I am inclined to believe that quite a number of places in the Tamil country mentioned in an earlier context in this paper, came to be called Koṭturu after the capture of the famous capital of Kogali 500 by the well-known Tamil monarch Rajandra Ooja II.

^{17 |} Annals, B. O. R. I. !

The importance of Kōgali 500 is further proved by another record dated A D. 1108. This is however a very much damaged inscription. From it we gather that in the reign of the same Western Calukya monarch Vikramāditya Tribhuvanaumalladeva, the great minister, bearing the burden of whole kingdom, possessed of the three forms of government, the Perggade Rācamanya Nāyaka held the office of Perggade of the Kōgali 500 and the Kādambolige 1,000 Provinces 1

We lose sight of Kogali for about forty years, but in A D. 1148-9 in the reign of the Western Calukvan monarch Jagadekamalla II. Permma, as related above, when the feudatory Jagadekamalla Vira Paudyadeva was governing the Nolambavaili 32 000 Province, from Ucchange, the Kadamba lord Nacideva ruled over the Kotturu 12 and the Kogali 500 divisions from the capital of Kotturu.2 But in the reign of the same monarch, the same feudatory governed the Nolambavadi 32,000 Province from the capital of Ucchangi. The mutilated record dated A. D. 1150 which gives us this information, contains further proof that Kogali, and, therefore, Kotturu, was within the limits of Karnataka. For it informs us that to the face of Lady Wealth of Kuntala, Kôzali was the forehead mark (krimat-Kuntalanenhul-ondu vilasat-Laksmikaram Kogali-nād-adarkke tilakam).3 Since Kuntala, as is well-known, was always within the boundaries of Karnataka, and sometimes identical with it, we may reasonably assume that the scribe of the above record meant by Kuntala no other land but Kamataka

That Kottūru was undoubtedly the capital of Kōgali 500 and of Kottūr 12 divisions, is proved by a record wrongly dated in Saka 1035 (which is evidently an error for 1075) (A. D. 1154, January the 16th Friday, the week-day not corresponding), which informs us that Nācidevarsas of the Kadamba family governed the Kōgali 500 and the Kottūru 12 divisions from his capital of Kottūra (Nāc-devarsaru Kōgaliya-umūrumam Kotūru-panneradumum dusta-nighraha-instla-pranpalānadnā-dīdu Kotū-

¹ Ep Car. XI, Ji, 12, pp. 85-86

² S I, I 1X, No. 250, pp. 260-2, op cst.

^{8.} I. I. sbid, No. 257, pp. 272-273.

ralu-sukha-sunkathā-vinodadim - rājyam - geyyuttam - ire). This Kadamba scion was evidently the same official we saw above under the monarch Jagadekamalla II. Nacideva is mentioned as the grand-father of the Mahamandalesvara Vira Jagadala Bammidevarasa, who is represented as governing over the same region in A D 1212, evidently in the reign of the Hoysala ruler Ballala II, although the latter is not mentioned in the epigraph For our purpose we may note that the feudatory Nacideva is spoken of as having hailed from Kottūru itself (Mahāmandalesvaram Kottūra Nācidevarasam) 2 The high praise given to Kogali 500 in the next year A. D. 1213 confirms my statement that that division was a part of Karnataka In this damaged record we have the following-flat to the country Kuntala Kogalı was a mirror, and to the Pandyadesa a shining head lewel (Kuntala-dēšakke-idu kannadı...... Pānduavišavamam sogavipudu., ant-eseva-Pāndva-višavakke-int-idu ramanī mukuram-āgi Kōga/ıya-ainūrumam). 3

We traverse almost half a century before we come across the next reference to Kögali and Kottūru. By this time this part of Karnīštaka had passed under the suserainty of the Yādavas of Devagiri. In A. D 1276 during the reign of the Yādava king Rāmacandradeva, the great nobleman and the master of the hill-forts, Khande Rāya Hemmādi Deva made a grant of the Kottūru-vritti os some one whose name is effaced in the record.

The ancient prestige of Köttüru was maintained in the Vijayanagara age. In a royal grant dated A. D. 1354 of king

¹ This inscription refers to the reign to the Western Calukyan monarch Vikramāditys VI, but falls within the reign of Trailokyamalla, Talla III. (S. I. J. bid., No. 264, pp. 279-289)

S I. I. op. cit., No. 331, p. 350.

⁸ Died, No. 332, p 351. It may be observed have that Baquekallu was not the chief town in Kögali 500, as muntained by the Editor of the Kallebvara temple record, but only the chief willage. For the original runs thuse Kögaliya-ansiraam Banekalla-hausaradakkum-mukhyanöd Bennskal-yrämadak. This record in dated A. D. 1236. S. 1., blod, No. 349, pp. 363-366.

[•] Ep car XI, Jl. 30, p S7. It cannot be made on whether Koṭṭarahaļii which figures in a record dated A. D. 1287 (Ep. Car. VII, Sk. 312, p 153) was the same as the Koṭṭtra discussed in these pages. Perhaps it was not because it is called a ha/n or village, while the Koṭṭtra of our record was always the capital of a division.

Bukka Rāya, that ruler is represented as having given to Rāmanna Jyoise (descent stated), the astrologer of Hariharadevapura, the village of Mittaligonakotte, which the king had ordered to be built within the limits of Hariharadevapura in the Ucchangivēpibe belonging to the Kottūru-cdvadi 'The word cărodi or hall used in this connection is noteworthy, in as much as it suggests that even in the first half of the fourteenth century, Kottūru continued to be one of the provincial seate of the Viayanagara Empire.

The political importance of Kotturu is still further proved by another record of A. D 1382 of the reign of the next Vijayanagara monarch Haribara II. This ruler granted the village of Sankaripura in the Haribarasime in the Ucchangiventhe belonging to the Kotturu-căvadi within the Vijayanagara kingdom, to the learned Brahman Lingarasa (descent stated) In a record dated A. D. 1406 of the reign of the Vijayanagara king Deva Râya II, three villages of Jigale, Kunduru and Horasandra are said to have been attached to the town of Bhānuvati which belonged to the Kotturu-sime and the Ucchangiventhe

**The Political State of Sankara Sankar

The Vijayansgars monarchs, as I have amply proved elsewhere, always maintained the traditional administrative divisions of the land This explains why Kottūru-cavadı still continued to figure in the Vijayansgars records In A. D 1516 in the reign of the great Krspa Deva Rāya, a minister was placed over Kottūru. His name was Aruha-Timmana Nāyaka In that year he granted the vililage of Dannāyakanapurs for the charity water-lift at Soga. Nonabansvasikere is said to have been in the valita of Kottūru in A. D. 1527, when the high official called Rāyasada Nārāyanappa was placed over Kottūru. Three years later (1530) in the reign of Acyuta Deva, Kottūru agāin figures. The record that gives us this information tells us that the village named Ballāpura, which was granted as a gift, was situated in Hariharsalem belonging to Padyanad which was a stituated in Hariharsalem belonging to Padyanad which was a

¹ Ep. Car. XI, Dg. 67, p. 63.

² Ibid, XI, Dg. 68, p. 67. ³ Ibid, Dg. 108, p. 71.

Saletore, Social and Political Life in the Vijayanagara Empire, II.

^{*} S. I I. IX P. II. No 503, p. 516. * Ibid, No. 522, p. 538.

subdivision of Ucchangiventhe which itself formed a part of Kotturu-cavadı

In the reign of Emperor Sadāsiva Rāya the references to Kottūru are copious. An undated stone inscription found at Nandihallı, Hadaganahalli tāluka, Bellary district, registers a gift made by that Emperor of the village of Volalugundi Bhayirapura, surnamed Gopinathapura, in Kotturu-sime to the temples of Bañjeśvara and Gopinātha at Timmalāpura, which was an agrahāra set up by Rāmapayya. 2 The barbers of Baguli in Kotturu-sime in A. D. 1547, during the reign of the same monarch, were exempted from the payment of certain specified taxes by that Vijayanagara ruler. 7 In the same year Bemma Nāyaka granted the village of Amaragere in Rangapura-sthala situated in Kotturu-sime, as a gift to Varunakanta Odeyar. The royal order of A. D. 1547 exempting the barbers from paying certain taxes, was extended in January to the barbers of Kotturu-sime, and in February of the same year to the barbers of Maiduru also in the Kottūru-sime.5 Krsnappa Nāyaka was governing over the Kotturu 30 division which belonged to the Kogali province in 1550, also in the reign of the same monarch Sadāsiva Rāya.6 In the record dated A. D. 1551 we are informed that Kotturu-sime had been granted to Kranana Navaka himself. This record clearly proves that the ancient administrative divisions were in tact under Vijavanagara For it informs us that Kotturu-sime formed a part of the Kogali-venthe which was a sub-division of Pandyanadu which belonged to the Hastinavativalita (Hastındvalı-valıtada Pöndyanöda-valagana Kögalıya-venthe-

¹ Ep Car XI, Dg 28, p. 38

² 464 of 1914. Rangacharya, op est., 1, By 224, p 288, S I 1, IX, P. II. No. 682, p 677

³ S. I I, IX P. II, No 622, p. 627.

^{*} S I I., IX P. II, No 626, pp. 629-630.

³ Jud., Nos. 638, 639, pp. 631. In vrew of all these records, the unsertion of the word Konpinnurs in the record dated A D 1548 by the gallors (S. J. I. IX. P. II. No. 630, p. 633) should be changed to Koṭṭtru. For "Kuṇṭaŭīra-sime" is no mestioned in inscriptions; and there could not have best two Simes-Koṭṭtr-sime and Kuṇṭaŭīru-sime in the same waiita of Kōgaḥ; almost in the same waiita.

⁶ 1btd, No. 640, p. 638. See also 71 of 1904; Rangacharya, op. cst. L. By. 263, p. 294.

yuke-calum Kottüru-simeya). This territorial division is repeated in detail in 1880 when, some time after the great battle of Raksasa-Tangadi, the Vijayanagara Emperor Tirumala Raya was ruling from Anagondi, and his officer Vādi Nāyaka exempted the shepherds of Kottüru-sime from a tax on their sheep.

When the capital was transferred from Anegondi to Penugonda, Kottūru was naturally transferred to the Penugondā rājya. This is evident from references to it in the seventeenth century records which mention Kottūru as having been situated in the Penugonda-rājya. Thus a copper plate inscription of the last Vijayanagara ruler Šri Ranga Rāya, dated in A D 1665, March the 15th, describes Kottūru-sime as having been a part of Penugonda-rājya. Kottūru-sime is said to have been a sub-division of Kōgali-venthe in a paper sanad of A. D. 1785, December the 17th, granted by the Keladi king Basavappa Nāyaka to the Śrngeri quru Sacchidānanda Bhārati. Kōgali mentioned in this record was evidently the same Kogali the history of which has been outlined above

The numerous records cited above prove beyond doubt that Kottura was attached to the larger division of Ucchangi. This locality is one of the most famous hill-fortresses in Southern and Western Induan history. It had a glorious history behind it, and it was called by its other name Uccharing it lies in the bouth-west of the Bellary district in the Harapanahalli tiluka, nust near the Mysore border at Davanagere. It is in latitude 14° 34°, and Long. 76° 7°. 3° Ucchang figures as one of the provincial seats of the early Kadambas of Halasi. 4° It later on

I S. J J. IX. P. II. No. 685, p. 677 It cannot be made out whether this is the same record of the same date, noticed first by Sewell (Antiquities of Southern India, I, p. 110), and then by Rangacharya (op. cif. I By 433, p. 314).

^{*} S. J. J IX. P II, No. 685, pp. 677-8.

There is another (schangidurga about 50 miles to the east by north from the Ucohangidurga of Bellary. This other Ucohangidurga lies three from the Ucohangidurga of Bellary. This other Ucohangidurga lies three miles to the east of Molkimutra in the Dodder: thinks of the Chitaldoorg district Mysors State. (Fisca, Dy, Kon. Dts., D.255, P.255, D. 5). But this other Ucohangidurga does not seem to have been as famous as the more anoless hill-fortrees in Harramanhall tolking.

b Rice, Mys. Inscriptions, p. XXXIX, My. d. Coorg, p. 26, where Rice identifies it with the hill-fortress in Molkalmuru. See also Fleet, ibid, p. 285.

became the capital of the whole of the Nolambavādi 32,000 Province, under the well-known feudatory family of the Pandyas of Ucchangu.

From the ninth century A. D., onwards the great Ucchangi hill-fortress figures in inscriptions. The Ganga king Marasimba is credited with the capture of this impregnable fortress, as is related in the Annapeva temple stone inscription found at Karagada, in Belür tāluka and dated in A D 971, Prajotpatti. Asadna The ruler whom king Marasimha defeated is called in that record merely Rajaditya (Ucchangiya-kötege Rajadityanakālagake).2 In a later but mutilated record dated A D. 974, and found at Sravana Belgola, we are informed that king Marasimha! reduced to powder the hill-fortress of Ucchangi," In the same Stay ma Belgola record we have the important detail of the royal family to which Rajaditya belonged. Marasimba, otherwise called Ganga-cudamans, we are informed in this record, " became a torest fire to the lion Randitva, the crest-lewel of the Calukyas "3 This fact of Rajaditva's having been a scion of the Calukva royal house is to be borne in mind in our estimate of the identification of the royal family to which Svamidatta, the contemporary of Samudra-Gupta belonged, as will be narrated towards the end of his paper

The Ucchangi hill-fortress continued to be important in the first half of the eleventh century A. D. This is proved by the stone inscription set up near the Tavakešvarasvāmi temple at Ucchangi-durga itself in the Harapanahalli tāluka It belongs to the reign of the Western Cālukya ruler Āhavamalla, when his son the Vengimándalešvara (Lord of Vengi) Visnuvardhana Vipayditya ruled over the Nolambavādi 32,000 Province, and the latter's deputy Devappayya was placed over the division called Ucchangi 30. This record gives the following legendary origin of the hill-fortress.—That in the middle of the Kadambavādi-nāt there shone the mountain fortress of Ucchangi which in

Ep. Car. VII, Intr. p. 26; thid XI, Intr. p. 16 ff, Mys and Coorg, pp 58, 59, 76, 92, 109, 102.

⁶ My. Arch. Rept. for 1911, p. 37; Ep Car. II., Intr. pp, 44 45, ibid, XV, No. 308, p. 79.

⁸ Ep. Car. II, No. 59, p. 12.

the Kṛta yugu was called Meghanāda, because it had been the abode of a fiend (rūksasa) called Meghanāda. In the Treta yugu it was the abode of Hiranyaka When he was slain by the god Nārāyapa, gold rained from heaven on this hill-fortress, and hence it was called Kanakagiri. In the Dvāpara yuga it was the abode of the great ric Uttanga, and hence it was called Uttangaparvata. And in the Kali yuga a Brahman maiden called Utchangi and two others prayed to the god Išvara and obtained a boon, and hence it was called Utchangiparvata.

The strategic importance of Ucchangi, and hence of Kottura, in the twelfth century is proved by the records pertaining to the well-known Hoysala House. Its greatest inler was king Vishuvardhana Bittigadeva, whose famous general was Ganga Raja I here already enumerated elsewhere the military achievements of this great commander. General Ganga Raja must have conquered the great Ucchangi fortress in 1123, although the Sravana Belgola inscription dated in that year, and another inscription dated in 1131, attributed its conquest to king Visnuvardhana humself.

The conquest of Ucchangi in the reign of that monarch (A. D. 1111-1141) did not mean the annihilation of the ancient family that had ruled over that fortres. In the reign of his grandson, king Ballaia II, who ruled from 1173 till 1220, its rulers again gave trouble to the Hoysola State This necessitated its reduction by king Ballaia II. Two records, both found in Sravana Bilgola, give us valuable details of this conquest. The record dated 1181 contains the following information—That when in the pride of his arm Odeyarasa was with great fary determined to fight, king Ballaia marched forth, and surrounding and besigging Ucohangi, whose peaks had been reduced to prowder by the blows from the tusks of the groups of lordly elephants of his army, captured king Plandys, together with his beautiful women country, treasures, father, and group of horses. Laying siege to

^{5,} I, I, Nr. IX, 126, pp. 107-108

See Saletore, Mediaeval Jainism, pp. 114, 116-119, 121-132, ff.

Ep. Car II. No. 132, p. 143. Bat see the text of Nos. 240 and 384, pp. 102, 172, in order to be convioced that this conquest could have been the work only of Ganga Raja.

Ucohangi, which was for a long time considered impregnable to enemies, king Ballala, a treasury of irresistible prowess, took the fort with ease and seized the kings Kamadera and the famous Odeya, and their treasures, women, and troops of horses. The same facts relating to king Kamadeva and Odeya are mentioned in the later record dated 1195. We have to infer from the record of 1181 that the rulers of Ucchangi belonged to the Pandya House, under whom the Calukyas might have continued to govern

From whatever point of view we may look at Ucchangi, and, therefore, at Kottūra,- traditional, geographical, or political,it is clear that it was in Karnataka proper. This means that Samudra Gunta entered the north-eastern part of Karnataka, and conquered the ruler of that region. Here we come across some difficulties which need clarification. I shall take the order of conquests as given in the Allahabad Pillar Inscription. It has been mentioned above that the conquest of Kottura is placed after the conquest of Pistapura and before that of Erandapalle. Of these Pistanura was undoubtedly the modern Pithanuram (12 miles north-east of Cocanada) in the East Godavari district. It was the capital of ancient Kalings 3 Whether we are to take the difficult passage in the Allahabad Pillar Inscription-"Pastapuraka-Mahendragarı-Kauttüraka-Svāmıdatta," with Fleet, as referring to king Mahendra of Pistapura. or along with Dr. Bhandarkar and Mr. Ramachandramurty, as referring to a ruler called Mahendragiri, 5 is beside the point for the present, because we are concerned here with the order of the conquests in the Erandapalle-Pistapuram region.

¹ Ep Car II No. 327, p 136 * lbid, No 335, p. 142

Allan, Gupta Count p XXII, Smith, Early History of India, p. 300 (1924 ed.).

⁴ Fleet, Gupfa Inscriptions, p. 7, (n. 2), 13 Granting that we acceptificate in the Civilina was on the hill, it may be made to agree with the location of Kottiers given above thus — That Kottiers is spiken of as belonging to the fortress of Ucchanigh which was itself a hill-fortress. It may be remembered here that Kottiers is described in epigraphs as having formed a part of province ("eight) of Ucchanigh with the province ("eight) of Ucchanigh with the province and the province ("eight) of Ucchanight.

⁵ D. R. Bhandarkar, Indian Culture, II, pp. 761-762; V. S. Ramachandry Murty, ibid, III, pp. 230-231.

^{18 [} Annals, B. O. R. L.]

From Pistapuram Samudra Gupta marched in a south-westerly direction to Kottura in the Bellary district, and then turned
bis attention to Erandapalle. A discussion of the location of this
latter place will convince us that Samudra Gupta had, indeed,
entered the heart of the Decoan. Two views have been expressed
oncerning Erandapalle Dr S K. Ayrangar and Prof. V. Rangacharye maintain that Erandapalle in the Alshabad Pillar inscription was no other than Erandapalle in the Ganjam district. The
other view is that of Fleet, Allan, and Smith, who identify it
with Frandapall in the Khandesh district.

I am inclined to accept the latter view that Erandapalle of the Allshatad Pillar inscription was no other than Erandol in the Khandesh district for the following reasons. If we agree to the ide that the scribe of the Allahabad Pillar inscription has mentioned the conquests of Samudra Gupta in some order, then, we cannot accept the view that the Erandapalle of the Allahabad Pillar record was in the Ganian district. For, according to the latter view, it would mean that Samudra Gupta first conquered Pistapura, and then marched north-eastwards to the Ganjam district This would mean that he had left an enemy in the rear when he first struck at Pr-tapura We cannot credit Samudra Gupla with such a tactless blunder. What makes us assert this with confi dence is the fact that if Samudra Gupta had really gone northeastwards to the Gangam district, the scribe of the record would have mentioned first Pistapura, next Erandapalle, and then Kottura But Kottura is placed after Pistapura and before Erandapalle thereby justifying our assumption that Erandapalle must have been located in a region which was geographically

^{&#}x27;S K Avyangar, Studies in timpta History, p. 53, C. P. of 4 of 1912-3, Kanguoharya, A Topopraphical List of insersptons in the Madras Presy, III, pp. 1673-150. The late DF K P. Jayanwal wrote thus about Damans of Erandapille — and Damans of Erandapille must have been a "rija" or ruler of the status of a district officer under Symilatiant of Pajapura" (Jayawal, History of India, p. 37). This is one of the many conjectures which triates Dr Jayaswal's interesting work.

Ricet, J. R. A. S. for 1898, pp. 368-9; Allan, Gupta Couns, pp. XXIII-XXIV. Smith. E. H. i. pp. 284, 1914 ed.), 301 (1924 ed.)

nearer to Kottura than to Pistapura. Erandol in the Khandesh district is precisely such a place While we are in the dark as to the antiquity and importance of Erandol, we may note that from this place in the Khandesh district, Samudra Gupta turned south-eastwards, and marching through a most difficult country, presented himself before Kandt (the modern Conjecvaram near Madras,) where ruled the Pallava king Vispugopa whom he subugated 1

It must be admitted that with the available evidence, we do not know the reasons which prompted Samudra Gupt. to turn south-astwards from Erandol to Kanct. All that we may hazard to point out is that the powerful Pallavas of Kanci, whose sway extended over a large part of the centre of the Madris Presidency, along the coast and in the interior might have been alarmed at the advance of Samudra Gupta, who had struck at Kotūra, a vital part of Karnātaka

Now to the identity of the ruler Syamidatta, it must also be confessed that we can likewise only conjecture. The name itself-Syamidatta,-deserves some comment. There have been rulers whose names have ended in datta. Thus, in the Eraudmall Boar statue inscription assigned to the 5th century A D, the names Mahesadatta and Varahadatta, obviously brothers, are montioned 2 I do not think we could identify the Syamidatta of the Allababad Pillar inscription with a ruler of this family. because of the following reasons '- (a) Eran, which lies eleven miles from Khursi (on the G. I. P.), in the Saugor district of the Central Provinces, is too far away from Kottura. (b) Although Eran is very intimately connected with Samudra Gupta himself - an inscription of his having been found here, - yet we do not know whether any ruler of Eran held sway over Kottura. (c) If a ruler of Eran called Svamidatta had really been subjugated by Samudra Gupta, this fact would have been men-

Prof. Dubreuil denies that Sumudra Gupta ever entered the Tamil land. The Pallacas, p. 14 But he does not give any reason for arriving at this conclusion.

² Curningham, Archaeological Survey Report, X, pp. 87 ff., Hiralal, Catalogue of Inscriptions in the C. P. and Berar, p. 48.

tioned either in the fragmentary Eran pillar inscription which directly deals with Samudra Gupta, or in the inscription relating to Buddha Gupta, 'or in the Eran small Boar statue inscrip tion mentioned above Since in none of these records is there the slightest reference to Svāmidatta's having been a ruler of Eran, we have to reject this assumption

There is another royal family some rulers of which bore names that ended in datta. This is the ancient royal family of Punnata which I have outlined elsewhere 7 Two rulers of this family were called Nagadatta (cuca 280 A, D) and Ravidatta (crea 400 A. D) We could have said that Svāmidatta belonged to the Punnata royal tamily, especially when we realise that after Ravidatta's time, the Punnais House came to an end This assumption receives some support when we observe that Ravidatta's age (circa 400) comes very near Samudra Gupta's age (A. D 335-385). But two objections violate this assumption. Firstly, there is nothing to show that the Punnata rulers, whose capital lay to the extreme south of the modern Mysore State, ever held sway over the Bellary district in which Kotturs was located And, secondly, the manner in which the Punnata Royal House after Ravidatta came to an end. conclusively proves that we have to seek elsewhere than in the Punnata history for the identity of the ruler called Syamidatts. As I have shewn in my paper on the ancient Punnata kingdom referred to above, that principality was subverted by the Ganga king Durvinita. If Syamidatta had been a Punnata ruler, and Samudra Gupta had subjugated him, we would have had some reference to the ancient Punnata kingdom in some of the records of the Gupts monarch or of his successors Since no such reference is met with, we have to assume that Svamidatta belonged to some other Karnataka royal family.

Such an ancient family that was almost contemporaneous with Samudra Gupta was a branch of the Calukyas, one of whose

¹ Pleet, Gupta In., p. 18, Cunningham, Archaelogical Survey Report. X, p 89, Fleet, :bid, p Cunningham, :bid, p, 83, JR. 18, VII. p. 633, :bid XXX, p. 17, if. thid XXX1, p. 1887, Pote.

Saletore, Indian Culture, pp. 303-317.

Allan, Gupta Corns, pp. XXXII-XXXIII.

early monarchs was called Svämirāja. This ruler, who is said to have been victorious in eighteen battles, was killed by the Western Calukya suler of the main line by name king Mangalesa. as is related in the undated Nerur grant of king Mangalesa.1 Of course the ruler Syamuraia who lived in the latter half of the sixth century and the beginning of the seventh century A. D., could never have been a contemporary of Samudra Gupta. But the point to be borne in mind is that in Karnataka was an ancient line of the Calukvas, one of whose rulers was called Syamiraia. It is possible that when more records about this ancient line will be unearthed, we might perhaps have to take Syamidatta, the contemporary of Samudra Gupta, as one of the predecessors of Svāmirāja, who lelonged to this early branch of the Calikya House. All that we may assert for the present is that Kottura which Samudra Gupta conquered was assentially a Karnataka contre, and that its ruler was in all likelihood a Karnataka king 2

Fleet, Du. Kan Dt., pp 317-349

² In the history of the Gangas, tos, we come across names of relers, ending in addit a Thus kine, Gangdattis, and Sridattis, and Sridattis are mentioned. (Rice, My, & Coory, p. 38) It is too premature to suggest that Symindatts belonged to the ancient Ganga Foluse or to the Kadamba royal family which, as mentioned elsewhere, had Ucclaring as one of its provincial capitals (Fleet, Dy Kan, Diss, p. 285). An unindentified Chilatysking called Parabitaris, as mentioned in a viragal assigned by Flees to about the 9th century A D. The place called Köfür where the record was tound, his about 20 miles in a north-westerly direction from Saundatt, the chief town of the Parasagat distins of the Belgaum district, Bombay Presidency. (Fleet, Indian Antiquery, XX, p. 69.5) Excetping this viragal found reser the simple of Faraminands, there is no other record of any importance discovered in this neighbourhood. This Kögür is not mentioned in any record as taring bean a provincial or a copal sessi of any line of times.

MISCELLANEA

PURUSARIHA, DAIVA AND NIYATI

By

P. C. DIVAND

i. Purusartha and Matsuanyana

The word 'Purusariha' is a compound word made up of two simple words 'Purusa' and 'Artha' Thereout the former connotes a human being who is a conglomerate of an individualistic spirit a heart, a mind and a physical bole containing. havides the above, a group of five organs of knowledge another of five of action and other subsidiary parts discharging the functions of connecting together the principal ones, supplying them with energy, etc. Thus by the very nature of his constitution man is apt to be engaged, either physically or mentally, in the pursuit of any of the lour 'Arthus' (aims or objects), namely 'Dharma' (religious merit), 'Artha' (wealth in cash or kind) 'Kama' (sensual engoyment) and 'Mok-a' (freedom from bondage). The two middle ones are universal and the first is common to a large majority of men, no matter what authority one looks to for guidance, but the last is the special subject of engage ment of a limited number of them in all times and climes. So long as the pursuit of any of them does not result in or give cause for an apprehension of any injury to or interference with the freedom of other persons, no unpleasantness arises. This is however practically impossible because man is a social animal and prompted by self-interest and egot.sin he is consciously or unconsciously led to try to realise his ideals even on taking steps to brush saids others scornfully or even to exterminate them. The natural result therefore of unrestrained individual liberty is the prevalence of what is known in the Nitisastra as Mateyanyaya, the lawless law, which prevails amongst the creatures of the fish variety and recognizes no other foundation of right except might.

- 2. In Book III of the Yongvanstha there is an episode of a Raksasi named Karkasi relating to this point, 1 Her hunger not being capable of being satisfied by the ordinary food, she had taken to human flesh and was therefore always in search of stray human beings who could be pounced upon. Rosming about in a lonely forest she happened to find a king and his minister who had lost their way while out hunting and decided to pounce upon them, justifying that decision by a general statement that the lotus-born (Brahma) having created the world had made it a rule that a stupid heartless creature shall serve as food for the carnivolous ones." But in another episode, namely that of Prahlada, the author of the same work says that the prevalence of this law of the fish during the period, for which Prahlada was unmindful of his royal duties towards his subjects living in Patala over which he ruled on the death of his father at the hands of the Nrsimha incarnation of Visnu, had led to a state of anarchy and that therefore Visnu was prevailed upon to rouse Prahlada from his Samadhi and advise him to attend to his duties ' The Mahabharata too narrates that such a catastrophe had befallen Northern India when King Vena, who was inimical to the principle of the division of the subjects into castes according to their professions, was killed by his subjects and that as he had left no son who could take his place, the leading Brahmanas of the time hit upon the device of getting a son begotten on his widow by a Brahmana by the Niyogavidhi. This son was the famous Prthu Vainva. *
 - 3. These are instances of events which took piace after the establishment of kingship in India. The Vogatässtha slao records a tradition that in early pre-historic times the hearts of all men and women were so pure that they were never prompted to transgress the Dharma based upon respect for one another's sentiments and recognition of the rights of such individual property as was necessary for leading a simple pious life in that heary age in order that they may, according to their own light, make the best use of their births as members of the

¹ Y V. III 68-84.

² Op Cit. III, 77, 20,

⁹ Op Cit V. 37 7-17

Mbh VII, 65-71,

[·] Y V. II. 10 11-44

that Arvan race and therefore there was no dominating political authority and no written texts of law. It also adds that when the divine sages who had been watching the course of events on the earth found that the moral standard of the people, had so deteriorated that they were dominated over by desire, anger, hatred, avarice, etc., and had, under the fits of such passions, begun to quarrel with one another, they started the institution of monarchy which kept the individuals' passions under control. It says that when further on they observed that even the kings of the different territories could not live amicably side by side but continued to fight with one another of and on, in order to extend their dominion; and worldly possessions, the said sages propounded the works on Dharmasastra, which placed a restraint upon the vagaries of individual monarchs by casting upon them the duty to respect certain rules of municipal and international law based upon moral and spiritual principles, not only in times of peace but also in those of wars, which were thought to be unavoidable when both the parties to a dispute believed that he was in the right 1

4 As regarde the existence of separate Sastras treating of Dharma, Artha, Kama and Moksa, the Mahubhu ata records one tradition that there was only one Pancaratra Sastra treating of all the four objects of human pursuit composed by Citrasikhandi, i e. the seven great Reis, Marker and others, that Svavambhu Manu was the first to compose a separate Sastra based upon it, and that Bhigu and Bihaspati did so later on, ? The Manusmrts of the Bhargavas records another that Brahma had originally composed a Dharmasa-tra and taught at to Bhren and that he recited it to the seven sages Marici and others ? The Kümasütra of Vātsyāyana records a taird that Prajāpati had originally composed a very big work on the Trivarga, i.e., the principles of Dhaima, Artha and Kama, that thereout, first of all. Manu separated the Dharmasastra, then Brhaspati the Arthasastra and lastly Nandi, a follower of Mahadeva, the Kamasāstra. It appears from the Arthasastra of Kautilya that there was a difference of opinion amongst the Vedic scholars as to

whather there were four independent Vidvas (sciences), namely Anviksiki, Trayi, Varta and Danda or the last three only, or the last two only, or the last only, that Kautilya was of the view that they were four and that that which Vatsyavana has recorded is the view of the Manavas, according to which there were three independent Vidyas, namely the Trayl, Varta and Danda, the Anviksiki, which according to Kautilya includes the Samkhya. Yoga and Lokāyata, being only a Trayivišesa, i. e. to say, a special development of the Trays, the foundation of the Dharmasastra. This tradition besides being a comprehensive one, is older also than that recorded by Vätsyäyana because even according to Jolly, who places the Arthasastra in about 300 A.D. as against Fleet and Shamasastrı who place it in 300 B. C., 1 the said work is older than that of Vatsyayana and substantially agrees with that contained in the exposition of the Närävaniya Dharma in the Śāntiparvan of the Mahābhārata. *

- 5. In the concluding verse in the passage containing a resume of the contents of the work it is said that "it also contains a description of the Maryadas (restraints) of various sorts imposed on the earth and in Svarga" ² This implies that the author of the work had thought it necessary to impose various kinds of restraint on the freedom of the individual souls to act as they liked These restraints must naturally have percolated in the Ekadesi Sastras which had been based thereon. It comes to this then that while the Sastras relating to each of the four Purusarthas take it for granted on the one hand that each individual soul is free to act as he likes for the realisation of his ambition, they on the other hand impose certain restrictions on his activities for the benefit of society or a portion of the
- 6. Although in the present age, the old Sastras have ceased to have binding force and an individual has comparatively more liberty to pursue his own ideals in the matters of religion and social relations, the authority of the state is there to check individual activities by enacting a Law of the Land in the interest of the society or the state even in those matters and in several

¹ Introduction by Jolly pp. 21, 24-29, 46-47, Shamashastri's edition-Introduction by Fleet.

Mbh. XII. 3. 163. 26-45, Dp. Cit. verse 37.

^{19 |} Annais, B. O. R. I. |

other matters in which formerly states did not interfere. So after all, the position is that ever since the dawn of civilization on the earth, the freedom of the individual to act on the physical plane is to a certain extent placed under restraint or even counteracted by some superior powers on earth, whether he does or does not approve of it or even whether he does or does not understand the reason for which the restraint may have been imposed or the counteraction resorted to. This is realised more at a time when the state in which the individual resides is at war with another state or when the ideal of an individual comes into conflict with that of another with better means at his command. This kind of obstruction to the realisation of one's aim on the physical plane is however liable to be removed if one has a very strong will, a readiness to make the necessary sacrifice and the quantity and quality of strength required for the realisation of the specific aim in view.

II. Purusārtha and Daiva

7. Now, an ordinary man can come to know of the existence of such beings only as are his equals or inferiors spiritually That is so because his means of knowledge primarily are his five senses of knowledge by which he comes into contact with the outside world. But just as there are several classes of beings having bodies grosser than that of man, it is quite possible that there may be others having bodies subtler than his. With the help of his intellect, provided it is initially sharp or made so by the proper method, he can come to know of the existence and movements of some such, can study them and make them agreeable to his own aims But a posteriori knowledge is never complete and that is the reason why the scientific theories of yesterday are exploded to-day and those of to-day are liable to be exploded to-morrow with the possible acquisition of better means of knowledge. On the other hand, the faithful in every religion believe that there are certain orders of superior and inferior beings who have no physical bodies These purposes may as well be opposed to those of human beings as commensurate with them. In the former case, human efforts however sincere and persistent prove fruitless and the human beings concerned cannot account for the strange results There must however be some reason why such beings

should come in the way of the fulfilment of man's desire by his own sacrifice and exertion. The Indian sages of the later Vedio age, which preceded the births of Buddha and Mahavira, had thought over this subject carefully and come to the conclusion that the good and bad spiritual forces, whose operation is indicated by inexplicable events in one's life, are set into motion by one's own actions done in previous births. They either help or hamper man in his pursuits. This is the famous Law of Karma which forms the foundation of the religious beliefs and practices of the Buddhists and Jaines as well as the Hindus. The text-books on Dharma, Artha and Kama recommend the adoption of several appropriate remedies for counteracting obstacles coming from unseen forces in the realisation of one's ideals falling in any of the three categories and the works on the Moksasastra recommend the adoption of diverse means for securing complete freedom from the miseries, including that of the liability to frequent births and deaths, which the operation of the said law brings in its train.

8. The writers of the scientific treatises above-referred to seem to take it for granted that it is possible to remove the obstacles even though they may be arising from unseen forces. They do not, as a rule, enter into a discussion as to why it is possible to do so. The Yoogvasstha Maharamavana, which calls itself a Moksopaya, is not a work of that class. Unlike the scientific works it enters into a long discussion in Book II as to the scope for and efficacy of Purusartha, the origin of Daiva and the way of its operation and inspires an optimistic spirit in the reader by proving that Daiva is capable of being supplanted, provided one has a very very strong will and persistently follows any of the numerous remedies which have been recommended therein for the realisation of one's ideal. 1 The argument advanced therein is in substance this that Daiva is nothing else but the result of your own past actions, that in the conflict between it and your Purusartha, like a duel between two goats, that is bound to predominate which has a superior potentiality, that it is in your hands to establish the superiority of the latter, that a strong will and a

¹ Y. V. II. 4-9; VII a. 39, 5-11; 51. 47; 55, 31-34; 64, 9-36; 73. 7-8.

persistent effort only would enable you to do that, and that they will enable you to put up a strong fight if you keep up faith in the doctrine that the Purusa being the repository of all powers whatever is capable of schieving anything that he desires to achieve, including even the position of Prajapati. The last point is illustrated therein by the episode of the ten sons of a Brahmana named Indu given in Book III, which is to the effect that they having wished to become Prajapatis and resorted to the necessary means towards that end did in fact become Prajapatis in the next Kalpa, though not either in that very life or even after several fresh burths in that Kalpa.

III. Purusārtha and Niyati

9. The fact that the sons of Indu had to wait and persist in their effort and to submit to numerous privations till the end of the Kalpa before being the Prajapatis at the commencement of the succeeding Kalpa introduces us to the existence of one more factor which we must take into consideration while determining the capabilities of an individual soul. That factor is what is called Niyati in the Pauranic works. That term is a noun derived from the root yam with m meaning 'to restrain, curb, control or govern.' Its etymological meaning therefore is 'that which restrains, curbs, controls or governs something else ' Its derivative meanings are the force which keeps upder control or regu lates the movements of all the creatures, animate as well as inanimate, i e to say, 'the Inner Regulator of all creatures' or 'the fixed order of things prevailing in the universe which keeps everything within the limits pertaining to its own inherent nature.' Essentially the human soul being of the same nature as the soul of the universe, i.e, to say, Brahman as it has become manifest, is completely free and therefore capable of attaining the fulfilment of all its desires. It was because of the truth of that principle that the sons of Indu having so wished could be the lords of creatures of diverse sorts But it was as the sons of an individual Brahmana that they had conceived the idea of being so great and the realisation of that idea meant the displacement of the existing Prajapatis, who were far more highly developed

¹ Y. V. III. 85-88

souls than the sons of Indu and the subversion of the order which had been fixed by Brahma, the Creator, long before the sons of Indu conceived that idea as comparatively far more limited souls. The latter were not capable of doing any of those things. That was the reason why they had to want and persist in their effort till the end of the Kalpa, when according to the original Samkalpa of Brahma, the lives of the existing Prayapatis were to come to an end. If the object of their desire had been such as could have heen achieved without coming into conflict with such higher souls, less time and less effort would have been required, as was the case with the Brahmana Vasistha and his wife who had conceived the desire to attain to the glory and dignity of a king and queen, they having been born as King Padma and his Queen Lila, or with Gadhi who only wished to have an experience of the nature of Visnu's Maya, he having had it in that very life while he was bathing. 5

10. Besides giving several such illustrations of the nature and power of this preponderating force the author of the Yogavasstha has explained them in great details at several places in that work and explained its origin also. The gist of what he says in the passages cited below as that Nivati is the order which prevails not only on this earth but also in the mid-air and in the different regions in the heavens, that it is binding on all creatures, gross and subtle, animate and inanimate, and that it was required to be established for otherwise there would have been chaos and injustice in all the three worlds That being so it could have no existence prior to the creation of the worlds and after their destruction. But so long as the universe subsists, there is no escape from it even for Brahma. Visnu and Rudra, who are inferior gods charged with certain functions with reference to the universe, are Jivas though of a higher order, and are in the same position as ministers in any government on earth A wise man, instead of trying to transgress

Y. V. III. 15-67.
 Op Cit. V. 44-49.

Op. Cit. II, 10, III, 53 24-40, 65, 8-30, 32, IV 10 24-75, 13-16, 36 30; 46, 28; 56, 12; V, 1, 19, 6, 1-18, 24 17-40, 39 24-29, 61, 42-48, 75, 77-35; VII a. 20, 16-41, 21, 23-25, 37, 77, 69, 88, 16, 104, 23-49; 105, 17, 45-44; VII b. 19-30.

it, tries to know its full nature and so long as his physical body lasts, acts in consonance with it without egotism, so that his acts may not create Vasana like those done for self-satisfaction and therefore with a sense of egotism. He has no difficulty in knowing its nature because it is the inherent power of God made manifest in the diverse objects as the inherent nature of each of them severally, just as the same electric power generated at a central power-house makes itself manufest in diverse forms in different kinds of lamps, fans, stoves, mills, factories, workshops, etc. situated at varying distances and levels. Such being the case there is in fact no miracle in the world, for, a miracle is an event which cannot be explained logically as an effect from a known cause and there is none which cannot be so explained It may be that some events may appear miraculous to some people But there are others who are better informed than them and can therefore logically account for events which they cannot. They can do so because there are certain kinds of gems, certain mystic symbols or formulas and certain rare herbs which have the efficacy of causing events, which appear to those to whom the gems, symbols or formulas and herbs are unknown, to be contrary to the fixed order of things, otherwise called the Law of Nature, but to those who are aware of them to be quite in consonance with that order or law. It is not by the knowledge of the absolute truth that the knowledge of these things is acquired. There are separate sciences for its acquisition. Therefore it is only when they are learnt, that one acquires the knowledge about them. And their mere knowledge even is not enough. Each science has its own method for the realisation of the truth which it inculcates. If it is properly followed one can even create such extraordinary events. The desire to do so is however a thorn in the path of self-realisation because there is no possibility of such a desire being ever satiated, and so long as it is not satiated, it acts as a hindrance in concentrating one's mind on the pure self. On this point there is an episode in the latter portion of the Nirvanaprakarana of the Yogavāsistha, namely that of King Vipasoit. the materials for which seem to have been taken form the

¹ Op. Cit. VI b. 108-59.

Markandeya Purana for the purpose of convincing the reader of the nature of Avidya as explained by the sage Vasistha.

IV. Conclusion

11 It follows from the above that a human being's ambitions and activities must, in order to be successful, be confined within the limits imposed by the world-order. If even a Jiva who has risen to be a Brahma, Visnu or Rudra cannot change it, much less can a poor Jiva on whose powers there are so many limitations besides those on any of the three gods. These limitations operate only as regards the acquisition of this or that power on the physical or metaphysical plane because the ambition to be the proud possessor of the power of a god or a godling does not differ from that to be a proud emperor or a president of a republic or a prime minister of an empire or of a part of it or, for the matter of that, from that to be a high priest or a millionaire or even to be the husband of a beautiful and cultured wife. In the matter of self-knowledge and self-realisation they do not because the path for them lies in quite the opposite direction and all the kit that one is likely to stand in need of, while proceeding along it, is of such a nature that one can acquire it without coming into conflict with any other Jiva, high or low, and Nivati, the Divine on the Supreme Power will always be there to help one cross the hurdles that lie along the path, provided one has a strong will and a capacity to make the requisite sacrifices, and makes a persistent effort for the realisation of that highest ideal.

SOME FORGOTTEN SANSKRIT POETS OF KARNATAKA

BY

DASHARATHA SHARMA

The object of this short note is to correct a few mistakes that are to be found in Mr Srikaniha Sastri's paper published under the above caption in the Silver Jubice Volume of the Annals 1

The first forgotten Karnātaka poet mentioned by Mr. Sastrī is one Amarānanda Actually he is none else than the celebrated Amaruka The verse "karīāsanasmishlut" is to be found on page 20 of the Amarukafataka of the Nirnayasāgara Press and has been commented on by the Paramāra ruler Arjunavarmamadeva (o.1211-1225 Å. D.)

According to Mr. Sastri, the Digambara scholar Kumudacandra was defeated by Henneandra. But if he consults either the Mudriakumudacandra of Yasascandra, a contemporary work, or the Prabhāvakacanta, he will find that the credit of having besten him belongs actually to Vadi-Devasiri

In some other cases, Mr. Sastri appears to assign to Karnāṭaka a number of poets who did not actually belong to it. But as he is himself far from sure on these points, it would perhaps be unnecessary to criticise his findings.

PIPPALIKĀ Rv

DASHARATHA SHARMA

In his paper on the Bhūpākuvallabhv published in the Silver Jubiles Volume of the Annals, 'Mr. S L. Katre identifies Plpaika of the Ma described by him with Plplia, a small Jāgir held by Khiel Cauhāns in the Upain district of the Gwalior State Actually, however, it is Plplya, a second class Jāgir in Mawar governed now, as in Samvat 1731, the year of the copying of the Ms, by Śaktawat Rāputs who, as noted by Mr. Katre also, trace their descent from Śaktasingh, a brother of Mabārāṇā Pratāpslingh. Bāghl Saktawat was one of the Sardars of Mahārāṇā Sangrāmasingh (V. 1773-1791), who appointed him as his Valai at the court of Chatrapati Shāhūji. As this Maratha monarch regarded himself as a descendant of the Mewar royal family, he, according to the Vanashbūskara, addressed Bāghli as kākā (uncle).

Annals, B. O R. I. Vol. XXIII, pp. 415-423. 2 tbid pp. 224-241.

Vamsabhāskara, pp. 3222-23, G. H. Ojha-History of Udaspur, II, p. 619.

KAVÍNDRAKALPALATĀ, A HINDĪ WORK BY KAVĪNDRĀCĀRYA SARASVATĪ BY

DASHARAHTA SHARMA

Some time back I received a complimentary copy of Srlyut P. K. Gode's learned paper on Kavindrācārya Sarasvati. With my interest in this eminent and practical ascetic arcused by this, I requested Sthityaratna Pandit Rāmaniwās Hārita of Srl Anūpa Samskrta Library, Bikaner, to look through the books under his charge, and was fortunate enough to learn from him of two Hindi works connected with this scholar, viz., the Kavindra-canditā, a collection of addresses in Hindi similar to those in Sanskrit, already published in the Kavindracandradaya, and the Kavindra-kalpadatā, a sulogistic work composed by Kavindrā-cīrva himself.

As the former of these compositions gives us the names of all the chief Benares Hindi poets of Shahashan's time, I have con tributed a note shout it to the Nõgari Pracārin Patrikā, the premier Hindi quarterly of Benares The other work, which forms the subject of this short Paper, begins with three Sanskrit verses of salutation to Vāṇi, Bhavāni, Śiva, and Ganeës; the stalement that Kavindra is the author of the Kalpadatkā, and the wish that it might be read by people to the end of our Kalpa. Then follow the following autobiographical details about the poet:—

"He, first, lived on the bank of the river Godavari, from whence he migrated to Benarea. He became a Samnyāsi before he was hardly out of his boyhood. He was a follower of the Aistallysas tākhā of the Ryseds and had composed innumerable books including commentaries on the Vedas He had ended the troubles of Frayāga and Kāši and composed these verses in vernacular, though it was somewhat below his dignity to do so."

The remaining portion of the work falls into the following parts:-

- (1) Kavittas in honour of Shahjahan.
- (2) Dhrupadas,
- (3) Visnupadas 10 in number.
- (4) Verses devoted to Tattvaffana (the problem of truth).
- (5) Kavittas in honour of Darashah.
- (6) " " " Begam Sahab.
- 10 | Aunals, B. O. R. I.]

- (7) Miscellaneous (nānāmsayaka) verses.
- (8) A few more verses in honour of Darashah.
- A few more verses in nonour of Darashar
 Kandtas in honour of Prince Murad.

The amount of historical information in the book is not, unfortunately, however, very great. From the first two sections, we at the nost learn that Shhiphahn conquered parts of the Deccan, reduced the Bipapuris to submission, forced the ruler of Golkundis to boby his authority, carried devastation to Bokhāra and Khorāsān, captured Kandhār, and founded the magnificant city of Shhiphahanbād. The 23rd Dhrapwin describes the Empero's throne, most probably the celebrated Takhār-Tūnas The philosophic verses describe the chief Indian schools of thought, repeally the Vedanta. They were probably meant for the unstruction of Prince Dārā Shikoli (Dārāshāh of our Ma.) who is known to have been interested in the Upanisahlu way of thinking. Kavhūdrācārya calis him Wah Ahad (her:-apparent) and credits him with the knowledge of all the categories of the Tarkatāra' (Logic and Atomism).

The Begam Sätab of section 6 looks Jalanara, for the poet prays that she might live for ever with the affection of her father (obviously Shalipahan) and her brother (Dark Shihol) Muradi as evidently the fourth son of Shalipahan. His being selected for praise in preference to Shuja and Aurangzeh, respectively the second and third sons of the Euperor, perhaps indicates that he was either very generous or less disliked at the court than his two other bothers left unnoticed and unsung by Kaylordscayra.

In the miscellaneous portion, we find the name of one Sayyad Hayat Khān, probably one of Shāhjahān's commanders and affriend of Dars Students of Mughal History should try to trace his name either in the Badshāhnāmā or some other source for Shāhjahān's life.

As regards the composition of the book, it might, reasonably, be put somewhere between 1638 and 1657 A. D. One of the limits is fixed by the events described therein and the other by the beginning of the War of Succession and the consequent cumity between DSrA and Murad

" कीन कहावन मन दारथ, कीन नवहुम्य, कीन पासीस गुन कीन पास कमें कीन सामान्य विशेष, कीन समयाय कीन कीन चनुर अमाब कीन आतमध्ये कीन शिक्षिक कार कीन एकादश दिवा कीन समस्य कीन कहिये रस द्विषित धर्म इनके सब मेद आनत "

(Kavitta 12)

THE SHEET ANCHOR OF INDIAN HISTORY,

A CRITICISM

Βv

Dasharatha Sharms

In a paper contributed to the Silver Jubilee Volume of the Annals, Dr. D S. Triveda has tried to disprove the indentity of Sandrocottus of the Greek writers and Candragupta Maurya, saying that this so-called sheet anchor of Indian History is "the greatest mistake ever committed in the field of Indian chronology, literature and history". I These are rather hard words to use So let us see what his arguments are for going against the considered judgment of other scholars, even though we might not be disposed to think much of the thesis of a writer who remarks that the Asokan inscriptions should, in spite of their different script, language and subject-matter, be ascribed to Candragupta II of the Guida dynasty.

We generally identify Xandrames or Agrammes, the ruler of Magadha at the time of Alexander's invasion, with Nanda Augrasenya, because the story of his father's rise and low birth given by Curtius agrees with that of the first Nanda in the Mahabadhwamia, the Jaina Parisishaparum and the Puranas. Dr. Triveda however desires that we should regard him as Samudragupta He believes that it is the story of Samudragupta father Candragupta I of the Gupta dynasty that is told in the following words of the Greek writer referred to above —

"His (Agrammes') father was in tact a barber, scarcely staving off bunger by his daily earnings, but who, from his not being uncomely in person, had gained the affections of the queen, and was by her influence advanced to too near a place in the confidence of the reigning sovereign. Afterwards, however, he treacherously murdered the sovereign and then, under the pretence of acting as guardian to the royal childern, usurped the

¹ p. 584.

supreme authority, and having put the young princes to death begot the present king ". 1

To get at the equation, Xandrames = Samudragupta, he has, however, to make the following suppositions:-

- 1 Candragupta I of the Gupta dynasty murdered Candraśri, strangely enough identified here with Xandrames even though the latter has been already equated with Samudragupta. This Candraśri is said to have been the penultimate ruler of the Andhra dynasty
- 2 Candragupta I begot of Candraéri's widowed queen a son named Pulomā
- 3 Candragupta I murdered this child of his own a few years later and put himself on the throne.
 - 4 Candrasri = Sundaravarman of the Kaumudimahotsava.
 - 5 Pulomā = Kalyānavarman of the same drama.

One has just to look at this formidable list of suppositions to have some idea of the way Dr Triveda has manufactured history to support his pet theory. Actually, he has not a shred of reliable evidence to go by. So he just imagines certain things to have happened and regards them as equally sound arguments in his favour Not a single Purona says or even hints that Puloma was an illegitimate child and his father was murdered by Candragupta I. So he just throws the Puranas over-board and presses the poor Kaumudimaholasava into service. But where does this drama aver that Kalyanavarman's father was an Andhra or was named Candrasri? He is actually named Sundaravarman and was the ruler of Pataliputfa. And then what makes him equate Kalyanavarman with Puloma? Does the drama say anywhere that he was, like Dr. Triveda's supposedly illegitimate Pulomā, begotten on Sundaravarman's wife by Candasena 9

We do not think that Dr. Triveds has any good replies to these queries, and will, therefore, assume, for the time being at least, that the old equation Agrammes = (Nanda) Augrasenya stands. According to Greek accounts, the man who displaced Agrammes on the throne of Pataliputra was Sandrocottos. Indian

¹ McOrindie, The invasion of India by Alexander, p. 222,

accounts give this credit of deposing Nanda (already equated with Agrammes) to Candragupta Maurya. So Candragupta Maurya and Sandrocottus must naturally be regarded as the names of one and the same person.

Equally fallacious are his following arguments against the equation, Candragupta Maurya = Sandrocottus:--

- 1 Candragupta Maurya cannot be the man meant by Justin's remark that "Sandrooottus was born of humble life," because Mauryas are known to have been a famous Ksatriya clan.
- 2 The Greek accounts of \$27 B C do not contain any reference to Buddhism This shows that they were written after the Buddhist Mauryan dynasty had ended and the Bhāgavata Gupta rulers had becun ruling India.

The first of the above arguments does not mean much, because Candragupta might have actually occupied a very humble station in life before his elevation to the throne of Pataliputra. even though he was the member of a well-known caste and family. High and noble parentage and wealth and influence do not always go together. As regards the absence of references to Buddhism, does not Megasthenes speak of the gramanus and their deity, the Boutta? Dr Triveda's attempt to explain these away by regarding Gramanas as Vanaprasthas and Boutta as Bhautikas is, to say the least, extremely unconvincing, for, we, at least, do not know of any phonetic law by which the equation between Boutta and Buddha might be disallowed and that suggested by Dr. Triveds permitted? Does he really regard Bhautika as phonetically nearer to Boutta than the word Buddha suggested by other historians? Or is it like many other of his arguments, just a supposition to bolster up an otherwise indefensible position? Further what is there to show that Buddhism had disappeared from the land of its birth as early as 327 B. C.? Does not such a supposition show the learned Doctor's ignorance, or at least supreme neglect, of the accounts of the Chinese travellers Fahien and Hieun Tsang and the numerous Buddhist donations going up to the 12th century of the Christian era?

Other arguments used by Dr. Triveda to prove that Sandrocottus was not a Maurya are:-

- 1 Absence of any allusion to a foreign invasion at the time of the fall of the Nanda dynasty and reference on the other hand to a Mieccha incursion at the close of the Andbra rule.
- 2 Absence of references to the saff system in the Arthaéastra even though it is referred to by Megasthenes.
- 3 Reference by a Greek writer to the Andhras as a very strong power
- 4 Megasthenes statement that the king, in addition to his family, must have the surname Palibothra, a word which the learned Doctor appears to equate either with Gupta or Aditya.

But are not these arguments too as fallacious as those already noted above? The Nanda dynasty was not ended by any Greek invasion. So why should he expect to find a reference to it in the Purawas? And as regards the Miecchas in India at the time of the ending of the Andhra dynasty, does he not have enough of them in the Sakas, Kushanas and Parthians etc. Further the verse that he quotes in favour of his view, without naming its source, does not say that the Mlecchas ended the Andhra kingdom? All that it actually states is that many descendants of the Mleochas would be invading India in the time of the Andhras, and this we know to be a fact well attested to by history. The Sakas reached India in this period and so did some other foreign races also. So why should Dr. Triveda suppose that the forces referred to are those of Alexander? Perhaps he has to do so because of his supposition that the Andhra dynasty ended in 327 B. C., even though such a hypothesis would be against the well attested contemporariety of a Satakarni ruler with Rudradaman of the Girnar inscription (Saka vear 72 = 150 A. D.) and our knowledge from Chinese and other sources that the Sakas reached India much later than 327 B. C. 1

Nor does the absence of any reference to the sati system prove that the Greeks did- not reach India in the Mauryan Period, because not only is silence not a good basis for basing sound

In or about 165 B.C the Yuschi were defeated and expelled from their country by Hiung-nu The Yuschi in their turn attacked the Sakas in the plans of the Syr Darys and compelled them to more southwards and enter Indian territories. The date of this event would insturally be not earlier than 150 B.C.

theories but also because we find it referred to in the Muhā-bhārata, the Rāmāyana and the Purānas too, the composition of all of which should according to a Pandita like Dr. Triveda, be referred to a pre-Greek period. One has just to read the discussions between Mādri and Kunti, and these widowed queens and the rest to see that anumarana was a well-established Aryan custom of the Period and is actually pre-Mauryan even though we might not find any reference to it in the Arthašāstra.

Dr Triveds regards the mention of Andhras too as a strong power by the Greeks as a point in his favour. Actually, however, it is something against him. From his point of view there should not be any Andhra power at all after 327 B.C., the year in which their rule is, according to Dr. Triveds, believed to have ended. Nevertheless if he finds a strong Andhra power after 327 B.C., should it not suggest to him that his hypothesis is radically wrong somewhere and needs a thorough over-hauling?

Equally fallacious is his argument from the statement of Megasthenes that the king in addition to his family name should have the surname Pakhothra. This word is known to be the equivalent of Pstaliputra from the account of Megasthenes hinself. So all that it possibly means, (though I should not be dogmatic on the point), is that the Mauryan rulers were, known also as Poluhputrupus after their capital city. It is perhaps better to explain it in this manner than to equate it with Gupta or Aditya, the two words proposed by Dr. Triveds.

To sum up, we might say that Dr. Triveda has, throughout his paper, tried to disprove the equation Sandrocottus-Candragupta Maurya by putting forward arguments to prove that the Greeks could not have reached India in the Mauryan Period. If his reasoning be regarded as valid, we should naturally be

ücuk Kuntin ca Madrim ca bhartranumaranan sättham phalavannatra samiayah yuväöhyäm dukkaram castad vadant: devjupungaväh (183 (1 Advarva, Chapter CXV (P. P. S. Sastn's edition).

obliged to equate Sandrocottus of their accounts not with Candragupta Maurya but some other Candragupta, even with Candragupta I of the Gupta dynasty, if it might be proved at the same time that the Gupta dynasty began ruling in 327 B. C. Dr. Triveda finds the well-established equation, Agrammes = Augrassenya (Nanda) One of the greatest stumbling blocks in the way of his new hypothesis. So he attacks that too with all the force he can, equating Xandrames or Agrammes with Samudragupta, the second ruler of the Gupta dynasty. We, however, regard Dr. Triveda's attempt at dislodging this old sheet anchor of Indian History, the equation Sandrocottus = Candracupta Mauryas, failure because, as show a above:

- 1 He cannot disprove the equation Agrammes = Augrasenya Nanda without getting involved into a number of palpably about suppositions.
- None of his arguments can put Alexander's invasion after the Mauryan Period.
- 3 His view that the Andhra dynasty ended in 327 B. C. goes against the well-known fact of their rivalry with the Sakas who are known to have entered India not earlier than 150 B C. or so.

Here we might further add that coins, inscriptions, as well as literary sources' prove that the Gupta dynasty was founded on the ruins of the Saka and Kushana empires, both of which naturally flourished after 150 B. C, the earliest year proposed for the entry of the Sakas nuto India ² Dr. Triveda's attempt to make Candragupta I of the Gupta dynasty a contemporary of Alexander appears rather surprising after so many years of patient Indian research, though it is certainly no impossible fast for one who can ascribe the Asokan inscriptions to Candra gupta II. Dr. Triveds accuses other historians of "working under preconception and trying to modify or after the readings to suit their identification." But might not the same charge be levelled against him with equal or even greater justification?

Of literary sources, Dr Triveda might be referred to the Puranus.
See note 3

REVIEWS

MARATHA HISTORY (Re-examined) 1295-1707—by Prof. S. R. Sharma, M.A., Fergusson College, Poona.

Prof. S. R. Sharma, the author of the popular volumes on Mughal History, has now brought out the first volume of his Maratha History. As the title indicates the principal aim of the author is a re-examination of the history of the Maratha nation. In spite of the histories of Sprengel and Scott Waning written before him and that of Kincaid and Parasnis after him, the history of the Marathas by the celebrated Grant Duff has not been replaced as yet

The first intelligent criticism of Grant Duff's history came from a young Deccan Collegian, the late Mr. N. J. Kirtane, Since, then a steadily growing movement is at work to ransack old document and to study, edit and publish them. The great Rajwade has made his name immortal in this field. His conceptions of history and historiography were very large and comprehensive. History to him was not merely the story of the political achievements of the people but must deal with both aspects-the material and moral aspects, including, the economic, the literary, and cultural, the mulitary, and the religious. Since this lead from Rajwale, a number of scholars and associations have engaged themselves successfully in unearthing a large mass of original material bearing on the life and achievements of the Maratha country and its peoples. This work of research is still going on apace but those engaged in it have hardly shown any inclination to produce any histories. Fortunately, however, Rao Bahadur Govind Sakharam Sardesai undertook some fifty years ago, to devote his whole life to the writing of a new history of the Marathas in the Marathi language and his Rivasat bears testimony to his great industry and devotion. An English version of the Riyasat is, it is learnt, under preparation.

The chief aim of Rao Bahadur Sardesai is to supply a reliable narrative of the political history of the Marathas. He has, therefore, naturally entered into details of all important events occurring in Maratha history. He has not applied himself more intently to the task of interpreting Maratha history, so far. Besides, this Riyasat has been written in the Marathi language. Henne, for those who do not understand the Marathi language there is no book which is based on a careful study of all the extant sources and materials Prof S R. Sharma aspires to do this much-needed service to students of history "I have" he says "looked at the pattern as a whole without inspecting the parts too closely" and in doing so "I have tried to be artistic without being unscientific, sympathetic without being uncritical and simple without being unbitstorical".

This is just the first volume of Prof. Sharma's reexamination of Maratha history. The whole picture is not yet complete and we should not therefore be justified in expressing our opinion fully and finally till we have the finished product before us. As it is in the first part the author has reviewed the course of the history of the Marathas since the fall of Devagiri till the reassertion of Maratha power at the leath of Emperor Aurangzeb, a period covering well-night four centuries.

This is indeed by far the most glorious and glowing period of the history of the Marhatas The ancient Maratha dynasty of the Yadavas of Devgiri was supersoded first by the Khillis, followed by the Tughlaks and its place was occupied by the Bahmani dynasty, which after a career of about a century and half, was split up into the Panchsahis or five independent states. It was during the latter period that the Marathas, vanquished at Devgiri, once more re-established their supremacy. This last phenomenon was the Maratha Empire, which fell a prey to the power of the British.

The author has divided his book into ten chapters. The titles thereof will at once show that the author has painted a full ploture of the birth, growth and schievement of the Marstha nation. He has attempted to fit the parts into the whole and in their proper places. He wields a powerful pen and shows himself to be a consummate artist who combines skill with proportion.

In the first chapter he depicts the Back-ground of his story. The Marathas of Shivaji and Bajirao are better known than their ancient progenitors, the Marathas of the Yadava period. The Reviews 163

Yadava Empire of the pre-Muslim days was no doubt a Maratha Empire. Hence all historians of Maharashtra have necessarily referred to it. Grant Duff and Kineaid speak of the Devgiri Yadayas But it was reserved for the ganius of Ranade to understand its significance better than others. Prof. Sharma has therefore rightly devoted his opening chapter to the destruction of the Yadaya Kingdom by the Khiliis of Delhi. In fact the chapter entitled 'the Back-ground' is an illuminating attempt to explain the downfall of the Yadava power. Unfortunately Prof Sharma had to rely mainly on Muhammadan sources, as very few non-muslim sources have yet been discovered. The reasons commonly attributed to the sudden collapse of Raja Ramdeo Rao of Devgiri are absolutely unconvincing! How could a wealthy kingdom be orippled in a single battle! One battle of Devgiri or one battle of Rakshastagadi could never afford a rational explanation of the destruction of vast and wealthy kingdoms. It often constitutes the best visible symbol of a long series of causes working in the past. The true explanation, therefore, must be sought for somewhat deeper by peeping into the social, economic and cultural aspects, which condition the whole life of a people and go to shape its character Perhans, a minute and patient analysis of the literary evidence available of the Yadava period may go to help us towards supplying a solution. This much-needed research has not yet been carried out. In fact, our historians have not directed their attention towards it seriously as yet. The Hindus collapsed miserably before the onslaught of the Muhammadans, both in the north and south. Could we not find a satisfactory explanation of the phenomenon in the general organisation and make up of the Hindu society? After thus assessing this factor or general cause we may take into consideration any particular causes. Granted that Raia Ramdeo was incapable and inefficient, why should Raghu, Harpaldev, Shankardeo and the two 'tigresses' have failed at the cost of their lives? In spite of sects and in spite of castes, the Hindu society, has outlived these thousands of years It has often had to bend but it has never broken completely We must study its history both as that of a whole as well as also of its constituent limbs or parts. The 164

Yadava period must be restudied in detail in this way. However, Prof Sharma deserves credit for putting in one compass the salient points concerning the fall of the Yadavas and surplying such explanation and interpretation as could be worked out with the limited sources available. Prof. Sharma touches the

subject again in the last chapter also.

After depicting 'The Back-ground' Prof. Sharma details the succeeding period as that of Tutelage. During this period the Marathas had to go through a long period of disolpline both in the arts of peace and war. The civil department of Government remained in their hands and their help became necessary in the military campagns of the Mahammadans. The common traditions of Hasan Gangu being originally the slave of a Brahmin, called Gangu, perhaps our Gangaraspant as a fragmentary bakhar alluded to by Rapawade in the Proceedings of the B I. S Mandal says, is not referred to by Prof. Sharma. The occasional references in old traditional accounts of many a Maratha Kshatriya family to Kalis (black) Khoya and Gord (white) Khoya may well be interpreted in terms of the conflict between the Deshi and Parkeith parties at the Mahammadan counts of the Decement

and Pardeshi parties at the Muhammadan courts of the Deccan. During the period of tutelage, not only the pen and the sword but the soul of the Maratha people was revived through the rise of the saints. What is known as the Pandharpur movement no doubt went a great way in recreating faith and recapturing the lost soul of the people. A new and healthy turn was given to this noblest of democracies, the democrary of the Bhaktas (W B Patwardhan) by the dynamic personality of Ramdas who preached his philosophy of action and spread a net work of his disciples, twelve hundred in number, throughout the land The 'Maharashtra Dharma' was not merely a religious phase. It had a deeper social and political meaning and its chief exponent was Saint Ramdas. This Maharashtra Dharma was not the

had a deeper social and political meaning and its chief exponent was Saint Ramdsa. This Maharashtra Dharma was not the direct outcome of the Paudhappur movement. These cultural and social forces, strengthened by the assertive efforts of the Dafles, the Nimbalkars, the Shirkes, the Bhosales, the Manes and numerous others, especially in the mountain fisstnesses of the Maval territories, eventually led to the rise of a mighty national power—the Maratha Swarsya. All this makes one of the most fascinating and inspring stories in the history of India, perhaps

Reviews 165

of the world and Prof. Sharma has drawn a vivid picture of the same with necessary warmth and vigour.

The part played by Shahaji, the celebrated father of Shiyaji, has been clearly brought out by the writer as the work of a great Pioneer of Maratha Swaraiva However, Prof. Sharma would have done well to have emphasised that the Dappatra of Sambhail alludes to Shahail as the defender of Haindaya (Hindu) Dharma and that he had appointed separate officers like the Peshwa, Mujumdar for the twelve year old son, Shivaji, whom he sent away to his Poons jagir, out of which some thirty six villages were given as Mokasa to the latter. The significant seal of Shivan found to have been used at least as early as 1645 A. D. reveals in unmistakable terms the noble aims and the firm faith and hope of the militant Maratha Swarajya party of the period. It is a matter for satisfaction for us that Prof Sharma has boldly come forward to reaffirm the thesis of that great seer Ranade in this book and enlarge and expand it with such corrections, additions and modifications as became necessary on account of the very large amount of new sources that have accumulated during the period of nearly half a century since then.

Prof. Sharms in his later chapters treats of the rise of Sivaji and the foundation of Swarsys, the unique declaration of an independent sovereign Hindu Chharspati, the crisis that overtook the newly founded Swarsys after the death of Shivaji, the wonderful and stout defence that the newly created Maratha nation offered to the haughty and indomitable Alamgir, who was exhausted and at last expired in the attempt, and the final reassertion of the Maratha Power in Maharsabitra In the course of his argument Prof. Sharma had naturally to challenge the conclusions and in some places even the facts, of older veterans but he has not faltered in this work and given a good account of his mettle.

The last chapter of Prof. Sharma's review of Maratha History sums up 'the Achievement' of the Marathas. He characterises the Maratha resorgiment as a unique phenomenon in Indian History. "They had created a New State and a New order superior to any that had hitherto existed in Hindu India". This view may be accepted as correct so far as we limit ourselves to Hindu India since the rise of the Muslims in this continent. "The triumph of the Marathas" during this period "was the triumph of a people, a nation rather than that of a few men of genius" "Neither the Rajputs nor the Sikhs" nor even the Vipayanagar Empire, "could ever rise to the great eminence reached by the Marathas, " and the hidden sources" of the Maratha Power "lay in the character of the people and their country". This is indeed a warm, yet just tribute that the author pays to this masterful people

"A man of superb genius" Shivaji, the deliverer of the enslaved Marathas, must, in fact, be considered "a creature of Maharashtra". "Sivaji" the author further says "for us is neither saint nor sinner but just human, impelled by human motives, to achieve human ends in a human world" (p. 126). It is difficult to reconcile this view of the author with an earlier statement of his on pp 113 & 114 where he speaks of 'Destiny' and 'Divinity' and avers. "If Hindu civilisation was to survive, a new avatar was needed. He appeared in the person of Sivaji". We believe the former view to be more sound, which attributes more to the people and their character than to individuals, however great, or may be-avatars.

We agree with the dictum of the author when he says, "when the authenticity of each fact is ascertained and established beyond doubt or the evidence is verified the verdict may not be shirked" (p. 125). We are therefore, entitled to ask him whether the treaty between Sivan and his vounger brother Vyankoji quoted by the author pp. 197-199 is a genuine fact or merely an inference. The Shiv Digvinava Bakhar may contain some very valuable truths yet we can not ascribe to the letters and documents mentioned therein the same status of validity and treat them on a par with original documents, whose reliability could be severely tested and established beyond the shadow of doubt. None of the letters quoted in the Shiv Digvijaya appear to be genuine. The so called treaty referred to by our author is obviously a product of the fertile imagination of the chronicler who is seen to be fond of the display of his knowledge! To quote such an imaginary docuReviews 167

ment, not only this, but to ascribe to it the status of 'the Political Testament' of Sivail is going too far indeed!

On page 181 our author similarly refers to another document which "if authentic" should in his opinion "be considered as the Magna Carta of Maratha Swarajya" On page 273 he refers to it again as 'the great charter of civil rights." He quotes the document on page 321. The document has been published by Mr. K. S. Thackerey. But he gives no reference to its origin. On a careful examination and close comparison with genuine documents of Shivaii in original it would not take us long to convince ourselves that the document in question is not genuine The language used is unlike the language used in the other genuine documents of Shivaii Compare for instance such words occurring in the document as हिंदमहाराष्ट्राच - यहस्थान -ज्ञातीबाले or परंत लिहिएयाचे कारण की &c. The Govt. is here referred to as सरकार which is not found in any official letters of Sivail. Then the ending सर्वादेष is not enclosed in the usual form. Shivan is referred to as भी राजा सिवाजी छत्रपती the correct way being की राजा सिव छत्रपती The seal at the top as printed in the document under examination here gives four lines instead of the five found in the genuine seals of Sivaji. Besides, the date as given in the document, corresponds to 28th Jan. 1677. Now Sarkar states that Sivan started on his long term Carnatak campaign at the beginning of Jan 1677, (Sarkar's Sivaji p 289). But we are inclined to believe that Sivail started on this campaign earlier when Mr Muloverer arrived from Raigry' as reported in a letter dated 2nd Oct. 1676 and supported by Vatanpatra p. 47. Sivaji had planned a long absence and hence if at all he had wished to issue a proclamation of civil rights he should have done so not at the end of Jan. 1677, when he was already on the march but much earlier. Enough has thus been said, we believe, to prove how the document can not be genuine Further, the document merely confirms the different communities in the proper enjoyment of their religious practices and customs in spite of where they might have been suppressed. It is too much to describe it as Magna Carta or a proclamation of civil rights.

We are fully conscious of the fact that such issues and discussions as we have raised above can not be allowed to detain us longer here. And yet we feel it quite necessary to invite the attention of scholars both in Maharashtra and outside to study very closely and at first hand the voluminous mass of documents. literary, political, economic, judicial and others, before they build up their conclusions and present the history of the Marathas. We will not otherwise ever succeed in doing proper justice to the subject. This is no light task we know. The scattered materials have not yet been systematically and scientifically analysed and studied in a thorough manner. That this work is beyond the scope of an individual, however gifted, needs no proving. We, however, regret to notice that scholars who are unable to read the Marathi documents in the Modi script have had the temerity to declare to a world, unfortunately ignorant of the language and script, that they have thoroughly studied the Marathi materials and have passed categorical opinions going to the length of poolspooling the 'discoveries' and 'unearthing' of documents constantly going shead in Maharashtra and declaring that those discoveries contain 'forgeries'. We would here warn Indian scholars against such thorough and ignorant condemnation of documents by men who are themselves unable to read even the Modi Script and are consequently not competent to pass such excathedra judgments. We feel confident that in the end the value and authenticity of the large mass of Marathi materials would be thoroughly proved and accented. In the end, we welcome scholars like Prof. Sharms and their efforts to elucidate the history of the Marathas

D. V. Potdar

ICONOGRAPHY OF SRI VIDYARNAVATANTRA By Prof. S. Srikaniha Sastri, M.A., (copies can be had of R. Harirao, Curator Mythic Society, Cenotaph Road, Baugalore City), pp. 46. Price Re. 1 or 2 Shillings

In spite of the few Volumes on Indian Iconography containing both textual and critical matter, the need for further studies especially of the critical variety incorporating the Iconographical data collected from numerous sources still remains. In this connection the Iconographic data contained in the numerous Tantric works both published and unpublished is extremely valuable. The tantric worship necessarily presupposes description of images of Gods and Goddesses and consequently our Tantric texts which describe these Gods and Goddesses with minute details are a rich mine of information bearing on the history and development of Indian Iconography. We are therefore glad to find Prof. Śrikantha Śastri analysing the Viduarnovatantra published by the Govt, of Kashmir (1932-1937) and presenting its iconographic data in a clear-cut form for the benefit of the students of Indian Iconography. His method is worth being followed by other scholars with regard to numerous other Tantric texts so that in course of time it may be possible for subsequent scholars to compile a Dictionary of Indian Iconography in which the Iconography of every image known to Indian Literature, whether Jain, Buddhist or Brahmanical, is portrayed in brief In this manner alone the Science of Indian Iconography can be given a proper historical perspective worth the name. The contribution of Indian Iconography to Indian art in general can only be exactly ascertained on the strength of scientifically collected material recorded in the form of a Dictionary. In his present brochure Prof. Sastri has given us the Iconographic material under the groups of images named severally as the Śākta, Śana, Vanspava, Saura, Kaumūra, Ganapatya etc. The essential characteristics of these groups have also been pointed out by him This grouping will be found useful not only by the students of Indian Iconography but also by the students of Hindu religion who care to know its iconographic aspect.

According to Prof. Sastri, the present work was composed between A. D. 1520 and 1720, a period when the tantras appear 22 [Annals, B. O. R. I.] to have been radiating their iconographic lustre as will be seen from the present analysis of the Viduārnauz Tantra If we analyse some of the celebrated Tantras composed during a definite period of history, we shall get a very clear idea of the development of Indian Iconography of that period. We trust therefore that Prof. Sastri himself will analyse at least a few major tantras pertaining to the different periods of history so as to make his study representative of the entire field of Indian Iconography.

The regional aspect of Indian Iconography which still remains sbrouded in mystery also deserves our special attention in view of the present interest of the Indian public in the history of different regions of India in all its aspects.

We, therefore, congratulate Prof. Sastri on his present critical study which is as pains-taking and scholarly as his previous studies in other fields of Indology.

P. K. Gode

SANGĪTA RATNĀKARA Translation by Dr. C. Kunhan Raja, (Adyar Library Series).

In the past, the Anandasram-edition of the Sangta Ratnakara was the only well-known edition which contained the full text and also the commentary of Kallinath The present edition contains the commentary of Simhabhūpāla in addition to the text and the commentary of Kallinath. Further, the Adyar series has done a good service to the public in simultaneously issuing an English translation of both the text and the two commentaries, along with critical notes explaining the subtle terms and ideas involved, from the pen of no less a person than Dr. C. Kunhan Raia.

Only a working knowledge of Sanskrit is not enough to understand the exact meaning of the text and to interpret it in the terms of modern musical practices. One and the same Sanskrit term is used often in different contexts, 'Mandra and Tara' as explained by Dr. Raja, is an instance in view. It was a pit-fall to many in the past, and it could now be avoided, with the help of an authoritative version of the text like the present one.

Reviews 171

In translating the text, the needs of those who want to follow the sanskrit text in its original form and syntax are given a preference to those of a casually interested reader. Such a procedure needs verbal fidelity and a close adherence to the Sanskrit syntax and the retention of the original technical terms, instead of using their corresponding English synonyms. Over and above the translation, Dr. Raja has elucidated many intricate points that crop up from time to time, by appending hie own comments.

There are a few misprints which can be easily corrected by a habitual reader; one or two however need special correction. Thus in the last line of verse 48 on page 60 of the translation, the word interval should have been avoided as it is a technical term in the music of today and conveys the idea of a 'ratio' and not of the śruti-distance. Under verse 50 page 60, 'Ni and Dha have two śrutia'; here it is Ga and not Dha which has two śrutis 'Similarly lines II and 12 on page 60 should read' thus it is that Ri and Dha on one side, in the Ga and Ni respectively on the other, are discondant. The present sentence leaves ground for misapprehension.

Similarly, Sanskrit terms should be printed in one and the same way, either with capitals or with small letters and the sequence of the original terms and their English synonyms should not be changed on and off, at least in one and the same sentence.

Sobolars of Indian music distinctly stand to gain from such a translation as it will enable them to interpret correctly the meaning and significance of many terms and passages, which in the past have suffered misrepresentation and distortion at the hands of many interpreters,—interpreters who knew music but not enough Sanskrit and vice versa.

In the end, I would suggest that when the whole of the translation is issued it should be supplemented by a key to the identification of the Ragas as given in the Rataskara, with the Ragas of to-day. If a clue to such a key remains undiscovered I am afraid, the music of the Rataskara will remain a sealed book as ever.

BHARATIYA MANASASASTRA-I ARIBHASA Indian

Psychological Terminology, by Professor D. D. Vadekar;
pages 402; Bombay Book Depol, Bombay, price Rs. 10.

It is very encouraging undeed to find that educationists in this country are now realising that the best medium of imparting education-even higher education-is the student's own language Vigorous efforts are therefore being made, at several centres of learning, to introduce Indian languages as media of instruction Apart from the unquestioned educational value of such a course, it has a high moral value as well-particularly in a country, like India, which has laboured long under foreign domination. A century of education through the medium of English has created among generations of Indian students an inferiority complex with regard to their own languages and consequently with regard to their past culture and future potentialities Every effort therefore in the direction of facilitating the imparting of education-particularly higher scientific and technical education-through the medium of Indian languages is to be welcomed whole-heartedly. Indian Psycho logical Terminology by Prof D D. Vadekar is one such brilliant effort On account of the multiplicity of principal languages current in this vast country, the problem of a common medium in all centres of learning has become very much complicated. Prof. Vadekar is quite conscious of this. He has therefore tried to evolve, on scientific lines, "Plan and Principles," which would make his terminology suitable for "a basic, common and interprovincial use in the exposition of psychological subjects in all modern Indian languages of established Sanskritic affinities and associations". Prof. Vadekar's work is not an ordinary dictionary. He gives the exact scientific connotation of a technical term and not merely its literal rendering in Indian language. For one single term he has suggested several equivalents, thus allowing a wide scope for selection. Two main requisites of a New Terminology are that it has to be significantanvarilaka-and that it has to be simple and easy of currency. Prof. Vadekar's work is quite creditable from this point of view. He has tried to give equivalents which are not unnecessarily pedantic. In most cases they are quite felicitous. But the real test of such terminology lies in its actual use in scientific works written in Indian languages. It is to be earnestly hoped that scholars will utilise Prof. Vadekar's valuable terminology in large measure, will enrich the scientific literature of India and will thus make it accessible to a larger public.

Prof. Vadekar has planned a whole Indian Philosophical Termmology, the present Psychological work being its first part. He is rendering great service to Philosophy and Indian Languages alike through his work, and deserves the best thanks of all students and teachers of both the subjects.

-R. N. D.

BOOKS RECEIVED

Anwar Nāma of Abjadī, by Muhammad Husayn Mahvi, University of Madras, 1944

Atharva-Veda-Samhitā, Translated into Tamil, by M. R. Jambunathan, Madras.

Bālabhāratam of Agastya Pandita, with the commentary Manoharā, of Sālva Timmaya Dandanātha, "Part 1, cautos 1-III, by P P. S Sastri, Shri Vani Vilas Press, Srirangam, 1939

Bulletin of the Madras Government Museum, Iravas and Culture, change by A. Aiyanpan, Madras, 1945.

The Calendar Supplement for 1945, University of Calcutta, 1945.

Debates Commentary, by B. C. Law, Pali Text Society, London, 1940

Dhurtākhyāna of Haribhadra Sūri, by Dr A. N Upadhye, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, 1944.

Digvijaya Mahākāya, by Pandit Ambalala Premachandra Shab, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, 1945

Our Educational Effort, Fergusson College through Sixty years, by Prof. S. R. Sharma, Deccan Education Society Poona, 1945. Education in India Today by Prof. P. M. Limaye, Aryabhushana Press, Poona, 1945 Jagadyhayacchanday of

Kavindrācārya, by Dr. C Kunhan Raja, Anup Sanskrit Libiary, Bikaper, 1945.

Jayapura Raja Vamsyavali, A History of the Solar Dynasty of Jeypore-Orissa, by Pundit Ramanatha Nanda Sarma, Jeypore Samsthanam, Madras,

The Theory of Karma, by

M G Merchant, Bhavanagar.
Kenopanisad-Bhāsya, by Sri
Rangaramanua, edited by
K C. Varadacharı and D. T.
Tatacharya Sri Venkatesevara
Orienital Series, Trupati, 1945.
B C. Law Volume, Part I, Edited
by Dr. D. R Bhaudarkar etc.
The Indian Research Institute,
Calcutta, 1943
Highways and Byways of

Literary Criticism in Sanskrit, by Mm. Prof S Kuppuswami Sastri, Kuppuswami Sastri Research Institute, Madras, 1945

The following six works-

- (1) मधुविद्या यागदर्गण
- (2) भीजयन्तीपारणकालप्रकाशिका
- (3) श्रीजयन्तीपारणासमाप्ति
- (4) समस्यमानसुक्त्यर्थनिष्कर्षः (5) हस्तीज्ञवैभवन
- (6) ভ্ৰমান্ত্ৰিয় bound together, by Sri Ranga Ramanujaswami Kumbhakonam

- Maharshi and his Message, by Paul Brunton, Madras.
- Maha Yoga by Who, Sri Ramanasrama, Tiruvannamala
- A Message of Peace to a World full of Unrest, delivered by Sri Swami Tulsiramji Mabaraj Bikaner.
- Mudrārāksasapūrvasamkathānaka of Anantasarman, by Dasharatha Sharma, Anup Sanskrit Library, Bikaner, 1945
- Nandapur (A Forsaken Kingdom)
 Part I, by Kumar Bidyadhan
 Singh Deo, Jeypore, 1938.
- Nayamañjari, by Śrimad Appayadiksita, edited by P. S. Sastri Shri Vani Vilas Press, Srirangam, 1941.
- Parmar Inscription, in Dhar State, 875-1310 A.D., Anand Sagar Press Darbar, Dhar.
- पाडशोधनं, 🐞 Sri Rangaramanujaswami, Tirupati, 1944.
- La Pensèe De Ghazzāli, by Adrien-Maisonneuve, Paris VI. Persian Catalogue, by C V. Joshi, State Records Department. Baroda. 1945.
- Pirit Nula Le Fil De Pirit, by Marguerite la Fuente, Adrien-Maisonneuve, Paris VI. 1941.
- Prabuddha Bharata or Awakened India, Golden Jubilee Number, 1945, Swami Yogeshwarananda, Calcutta, 1945.

- Rāgavibodha of Somanātha, by Pandit S. Subrahmanya Sastri, Adyar Library, Adyar, 1945.
- Rajasthani Vira-Gita, Part I, Sadul Oriental Series, Volume I, Anup Sanskrit Library, Bikaner, 1945.
- Rāsalīlā Nāma Preksanaka, by Dr. V. Raghavan, 1945.
- Ristasamuccaya of Durgadeva, by Dr A.S Gopani, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, 1945. Sama Vedam, by M. R.
- Jambunatban, Madras Samayika Patha, by R. N. Shaha, Shri Vira Granthamālā, Sangali, 1945.
- Samdeśa Rāsaka of Abdul Rahaman, by Śri Jina Vijaya Muni, Bharatiya Vidya Bhayan, Bombay, 1945.
- Šatakatrayam, by Prof. D. D. Kosambi, Ānandāśrama, Poona, 1945.
- Sayan Tables of Houses, for Latitudes 8° to 35° North, by R V Vaidya, Astronomical and Astrological Association, Gwalior, 1945
- Sivagnana Botham of Meykanda Deva, translated with Notes and Introduction, by J. M. Nallaswami Pillai, Dharmapuram, 1945.
- The Slave of Ideas and other plays, by A. S. Panchapakesa Ayyar, C. Coomaraswamy Naidu & Sons, Madras, 1941.

Śrngāraprakāśa Part I Prakāśas 1, 2 by Mahārājādhirāja Sri Bhois Deva. Edited by P. P. S. Sastri, Shri Vani Vilas Press. Srirangam, 1942

Suvarnasaptati Sastra. Sänkhya

Karika Santati of Isvara Krana with a commentary reconstructed into Sanskrit from the

Chinese Translation of Paramartha and edited by N Aivaswami Sastrı, Sıı

Venkatesvara Oriental Series. Tirupati, 1944

Three famous tales, by A S P. Ayyar, G. V. K. Swamy & Co. Kumbakonam

Ta'rikh Nama-I-Harat. (the History of Harat), by Muhammad Z Aq-siddiqui. Calcutta, 1944.

The Royal Jester, or Tenali

Rama, by A. S P. Ayyar, K. V. Press, Book Depot. Vellore, 1943.

A Short History of the Terananthi Sect of the Systambar Jains and its Tenets. Srichand Rampuria, Calcutta, 1944.

Tirukkural Kamattupal, by T. P. Palaniappa Pillali, Sri Venkatesvara Oriental Series, Tirupati, 1945.

Short Stories from the great Upanisads, by M. R. Jambunathan, Madras.

The following six works-(1) उक्तिनिष्ठापरित्राणम् (2) गणदोषदर्गणः

(3) न्यासोलासभावचन्द्रिका

(4) न्यासपरिद्यक्तिविमर्शनम् (5) तप्यश्राधिनी

(6) दवणे निराकारणस् bound together, by Sri Ranga Ramarunaswami,

Kumbhakonam. All about the Vedas in Tamil. by M. R. Jambunathan, Madras.

विक्रमांक (उत्तरार्घ), संवत् २००१ वेक्रम, नागरीप्रचारिकी सभा, कोशी,

Ancient Wisdom of Wales, by D. Jeffrey William Adyar Library, Advar, 1965.

यञ्जवैददातपथनाद्वाणकथा . bv M. R. Jambunathan, Madras. Yapurvedam, by M. R.

Jambunathan, Madras.

PUBLICATIONS OF THE INSTITUTE

Mahabharata Volumes already published Rs. As.

Vol. I-Adiparvan (1), ed., Dr. V. S. Sukthankar, M.A.		
	۱.,	
Ph.D.; Fasc. 1-7, pp. cxviii + 996.	33	0
Vol. II-Sabhāparvan (2), ed., Prof. Dr. F. Edgerton ,		
Fasc. 13-14; pp. LXVII + 517	12	0
Vols. III & IV-Aranyakaparvan (3) ed., Dr. V. S.		
Sukthankar, M.A., Ph.D.; Fasc. 11-12; pp. XLII	+	
1111.	18	0
Vol. V-Virāţaparvan (4), ed., Dr. Raghu Vira, M.A.,		
Ph.D.; Fasc. 8, pp. Lx + 362.	11	0
Vol. VI-Udyogaparvan (5), ed., Dr. S. K. De, M.A.,		
D.Litt., Fasc. 9-10, pp. LIV + 739	19	0
Volume	•	
	. 8	0
बीर सेवा मन्दिर		
	,	
Vol. क्षु पुस्तकालय		
काल न०		
nikehit 11 11		
Ta लेखक i	,	
Donnes M. H. El / 4	:5	
a. It it it is at the branch that		
officental.		
alian Oriental 363/	î	0
पीपंक Orienta . 3/ 3/	î	0
	i ;	0
Ni.	1 - ;	o 8
	i - ;	8
Do	1 - ; {}	8
Ni.	1 17 11	8
Do	1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 · 1 ·	8
Do	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8
Do	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	o 8 o
Do	1 4 4 4	o 8 0
Do	1 4 4 5	o 8 o o